# REPORT

OF THE

# COURT OF WARDS,

## NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

**FOR THE REVENUE YEAR 1878-79,**

*Ending the 30th September, 1879.*

ALLAHABAD:

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH GOVERNMENT PRESS.

1880.

354·542
N 864

# INDEX.


PAGE.

GENERAL REVIEW ... ... ... 1-20

Appendix A.—Wards' estates notices.

*Muzaffarnagar—*

No. 1. Jauli ... ... ... 1A.
„ 2. Sambalahra ... ... *ib.*

*Meerut—*

No. 3. Sheikhpura ... ... 2A.
„ 4. Karam Ali Khan's estate ... *ib.*
„ 5. Lawar ... ... ... 3A.
„ 6. Muradnagar ... ... 4A.
„ 7. Pirnagar ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 8. Bhadauli ... ... ... 5A.

*Bulandshahr—*

No. 9. Khurja ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 10. Skinner ... ... ... 6A.
„ 11. Jahangirabad ... ... 7A.
„ 12. Belaun ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 13. Munda Khera ... ... 8A.

*Bijnor—*

No. 14. Sherkot ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 15. Kiratpur ... ... ... 9A.

*Moradabad—*

No. 16. Estate of Kishn Singh and Gokul Singh ... ... ... 10A.
„ 17. Ditto of Bakht Singh and Indarjit Singh ... ... *ib.*
„ 18. Shankar Lal's estate ... ... *ib.*
„ 19. Bishambhar Nath's estate ... 11A.
„ 20. Munawwar Ali Khan's estate ... *ib.*
„ 21. Abdul Wahid's estate ... 12A.
„ 22. Kunwar Bhim Singh's estate ... *ib.*
„ 23. Estate of Kazi Muhammad Abbas, 13A.
„ 24. Ditto of Budh Prakash ... 14A.
„ 25. Ditto of Shyam Sundar and Shyam Saran ... ... 15A.

*Budaun—*

No. 26. Alapur ... ... ... *ib.*

*Bareilly—*

No. 27. Deoria ... ... ... *ib.*

*Shahjahanpur—*

No. 28. Narayanpur ... ... 16A.
„ 29. Nahal ... ... ... 17A.
„ 30. Estate of the late Fakr-ud-din Khan ... ... ... *ib.*

*Muttra—*

No. 31. Estate of Hardeo Prasad ... 18A.

*Agra—*

No. 32. Parna ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 33. Awa ... ... ... 19A.

*Farukhabad—*

No. 34. Khimsipur ... ... 22A.
„ 35. Estate of Kudsiya Begam ... 23A.

*Mainpuri—*

No. 36. Estate of Kunj Bihari Lal ... *ib.*
„ 37. Arjunpur ... ... 24A.

*Etawah—*

No. 38. Chakkarnagar ... ... *ib.*
„ 39. Bhareh ... ... ... 25A.
„ 40. Malhajani ... ... 26A.
„ 41. Bharthna ... ... *ib.*
„ 42. Sirsai Nawa ... ... *ib.*
„ 43. Uncha ... ... ... 27A.
„ 44. Sunasi ... ... ... *ib.*

PAGE.

*Etah—*

No. 45. Rani Damar ... ... 28A.
„ 46. Raya ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 47. Azizpur ... ... ... 29A.
„ 48. Rampur ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 49. Nagla Kisi ... ... *ib.*

*Jalaun—*

No. 50. Kukargawan ... ... 30A.

*Jhánsi—*

No. 51. Takhtauli-Mahalwara ... *ib.*
„ 52. Katahra ... ... ... 31A.
„ 53. Chirgaon ... ... ... *ib.*

*Lalitpur—*

No. 54. Estate of Ram Charan ... 32A.
„ 55. Rajwara ... ... ... 33A.
„ 56. Karora ... ... ... 34A.
„ 57. Kulna ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 58. Dharpura-Gidhaura ... ... *ib.*

*Cawnpore—*

No. 59. Pankigangaganj, No. I. ... 35A.
„ 60. Jagjiwanpur ... ... *ib.*
„ 61. Barwa Khurd ... ... 36A.
„ 62. Pankigangaganj, No. II. ... *ib.*
„ 63. Rawatpur ... ... *ib.*
„ 64. Akbarpur Sen ... ... 37A.
„ 65. Muminpur Ratgaon ... *ib.*
„ 66. Ghagwa Bhabhi ... ... *ib.*

*Fatehpur—*

No. 67. Patti Shah ... ... 38A.
„ 68. Ahmadpur Kusumbha ... *ib.*
„ 69. Estate of Ata Husain Khan ... 39A.
„ 70. Ditto Khan Babi ... ... *ib.*
„ 71. Asothar ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 72. Bindaur ... ... ... 40A.

*Bánda—*

No. 73. Makri ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 74. Estate of Imdad Ali Beg ... *ib.*
„ 75. Kirwi ... ... ... 41A.

*Allahabad—*

No. 76. Sarai Pitha ... ... 42A.
„ 77. Sarai Bharat ... ... *ib.*
„ 78. Gamblhira ... ... 44A.
„ 79. Manda ... ... *ib.*
„ 80. Estate of Rai Pratap Chand ... 45A.
„ 81. Ditto of Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh ... ... 46A.
„ 82. Ditto of Shaikh Fayaz Ali ... 47A.
„ 83. Nangawan ... ... 48A.

*Hamirpur—*

No. 84. Estate of Musammat Makhania, *ib.*

*Jaunpur—*

No. 85. Bhagesa ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 86. Dube ... ... ... 49A.

*Gorakhpur—*

No. 87. Majhauli ... ... ... 51A.
„ 88. Chaumukha ... ... *ib.*

*Basti—*

No. 89. Mithwal ... ... ... 52A.
„ 90. Estate of Addia Prasad ... *ib.*
„ 91. Khoria ... ... ... 53A.

*Azamgarh—*

No. 92. Dubari ... ... ... 54A

PAGE.

*Mirzapur*—

No. 93. Agori Barhar ... ... 54A.
„ 94. Kantit ... ... ... 56A.
„ 95. Estate of Nand Kumar Pande ... 57A.
„ 96. Gopalpur, No. I. ... ... *ib.*
„ 97. Gopalpur, No. II. ... ... 58A.
„ 98. Tikori ... ... ... *ib.*
„ 99. Ramgarh ... ... ... 59A.

*Benares*—

No. 100. Pisur Danielpur ... ... 60A.

*Ghazipur*—

No. 101. Sunwani ... ... *ib.*
„ 102. Estate of Sat Narayan Pande ... 61A.
„ 103. Karim-ud-dinpur ... ... 62A.

*Appendix B.*—Wards' Institution, Benares ... *ib.*

*Appendix C.*—Benevolent Trusts ... ... 67A.

*Statement No. I.*—Estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards ... ... 68A.

*Statement No. II.*—Assets and liabilities on the 30th September, 1879, exclusive of value of landed property, stock and houses ... 76A.

*Statement No. III.*—Showing the rental demand, collections, and balance ... ... 80A.

*Statement No. IV.*—Showing the net profits or income ... ... ... ... 84A.

*Statement No. V.*—Personal and other expenditure not chargeable to profits in statement No. IV. ... ... ... 88A.

*Statement No. VI.*—List of improvements ... 92A.

*Statement No. VII.*—Progress made in liquidation of debts ... ... ... 93A.

*Statement No. VIII.*—Present circumstances of the loans made to encumbered estates by the Government and the Court of Wards ... 95A.

*Statement No. IX.*—Showing details of notices of ejectment under section 36, Act XVIII., 1873 ... ... ... ... 96A.

*Statement No. X.*—Showing details of applications for enhancement of rent ... ... *ib.*

*Statement No. XI.*—Showing estates from which balances of the current revenue demand were due ... ... ... 97A.

*Statement No. XII.*—Showing estates from which outstanding arrears of revenue were due ... *ib.*

*Statement No. XIII.*—List of benevolent trusts, 98A.

*Statement No. XIV.*—Receipts and disbursements of benevolent trusts ... ... 102A.

*Statement No. XV.*—Showing the recoveries of of interest on Government securities belonging to benevolent trusts ... ... 104A.

*Statement No. XVI.*—Statement of assets and liabilities of benevolent trusts exclusive of the value of landed property and houses ... 105A.

*Statement No. XVII.*—Showing particulars of the educational endowments in the North Western Provinces ... ... ... 106A

# REPORT

OF THE

# COURT OF WARDS,

## NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

No. $\frac{449}{X/22}$ OF 1880.

FROM

J. S. MACKINTOSH, ESQ.,

SECRETARY, BOARD OF REVENUE,

*North-Western Provinces,*

To

C. ROBERTSON, ESQ.,

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

*North-Western Provinces and Oudh.*

DATED ALLAHABAD, THE 20TH MAY, 1880.

SIR,

DEPARTMENT X.

COURT OF WARDS.

*Present:*
A. O. HUME, ESQ., C.B.

I AM directed by the Junior Member to submit the following report on the operations of the Court of Wards for the revenue year 1878-79, ending the 30th September, 1879.

2. In the report of the year 1877-78 accounts were furnished for 97 estates, with an aggregate rental of Rs. 21,60,974, but it was stated that six of those estates had been released by the 30th September, 1878. This reduced the number actually under the Court's charge at the end of the year to 91.

3 During the year under report 12 other estates were taken under management, and accounts are therefore furnished for 103. But of these two—Asothar (71) and Pisur Danielpur (100)—were released by the close of 1878-79, so that only 101 estates remained under management on the 30th September last.

4. The six estates which, though they figured in the report for 1877-78, were released on or before the 30th September, 1878, and are therefore not shown in the statements accompanying this report, were—

| | *Actual rental of* 1877-78.* |
|---|---|
| | Rs. |
| †(2) Miranpur ... ... ... ... | 3,885 |
| (4) Nagla Katar ... ... ... ... | 691 |
| (15) Musammat Shabnam ... ... ... | 6 |
| (47) Pirji Imamuddin ... ... ... ... | ... |
| (61) Usmanpur (Takhtauli) ... ... ... | 1,230 |
| (75) Khargapur ... ... ... ... | 35,809 |

The estate of Pirji Imamuddin was under the Court's charge for so short a time that there were no receipts or charges; of the others, Miranpur and Khargapur alone were of any importance. Miranpur, as was mentioned in last year's report, was made over to the ward on his coming of age. Khargapur, on the death of Thakurayan Rup Kunwar, escheated to Government, and is now managed under the rules for the management of Government properties. It is a fine estate with a rent-roll of

* This, as in column 6 of statement No. IV., includes only land rents in cash and kind, and excludes sayar, manorial dues, house-rents, and all miscellaneous sources of income.

† These numerals are the serial numbers of the estates in the report for 1877-78.

Rs. 36,000, and the net profits are sufficient to discharge, in seven or eight years, the debt of Rs. 81,000 with which it is encumbered.

5. The year 1878-79 thus commenced with a reduction of about Rs. 42,000 from the aggregate rental of Rs. 21,60,974 shown in statement No. IV. of the report for 1877-78. On the other hand, the 12 estates of which superintendence was assumed during the year added over three lakhs to the Court's rent-roll, as the following figures show:—

| | *Estimated rental.* |
|---|---|
| | Rs. |
| (12) Belaun ... ... ... ... | 1,300 |
| (13) Munda Khera ... ... ... ... | 737 |
| (15) Kiratpur ... ... ... ... | 7,500 |
| (24) Budh Prakash ... ... ... ... | 4,078 |
| (25) Shyam Sundar and Shyam Saran ... ... | 1,071 |
| (30) Fakruddin Khan ... ... ... | 5,134 |
| (74) Imdad Ali Beg ... ... ... ... | 4,713 |
| (75) Kirwi ... ... ... ... | 21,960 |
| (86) Dube ... ... ... ... | 2,53,400 |
| (88) Chaumukha ... ... ... ... | 4,613 |
| (90) Addia Prasad ... ... ... ... | 890 |
| (91) Khoria ... ... ... ... | 628 |
| Total ... ... | 3,06,024 |

6. The separate notices of these estates given in appendix A. sufficiently explain the circumstances which led to their being taken over. In the case of eight of them the Court of Wards had no option, as they were placed under its charge by the civil courts: of the remaining four the Kiratpur estate is the property of a widow lady and her minor children, and the management was assumed, with the sanction of the Government, on the strong representation of Mr. A. Colvin, the Collector of Bijnor. The estates of Imdad Ali Beg and of Rai Balwant Rao (Nos. 74 and 75), in the Bánda district, were taken over with the sanction of Government, and the owners declared disqualified, as the only chance of saving two old and respectable families from ruin.

7. The fourth, and by far the largest, property of which the Court of Wards voluntarily assumed the management during the year is that of the Rája of Jaunpur, commonly known as the Dube estate. It has already been explained to Government, in a separate correspondence, how this large property, with a rent-roll of over two and a half lakhs was, in 1869, made over practically free from debt to the present Rája on his coming of age. In nine years he has managed, through the most wanton extravagance, to contract debts to the amount (as it now appears) of nearly seven lakhs; and but for the intervention of the Court of Wards, with the sanction of Government, the greater part of the property must by this time have been sold. The Board were unwilling to intervene believing that the Rája had largely under-estimated his debts in putting them at two and a half lakhs; but the Government considered the family of sufficient political importance, in the Jaunpur and neighbouring districts, to justify a second attempt to preserve the estates. The task has proved more onerous than was anticipated even by the Board, for the list of debts has greatly lengthened since superintendence was assumed; and the Rája's connivance with his creditors makes it peculiarly difficult to resist, successfully, fraudulent claims. A special officer will be deputed to investigate the liabilities of the estate, and with his aid the Collector of Jaunpur will probably be able to effect compromises with many of the creditors. A sum of three lakhs has been borrowed at 8 per cent. from the Allahabad

* It may also be mentioned that since the 1st of October 11 other estates, with an aggregate rental of over 2½ lakhs, have been added to the list. Two estates—Asothar and Pisur Danielpur—have been made over to their owners. At the present moment, therefore, 112 estates, with a gross rent-roll (exclusive of all miscellaneous income) of 26¾ lakhs, are under the Court's charge.

Bank to liquidate the most pressing claims; and by thus reducing the rate of interest carried by the debts, and by compounding and consolidating them, the Junior Member hopes eventually to clear the estate without selling more than a comparatively small portion of it.

8. The 103 estates belong to the following classes of disqualified proprietors (*vide* statement I.) :—

| | | Number in 1878-79. | Number in 1877 78. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Estates of disqualified females ... ... | 11 | 12 |
| 2. | Ditto minors ... ... ... | 66 | 59 |
| 3. | Ditto lunatics ... ... ... | 8 | 9 |
| 4. | Ditto persons otherwise incapable ... | 2 | 2 |
| 5. | Ditto persons disqualified by Government ... | 22 | 18 |
| 6. | Encumbered estates managed like Court of Wards estates ... ... ... ... | 2 | 2 |
| | Total ... | 111 | 102 |

The 111 here shown represent only 103 distinct estates. The explanation of the apparent discrepancy is that eight estates are shown twice over in the above classification, as in each case the owners belong to more than one class of "disqualified proprietors." These estates are—

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (15) | Kiratpur | clauses (*a*) and (*b*) of | section 194, | Act XIX, 1873. |
| (28) | Narayanpur | „ (*b*) and (*g*) | ditto | ditto. |
| (30) | Fakruddin Khan, | „ (*a*) and (*b*) | ditto | ditto. |
| (37) | Arjunpur | „ (*b*) and (*g*) | ditto | ditto. |
| (41) | Bharthna | „ ditto | ditto | ditto. |
| (67) | Patti Shah | „ ditto | ditto | ditto. |
| (88) | Chaumukha | „ ditto | ditto | ditto. |
| (89) | Mithwal | „ ditto | ditto | ditto. |

9. These figures show that at present more than half the estates belong to minors, whose property, even in the most restricted view of the functions of the Court of Wards, is a fit object for State intervention; and 19 others belong to females and lunatics, who have almost as much claim on the State for protection as have minors. The cases in which the Court of Wards has assumed charge of an estate, to extricate it from debt, while its owner is still competent, in respect to age and sex, to manage it, number only 22; and, in nearly all those, circumstances render the preservation of the family and its ancestral property desirable on public grounds.

Extent of landed property.

10. Some information on this subject is to be found in statement I. The landed property under the Court's charge comprised 3,982 villages or shares in villages, compared with 3,297 in the previous year. The subjoined figures give the details :—

| | 1878-79. | 1877-78. |
|---|---|---|
| *Revenue-paying lands.* | | |
| Entire villages ... ... ... ... | 2,237 | 1,813 |
| Shares in villages ... ... ... ... | 1,438 | 1,172 |
| Patches in villages ... ... ... ... | 75 | 79 |
| *Revenue-free lands.* | | |
| Entire villages ... ... ... ... | 187 | 191 |
| Shares in villages ... ... ... ... | 35 | 30 |
| Patches in villages ... ... ... ... | 10 | 12 |
| Total ... | 3,982 | 3,297 |

11. No area or population statements are appended to the report, but it will be desirable to have these in future years, so as to enable Government to form a juster conception of the extent, from year to year, of the Court's operations. But as the Government revenue paid by the Court in the year under report was Rs. 12,58,998

against Rs. 10,48,814 in 1877-78; and the rental demand was Rs 25,01,083 against Rs. 21,60,974 in the previous year, the area and population of the country under management has probably increased by between 15 and 20 per cent. The gross land revenue of the Provinces in 1878-79 amounted to Rs. 4,30,62,015, so that the portion for which the Court of Wards was responsible was still only $\frac{1}{17}$th of the whole. The rental demand above alluded to is only that on account of land rents in cash and kind. The total realizations on account of these properties in 1878-79 were in round figures Rs. 32,68,000 against Rs. 26,37,000 in the previous year.

12. These properties are very unevenly distributed about the country. The bulk of the property lies in the Agra and Allahabad divisions, as out of the total sum of 12½ lakhs paid as revenue by the Court of Wards, over nine lakhs appertain to those two divisions. Rohilkhand and Benares contribute between them nearly three lakhs, and the residue is made up by Rs. 25,152 from the Meerut and Rs. 13,857 from the Jhánsi division. The low figures of the Jhánsi division result naturally from the comparatively small size of estates in that part of the country. Enormous properties like the Kantit or Awa estates are not to be met with in Jhánsi; and, consequently, although there are a fair number of properties in that division under the Court's charge, the aggregate land revenue paid in by the Court is but small.

In the Meerut division the Court's operations are surprisingly small. There are only two estates in Muzaffarnagar, and not one in either Saháranpur or Aligarh. This is more the result of accident than of any radical difference in the circumstances of the landed classes in the division. There are large properties there as elsewhere, and were several of them to devolve suddenly on minors, the Court of Wards would find as much to do in Meerut as in Allahabad.

The extremely high figures shown against the Agra and Allahabad divisions are mainly due, in the case of the former, to the Awa property, and, in the case of the latter, to the Dube, Manda, and Pratap Chand estates.

In the Rohilkhand division there is only one large property, the Sherkot estate in Bijnor, while Benares has three, the Majhauli estate in Gorakhpur and the Agori Barhar and Kantit estates in Mirzapur.

13. This unequal distribution of the properties involves a similar inequality in the amount of Court of Wards work which devolves on the local officials of the several districts. In Moradabad, Allahabad, Mirzapur, Etáwah, and Cawnpore, for example, the Collector has fully as many estates on his hands as he could well do justice to. In others, like Aligarh, Saháranpur, or Budaun, the Court of Wards department is practically non-existent. It is hardly necessary to say that when a proposal to take a new estate under management comes to the Board, one of the first points considered is the amount of Court of Wards' work already thrown on the district.

14. In connection with statement I, one more point may be noticed as illustrating the comparative poverty of India. The total net income of all these 103 properties, which include several of the largest in these Provinces, is scarcely more than £74,000 a year, or less than that of many single English properties.

Assets and liabilities.

15. The object of statement II, in which these are shown, is to exhibit for each estate the recoverable arrears of rent and of advances to tenants, its cash balance, the amount invested in Government paper, and the loans made, or the sums borrowed, by it. The ready money in the treasury and the assets which speedily will, or may, be converted into ready money are thus set on the credit side, while on the debtor side appear the debts and encumbrances with which the estate is charged. The statement, however, would be more useful had it an extra column to show the proportion which the surplus or deficit bears to one year's

net income. The real significance of a deficit can hardly be realised until the sum annually available for lessening it is known. Thus the Rampur estate (48) shows a deficit of Rs. 15,062 ; but as its net income (statement IV, column 4) is Rs. 5,174 a year, it will be out of debt in a very short time ; but the Sunasi estate (44), with a deficit of Rs. 17,716, has a net income of only Rs. 1,818, and it must necessarily remain encumbered for years.

16. This return of assets and liabilities has, however, an interest in itself, insomuch as it shows, when compared with the figures of 1877-78, the improvement which has taken place in the affairs of each estate. The "assets" amount to Rs. 25,70,234, of which the following is the detail:—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Amount held in Government securities ... | 8,83,292 |
| Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property ... | 5,96,672 |
| Unsecured debts ... ... ... ... | 76,381 |
| Takavi advances ... ... ... ... | 17,768 |
| Recoverable arrears of cash rents and sayar ... ... | 3,65,307 |
| Cash balance ... ... ... ... ... | 6,30,814 |
| Total ... | 25,70,234 |

The "liabilities" per contra amount to Rs. 29,87,353, as follows :—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Due on account of loans granted by the State ... ... | 7,23,205 |
| Due on account of loans granted from the surplus funds of solvent Court of Wards estates. | 1,98,294 |
| Other debts ... ... ... ... ... | 20,65,854 |
| Total ... | 29,87,353 |

17. The fact that the 103 estates are collectively Rs. 4,17,119 to the bad is of no great importance. But the fact is important that the number of these which show a clear net surplus is 51, and the number of those with a deficit 46. Six estates have neither cash assets nor liabilities.

18. To compare these figures with those for 1877-78, it is necessary first to eliminate the assets and liabilities of the 12 estates which have been brought under management during 1878-79. The gross assets of these estates amount to Rs. 1,34,377, and the liabilities to Rs. 8,14,359, the estate of the Rája of Jaunpur mainly contributing to this latter total.

Deducting these figures from the aggregate figures for the 103 estates, the assets of the remaining 91 estates, at the close of 1878-79, were Rs. 24,35,857, and their joint liabilities were Rs. 21,72,994. The corresponding assets of the same 91 estates, at the end of 1877-78, were Rs. 21,41,414, and their liabilities Rs. 23,43,408. In other words the prosperous estates have materially strengthened their position by nearly three lakhs, while the encumbered ones have lessened the amount of their debts by nearly one and three quarter lakhs.

19. But the figures here given require certain corrections. The assets on the 30th September last would have been Rs. 14,801 more than is shown had not this amount been invested in the purchase of land on behalf of 11 estates. On the other hand, it must be remembered that the decrease in the liabilities is due, not only to the earnings of the year, but, in the case of three very involved estates, to the sale of portions of the property by which Rs. 41,889 * were realised.

| | | Rs. |
|---|---|---|
| Sambalahra | (2) | 14,489 |
| Budh Prakash | (24) | 5,000 |
| Mithwal | (89) | 22,400 |

Allowing, however, for this, the progress made in liquidating debts without resort to sale has been very considerable.

Receipts and expenditure.

20. The returns appended to the report (statements I, III, IV, and V) exhibit these in great detail.

The following comparative statement shows them in a more compact form for both 1878-79 and its predecessor :—

| Receipts. | 1878-79. | 1877-78. | Expenditure. | 1878-79. | 1877-78. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | Rs. | | Rs. | Rs. |
| Rental ... ... | 24,59,258 | 19,67,057 | Government revenue and cesses. | 14,71,429 | 12,17,019 |
| Interest on cash investments or mortgages. | 38,166 | 32,800 | Occupiers' rate... ... | 83,753 | 62,602 |
| Miscellaneous income ... | 3,91,984 | 4,94,169 | Cost of management ... | 1,32,750 | 1.21,321 |
| Loans and recoveries of debts, | 3,66,832 | 1,20,644 | Miscellaneous charges, interest on debts, law expenses, &c , &c. | 3,14,615 | 2,92,374 |
| Takavi recovered ... | 11,723 | 22,813 | | | |
| Cash balance at commencement of the year. | 4,31,176 | 4,80,321 | | | |
| | | | Personal expenses and educational charges. | 8,56,091 | 3,69,666 |
| | | | Improvements ... ... | 38,929 | 36,938 |
| | | | Takavi ... ... | 7,169 | 38,032 |
| | | | Repayments of loans ... | 4,87,082 | 2,61,131 |
| | | | Investments. Purchase of Government securities. | 1,32,478 | 1,00,901 |
| | | | Investments. Purchase of landed property. | 14,801 | 67,841 |
| | | | Investments. Loans granted on mortgage. | 29,228 | 1,18,803 |
| | | | Cash balance ... ... | 6,30,814 | 4 31,176 |
| Total ... | 36,99,139 | 31,17,804 | Total ... | 36,99,139 | 31,17,804 |

21. The rental receipts were Rs. 24,59,258, of which Rs. 23,26,115 were on account of the current demand and Rs. 1,33,143 for arrears. The current demand amounted to Rs. 25,01,083 and that for arrears to Rs. 4,96,621. Of the current demand, therefore, 93 per cent. was collected, and of the arrear demand 27 per cent. These results compare not unfavourably with those for 1877-78, when only 88 per cent. of the current and 23 per cent. of the arrear demand were collected. They also compare not very unfavourably with the results of years previous to 1877-78, *when the character of the season* and the greater extent of the Court's operations are taken into consideration, as the following figures show :—

| Year. | Current demand. | Percentage on | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Current. | Arrears. |
| | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| 1873-74 ... ... ... | 15,17,240 | 93 | 35 |
| 1874 75 ... ... ... | 13,13,668 | 94 | 47 |
| 1875-76 ... ... ... | 14,47,189 | 94 | 44 |
| 1876-77 ... ... ... | 21,60,974 | 94 | 39 |

22. But for the Agra division the results for the year under report would be fully equal to the best yet obtained. The percentages for the several divisions are—

| Division. | Percentage of collections on demand for | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Arrears. | Current. | Total. |
| | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| Meerut ... ... ... ... | 51 | 96 | 93 |
| Rohilkhand ... ... ... ... | 43 | 94 | 82 |
| Agra ... ... ... ... | 18 | 90 | 72 |
| Jhânsi ... ... ... ... | 53 | 95 | 91 |
| Allahabad ... ... ... ... | 22 | 94 | 87 |
| Benares ... ... ... ... | 56 | 94 | 91 |

The Agra division, therefore, has brought down the average for the Provinces. Some of the estates in that division have done extremely well, but on more than half the collections were under 90 per cent. In the notices of the estates it will be seen that the Junior Member is scarcely satisfied with the management of the estates in the Etah district, although, there too, allowance must be made for the epidemic that prevailed. The short collections in several of the Etáwah estates were probably unavoidable, on account of the peculiarly disastrous effects which the drought of 1878 had on these poor-soiled unirrigated properties. Awa, however, is the main cause why the division, as a whole, shows badly: 89 per cent. of a current demand of Rs. 6,09,459, and only 13 per cent. of arrears amounting to Rs. 2,26,631, are not good results for an estate which enjoys the exclusive care of a covenanted officer. But they are an improvement on the figures* for 1877-78, and Mr. Benson did not assume charge till the 31st January, 1879. It requires time to get so large an estate into proper working order, and the Junior Member confidently expects that, in a year or two, the rental will come in with as much regularity as it does in the large estates of Manda or Rai Pratap Chand, where the average collections are barely one per cent. short of the demand.

Percentage on current demand ... ... 80
Percentage on arrears 14

23. Mr. Benson was further hampered by the terrible fever which, in the autumn of 1879, prostrated the entire agricultural population of the estate; and as the same cause must have affected other districts to which the fever extended, though in varying degrees of intensity, his remarks on this subject, which will be found quoted in the notice of the Awa (33) estate, appendix A, should be read.

24. Taking into consideration the destitution consequent on the drought of 1878, from which the cultivating classes were only emerging during the year under report, the character of the harvests of 1878-79, and the terrible sickness of the autumn months, the collection of 93 per cent. of the current demand on Court of Wards estates may be accepted as fairly satisfactory.

25. In the Jhánsi division the remarkably good results would prove the goodness of the season in Bundelkhand, even if it were not admitted by the people themselves.

26. The districts of Allahabad and Cawnpore did preeminently well, and the only large estate in this division in which the collections were notably bad was Dube. It has already been said that this estate, the property of the Rája of Jaunpur, was taken over during the year; and, as usually happens, the rent-rolls were found to be in utter confusion and the collecting establishment corrupt and incapable. In collecting 86 per cent. of the rental within the year Mr. Ward probably did as much as could be expected; and here, as elsewhere, a few years of the Court's management will doubtless substitute regularity and accurate accounting for confusion and peculation.

Interest on cash investments or mortgages.

27. The second item of receipts needs little comment. The capital on which the interest is earned is shown in statement II as forming part of the "assets" of the estates. It consists of Rs. 8,83,292 in notes, railway shares, and savings banks deposits, besides secured and unsecured debts amounting to Rs. 6,73,053. As a matter of fact, however, the Rs. 38,166 shown as interest is earned almost exclusively by the former sum. Most of the mortgages are usufructuary, the profits of the mortgaged land being enjoyed in lieu of interest, and included in "rental receipts," while many of the unsecured debts are either nominal claims or old obligations, which will require a civil suit to enforce, and which meanwhile yield no interest.

28. To illustrate the rapidity with which capital is accumulating in the Court's hands, it may be noticed that in 1874 the sums invested in notes and mortgages only amounted to Rs. 3,87,700 and Rs. 1,91,144 respectively.

29. These primarily consist of sayar and manorial dues, house-rents, &c., but in the case of many estates the amount is swelled by extraordinary items of revenue. Thus the greater part of the Rs. 17,667 shown against the Sambalahra (2) estate. represents sales of landed property in view to the discharge of debts. The Rs. 10,696. similarly credited to the Skinner (10) property is the dividend declared for the year by the manager of the undivided estate left by Colonel Skinner, and now managed by his sole surviving son. The Rs. 1,62,435 shown against Awa (33) includes, among other items, the profits on the indigo business of the property. It is unnecessary to explain the figures for other estates under this head. But it may be remarked that the receipts under it naturally fluctuate from year to year.

Miscellaneous items.

30. This item represents both money recovered on account of debts, and money borrowed by encumbered estates, whether from the State, or the Court of Wards, or from private persons. The sums thus borrowed amounted to Rs. 3,18,500, as detailed below :—

Loans and recoveries of debts (see statement, para. 20).

| | | *Amount.* | *Rate of interest.* | *Source* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. | Rs. | |
| Bharthua (41) | ... ... | 13,000 | 8 | Awa. |
| Patti Shah (67) | ... ... | 5,500 | 8 | Pratap Chand. |
| Dube (86) | ... ... | 3,00,000 | 8 | Allahabad Bank. |

In these three cases the sums have been borrowed to pay off already existing debts that carry excessively high interest. In the case of the Dube estate, besides the saving in the amount due as interest, the loan enabled the Court of Wards to substitute one creditor in place of a confusing number of pressing claims and decreed debts. Particulars regarding these loans are given in the separate notices of the estates.

31. Turning now to the expenditure and taking the first items, Government revenue and cesses, we find that they amounted to Rs. 14,71,429. In this sum an item of Rs. 2,753 on account of owner's rate has been included. The smallness of the sum is due to the fact that most of the estates, having been settled at wet rates, are exempt from this exaction. The occupier's rate amounted to a further sum of Rs. 83,754. The increase in the Court's operations of recent years is as conspicuous in the case of payments as it was in that of receipts, as may be seen from the following statement :—

Government revenue and cesses.

| Year. | *Payments made on account of* | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land revenue. | Local cesses. | Patwári rate. | Occupier's rate. | Total. |
| | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | | Rs. |
| 1873-74 ... ... ... | 7,40,262 | 74 660 | 61,608 | | 8,76,530 |
| 1874-75 ... ... ... | 6,16,921 | 63,885 | 36,700 | | 7,17,506 |
| 1875-76 ... ... ... | 6,98,860 | 72,418 | 42,652 | 14,912 | 8,28,842 |
| 1876-77 ... ... ... | 10,59,583 | 1,08,657 | 59,628 | 53,943 | 12,81,811 |
| 1877-78 ... ... ... | 10,48,814 | 1,09,389 | 58,816 | 62,601 | 12,79,620 |
| 1878-79 ... ... ... | 12,58,998 | 1,43,535 | 68,895 | 83,754 | 15,55,182 |

32. The total nominal cost of management of the estates under the Court's charge in 1878-79 amounted to Rs. 1,32,750, or 4½ per cent. on the gross realizations. Taken as a whole this is a very low rate, but the fact is that it is not altogether reliable. As a matter of fact in some districts the moment an estate is taken in charge, the Collector proceeds to lease all the villages. This is perfectly wrong in the Junior Member's opinion, but still it has been largely resorted to in many places. Of course with reasonably good lessees the expenses of management are nominally very small or *nil*, the *real* expense of this mode of management, *viz.*, the difference between the gross realisations and the contract rent paid by the lessee, is nowhere shown. In future years the necessary figures to permit of

Cost of management.

corrections of cost of management in leased villages will be required from Collectors, and the result will be, the Junior Member believes, to raise very materially the cost percentage of certain divisions, and even of the Province at large. The Rohilkhand division, which now exhibits a percentage of only 3·52, and in which the leasing system so largely prevails, will probably prove to be the one in which the real expenditure is highest. Another point is that the percentage of the cost of management is calculated on the total receipts (excluding loans and recoveries) instead of only on those receipts for the realization of which the management can fairly take credit. From these the interest on Government paper and railway shares, and many of the items included under miscellaneous, such as sale price of landed property, should be excluded. These items are not *very* large or important, but their deduction will somewhat raise the percentage, and if the *real* cost of management involved in the lease system be included, the Junior Member believes that the Provincial average will not fall short of 7½ per cent. In future years the exact figures will be available, and the real cost must be carefully worked out. With every addition it is believed that the cost of management will prove to be extremely moderate, but the figures given in the statement, 4·59 per cent., are certainly considerably below the reality.

33. It would be useless to discuss the very varying percentages exhibited by the several districts and divisions, because, independent of the fact that large estates can almost always be managed cheaper than small ones, it follows from the effect of the lessee system already explained, that some of the Collectors who least perfectly, in the Junior Member's opinion, discharge their duty by the properties under their charge, and whose management is in truth most costly, are able to exhibit the best figures; while others who do most for the estates entrusted to their care, and keep all the villages in their own hands, and make really by far the most out of them for the owners, yet appear to have been the *least*, when really they have been the most, economical managers.

Miscellaneous charges.

34. These charges amounted to Rs. 3,14,615, and a large portion represented interest paid on the debts of encumbered estates which aggregate nearly 29¾ lakhs. But the item also includes law and other miscellaneous expenses, which in some cases were heavy; and the total amount of interest paid during the year on debts of every class is not shown separately in the statements. The payments on account of State and Court of Wards loans, however, are given in statement VIII, and these are the only classes of debts respecting which the punctual payment of the interest due on them immediately concerns the State.

35. The last column of statement IV professes to show the percentage of the Government revenue proper on the rental of each estate for 1878-79. The percentage for the Provinces is 53, though it naturally varies greatly for each estate, and even for each division. It is as low as 38 per cent. in the permanently-settled districts of the Benares division and only 41 in Meerut. For Rohilkhand the percentage is 50, for Agra 57, for Allahabad 59, while in Jhánsi it is 67. These figures, however, are fallacious, especially if they are used as a test of the fairness or otherwise of the revenue assessment, which, in the temporarily-settled districts, should not exceed 50 per cent. on the assets. Now in the first place these figures are calculated on the actual receipts during the year, not on the demand; and further, the sayar items, which are often of considerable value, and in many cases legitimate settlement assets are excluded, as they are shown *inter alia* under "miscellaneous income." In the second place, in the case of all leased villages, only the contract lease rents, and not the real realizations from the village, are shown. The calculation, therefore, is in no sense based on the assets, but on a sum presumably from 10 to 15 per cent. below these. Next year, when the figures for the leased villages will be available, this question must be accurately worked out, and then the Junior Member believes that the average for the temporarily-settled districts will prove to fall below, instead of above, the theoretical percentage.

36. Even now in most cases where the percentage appears extremely heavy, the fault will be found to lie with the management. Thus in the Rohilkhand division the estate of Kazi Muhammad Abbas (23) shows the revenue as falling at 72 per cent. of the receipts. The notice in appendix A. will show that the proprietor to whom the estate was (in the Junior Member's opinion unwisely) leased, misappropriated the rents of the tenants. The same applies to the estate of Kunj Bihari Lall (36) in the Mainpuri district, in which the revenue is shown as 63 per cent. of the assets. The figures being such as they are, it is clearly useless to go further into them this year.

Personal expenses and educational charges.

37. The expenditure hitherto dealt with may be classed as compulsory. The remainder of the items were more or less discretional with the management. First to take the personal expenses and educational charges. These amount to Rs. 3,56,091, against Rs. 3,69,666 in 1877-78, and fall under the following heads :—

| | 1878-79. | 1877-78. |
|---|---|---|
| Ordinary ... ... ... | 2,62,268 | 3,05,584 |
| Extraordinary ... ... | 84,561 | 56,424 |
| Education ... ... ... | 9,262 | 7,658 |
| | 3,56,091 | 3,69,666 |

The decrease was, therefore, in the ordinary expenditure, and the explanation is that in 1877-78 the Ráni of Agori Barhar drew Rs. 90,000 from that estate on account of her accumulated allowance of Rs. 1,000 a month, which for 7½ years she had declined to receive. The personal expenses, ordinary and extraordinary, absorb 39 per cent. of the net income, as shown in column 8 of statement V. The percentage, of course, varies widely for each estate. Where the property is very indebted the proprietors have to put up with a very scanty income, and sometimes depend entirely on the produce of their sír lands or on the charity of relations. To their honor it may be said that they generally accept cheerfully these privations for the sake of saving their property, and it very seldom happens that a proprietor petitions for an increased allowance.

38. It may be well to explain that by ordinary charges are meant the fixed sums allowed for the maintenance of the proprietors and those legitimately entitled to maintenance from the estate, so far as this can afford it. In fixing these charges the financial position of the estate is carefully considered ; but where this is satisfactory, liberal allowances are made with reference to the status and unwritten but well-ascertained domestic law of the family : care is taken that everything shall be kept up in a good style, without, especially in the case of minors, giving the other relatives scope for cutting a dash at the real proprietor's expense. The extraordinary charges include expenditure on marriages, funerals, and similar ceremonials, and here the Junior Member holds it right to depart from the broad rule that, in managing these estates, we should act as a good and intelligent native landlord would were he managing for himself. The best and most intelligent landlords would, and do habitually, spend far too much on all these ceremonies. Too much, not judged by an European standard, but too much judged by a consensus of opinion of the wisest Natives. The gentleman himself will admit to you that he is spending too much : that it is waste, folly, or worse : but he will declare that the pressure of family opinion is such that he is practically helpless in the matter. It is useless for Government to preach against extravagance at marriages, and encourage native associations for the repression of this evil, unless, when it assumes the position of proprietors, it emphatically enforces its exhortations by its own practice. The Junior Member has felt it his duty to set his face most resolutely against excessive expenditure on all these ceremonies, and has endeavoured to impress upon the local officers the importance, not from a narrow financial point of view, but from the broadest considerations of public interest, of check-

ing by a consistent example this great and admitted evil. The Junior Member fears that hitherto this matter has not been sufficiently systematically dealt with; but at present, without the further aid of native friends, he is not in a position to submit a maximum scale, proportioned to net income, for all the more important ceremonies, for each of the leading castes and classes, as a guide to district officers of the utmost expenditure they were entitled to recommend: a maximum only allowable when the estate was well above the world, and to be reduced in proportion as it was involved. At present the demands accepted by local officers are sometimes absurd. On a recent occasion the Junior Member was asked to sanction Rs. 750 for the marriage of one of the sons of a lunatic ward of Court whose income was barely Rs. 500 a year, and whose bride was the daughter of a common cultivator.

Improvements.

39. Rs. 38,929 were spent on this account against Rs. 36,938 in 1877-78. The details are shown in statement VI, and the money has, as a rule, been well spent. The only fault is that the amount is not larger than it is. Last year it was shown that the number of estates that can afford to sink capital in wells and other works of improvement is comparatively small. Still there are at least 20 wealthy and 40 moderately prosperous estates, in most of which something might be done towards securing them against the effects of drought or increasing the wood and forage supply. In the case of every such estate, the Board have done all in their power to stimulate the officer in charge to improve it. That there will be any marked result it is impossible to assert, but the Junior Member has good grounds for expecting that, in the present year, a much larger sum will be spent on wells, tanks, and other useful projects, than in any previous year. The special cases of Awa and Karam Ali Khan's estate, where the Department of Agriculture and Commerce has undertaken the systematic supervision of agricultural improvements, have been so recently the subject of correspondence* that they need not further be referred to.

Takávi.

40. The sums advanced to tenants amounted to Rs. 7,169 against Rs. 38,032 in the previous year. The decrease is no doubt due to the improvement in the circumstances of the cultivating classes, who, if they are not less in debt than in the famine year, have at least less difficulty in obtaining advances of seed and money from their own money-lenders, and were less ready to take advances from the estate managers.

41. On the Agori Barhar (93) and Kantit (94) estates agricultural banks are open, but their operations are too small to have any appreciable effect on the indebtedness of the tenantry. The distinction between takávi and loans made by these banks is virtually nominal. The banks have started with a small capital account transferred from the revenues of the estates; they work on the rules drawn up by Government for the three agricultural banks which in 1875 were experimentally started by the State; and an account of profit and loss is kept on the same model. Takávi advances as a rule are only made for the purchase of seed or plough-cattle. The sums are taken as required from the general revenue of the estates, and a banking account of profit and loss is kept. On Court of Wards estates, as takávi can be freely given, an agricultural bank is hardly required, but the experiment in Agori Barhar (93) and Kantit (94) may be allowed to continue for a few years. The Kàntit bank has a capital of Rs. 3,000, and the Agori Barhar of Rs. 2,000: of this Rs. 1,719 were lent to cultivators in small loans, repayable over two or three years. It is found rather difficult to realise the instalments punctually, but the ultimate repayment is considered certain, and the Junior Member has little doubt that if the bank's operations could be enlarged considerably, they would have a most beneficial effect. The whole question is, however, a most difficult and complicated one, and it is not proposed to re-open it here.

---

* G. O. No. 566, dated 1st April, 1880.
Board's No. 333, dated 15th April, 1880.

42. These amount to Rs. 4,87,082 against Rs. 2,61,131 in 1877-78. Rs. 63, 997 of this represent repayments on account of State or Court of Wards loans, which will be dealt with later on. The balance, Rs. 4,23,085, was paid to private creditors of the indebted estates. Of this large sum Rs. 3,12,863 is entered against the Dube (86) estate, and represents the claims which were settled during the year by the help of the three lakhs borrowed from the Allahabad Bank. In this, as in many other cases, it is quite impossible to clear off the encumbrances at all promptly out of surplus income. The most the Court of Wards can do is either to raise money at cheaper rates, and gradually defray the consolidated loan, or sell some of the property. If the items which, together with the sum entered against Dube (86), make up the Rs. 4,23,085 are gone through, it will be found that a considerable proportion of the Rs. 29,571 repaid by Mithwal (89), of the Rs. 19,175 repaid by Sambalahra (2), and of the Rs. 5,675 repaid by Budh Prakash (24) estates, was derived from sales of lands, shops, and other property. The Rs. 13,000 shown as repaid by Bharthna (41) was obtained by a loan from the Awa estate. On the other hand the repayments made by Rampur (48) and Narayanpur (28), and the Rs. 64,000 repayment of State and Court of Wards loans, together with the large interest payments, were mainly derived from surplus income.

Repayments of loans.

43. The sums invested amounted to—

Investments.

| | 1878-79. Rs. | 1877-78. Rs. |
|---|---|---|
| Government securities ... ... ... | 1,32,478 | 1,00,901 |
| Landed property ... ... ... | 14,801 | 67,841 |
| Loans granted on mortgage ... ... | 29,228 | 1,18 803 |
| Total ... | 1,76,507 | 2,87,545 |

The decrease in the amount invested must, in a great measure, be attributed to a misconstruction put by many officers on the orders of the local Government regarding the treasury balances. This was removed by G. O. No. 1128, dated 14th August, 1879, and a large portion of the cash balance of Rs. 6,30,814 has been invested since the 1st October, 1879. During 1878-79 the cash balance increased by two lakhs, so that the net surplus available for investment that was earned during the year amounted to 3¾ lakhs, of which 1¾ only was invested. Of the Rs. 1,32,478 invested in Government promissory notes, shares in guaranteed railways, and savings banks, Rs. 1,25,000 belong to the Awa (33) estate. The amount of land purchased was extremely small, but the difficulty of finding eligible investments is considerable (*vide* paras. 62, 63). Of the Rs. 29,228 advanced on mortgages, Rs. 18,500, as already stated, was lent to the estates of Bharthna and Patti Shah, which are under the Court. The balance represents several small transactions in which loans were granted on usufructuary mortgage by Court of Wards estates to persons whose property is not under the Court's control. In all these instances the loans were made as much for the benefit of the borrower as of the lender. The present Junior Member does not himself approve such loans, but the question will be discussed further on.

44. Statement II shows on a comparison of "assets" and "liabilities" that 51 estates have a surplus: of these the most wealthy, taking them by divisions, are :—

Estates which are free from debt.

| Serial number of estate. | Name of estate. | Invested in Government securities. | Cash balance on 30th September, 1879. | Secured debts. | Net income. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| 4 | Karam Ali Khan .. ... ... | 39,500 | 7,965 | ... | 18,374 |
| 10 | Skinner ... ... ... | 50,500 | 9,293 | ... | 12,727 |
| 14 | Sherkot . ... .. | ... | 91,209 | 2,476 | 68,425 |
| 20 | Munawwar Ali Khan ... .. | 8,600 | 10,267 | 20,146 | 7,325 |
| 33 | Awa .. .. ... ... | 2,00,000 | 37,926 | 68,866 | 1,79,209 |
| 39 | Bharch ... .. ... ... | ... | 8,921 | 55,476 | 15,088 |
| 63 | Rawatpur ... ... ... | 9,900 | 27,724 | ... | 22,328 |
| 79 | Manda ... ... ... | .. | 80,771 | 5 194 | 90,030 |
| 80 | Pratap Chand ... ... ... | 21,000 | 81,237 | 2,49,378 | 34,220 |
| 93 | Agori Barbar ... ... ... | 1.38,500 | 78,627 | 58,042 | 49,329 |
| 94 | Rantit ... ... ... | 3,67,000 | 1,01,304 | 38,779 | 1,09,816 |

Of these Manda and Kantit will shortly be released, as the young Rájas are near their majority; but the others are likely to be, for some years at least, under the Court's charge, and their capital will continue to accumulate. The Junior Member is anxious to invest a large portion of the Kantit funds in landed property before the minor attains his majority, and Mr. Carmichael, while Commissioner of Benares, has not lost sight of the matter.

Encumbered estates.

45. Foremost of these is the Dube (86) estate, the circumstances of which have already been noticed. Though not insolvent, it will require great attention for years. Sunwani (101), however, is really insolvent, and the only reason for not selling it is that a very large claim, in addition to the recognized debts, is the subject of an appeal, on behalf of the creditor, to the Privy Council. Until this is withdrawn or decided, the estate, if sold by auction, would not fetch its full price. Ráni Damar (45), in the Etah district, is also an insolvent property, but it is just possible that something may be saved for the minor, Kamran Shikoh Gardner. These are, perhaps, the only practically hopeless estates, but there are many others which must remain years in debt, or which are so deeply involved that large sales will eventually be necessary. The worst probably are Deoria (27), Nahal (29), Fakr-ud-din Khan (30), Arjunpur (37), Chakkarnagar (38), Sarai Bharrat (79), Fayaz Ali (82), Majhauli (87), and Mithwal (89).

Debts incurred and paid off.

46. Statement VII. shows the debts due on each estate when it was taken over, or which have since been incurred (*i.e.*, new consolidated debts incurred to pay off a multitude of minor old debts bearing higher interest), also the amount which has been paid off. Of the 103 estates at present under the Court of Wards, all but 20 were encumbered with debt at the time management was assumed. The aggregate amount of these debts was Rs. 60,27,662; and after assumption of charge a further sum of Rs. 18,10,943 was borrowed to pay off pressing claims. Of the 83 estates, 30 have already been cleared from debt, and several others shortly will also be, and the total debt has been reduced to Rs. 28,26,789. Of course the whole of the 50 lakhs shown by the statement have not been repaid from the estates, as 18 lakhs were derived from the new consolidated loans; but the *bonâ-fide* repayments have exceeded 32 lakhs.

47. Satisfactory as these figures are, the actual results of the Court of Wards management is still better than appears from them. Of the 103 estates 12 came under management during the year, and 9 of these owe Rs. 8,09,903. A further sum of Rs. 3,13,145 has since been borrowed for them, against which Rs. 3,35,611 has to be set off on account of debts paid. Deducting these figures from those shown in statement VII, we find that the 91 estates which have been under management for some time owed, at the end of September last, only Rs. 20,39,322, having originally started with debts amounting to Rs. 52,17,729. During the year under report nearly two lakhs of debt were paid off, as the following comparison with the figures for the 30th September, 1878, will show:—

| | 1878-79. | 1877-78. |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | Rs. |
| Initial debt ... ... ... | 52,17,729 | 52,18,704 |
| Subsequently incurred ... ... | 14,97,798 | 14,88,188 |
| Total ... ... | 67,15,527 | 67,06,892 |
| Paid to the 30th September ... ... | 46,76,205 | 44,77,035 |
| Balance due on 30th September ... | 20,39,322 | 22,29,857 |

48. It has already been explained that the Court of Wards cannot claim to have paid the *whole* of the discharged debts out of surplus net income. Property has sometimes had to be sold, and in many cases the estates when taken over had considerable assets in cash or secured debts, which are utilised in discharging the liabilities. It is impossible, therefore, to accurately measure the obligations which the

estates are under to the Court. All that can be said is that, whenever an estate of any size is taken over, a mass of claims and counterclaims, of pending lawsuits, unexecuted decrees of rental arrears, and confused accounts of karindas, is found to exist, which tasks the patience of a Collector and his staff for at least a year. Order at length emerges out of chaos; creditors are dealt with in a firm, yet conciliating manner; claims are wound up; the rent-roll examined; and the tenantry made to understand that, while they must pay their rents, they will no longer be subjected to the malpractices of karindas. This process is going on at Awa on an unusually large scale, but everywhere the essential features of the work are the same. The result is partially seen in the figures showing the amount of debt paid off, but the total benefit conferred on the proprietor or the tenantry cannot be thus gauged.

State loans.

49. These are shown in statement VIII. There are 8 cases in which they have been granted, the aggregate amount being Rs. 10,04,029, of which Rs. 2,00,500 bear 5 and Rs. 8,03,529 6 per cent. interest. Up to the 30th September, 1879, Rs. 3,02,633 of this sum had been repaid in addition to Rs. 4,05,103 on account of interest. There still remain due Rs. 7,01,396. It is satisfactory to notice that the instalments of principal have, in all cases, been fully met up to date. Rs. 21,809 is entered as due on account of interest, but most of this is merely occasioned by the system of account. The two largest loans are due by the Majhauli (87) estate in Gorakhpur, and the Nahal (29) estate in Shâhjahânpur, and under the terms of the engagements they need not, in either case, be paid off before 1892.

Court of Wards loans.

50. In 12 cases have loans been made with the sanction of Government from the funds of one estate to another. They amount to Rs. 2,29,712, of which only Rs. 31,626 have as yet been repaid. None of the estates are in arrears in respect to instalments of principal, and the small balances of interest are solely due to the method of account. The rates of interest vary from 5 to 9 per cent.

Notices of ejectment and applications for enhancement of rents.

51. Statements IX. and X. are noticeable as showing the comparatively small resort which is had, in matters of ejectments and enhancements, to the courts. Only 118 notices of ejectment were issued, and against 671 tenants only were applications for enhancement made.

Estates in arrear with the land revenue, &c.

52. Statements XI. and XII. show the estates which were at the close of the year in arrear with the Government revenue. Seven estates were in arrear with the current demand to the following extent;—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Land revenue ... ... ... ... | 12,719 |
| Local cesses ... ... ... ... | 539 |
| Patwâri rate ... ... ... ... | 239 |
| Total ... | 13,497 |

Rs. 9,146 of this total arrear were due from the Dube (86) estate, and has since been paid. Particulars regarding the other six estates are given in appendix A. Three estates were in arrears with the Government demand of the previous year; the balance against Awa is merely one of account. The cases of Dharpura Gidhaura (58) and Parna (32) are explained in the notices of those estates. The Board, it will be observed, censured the Collector of Agra for having allowed the revenue due by Parna to remain unpaid when he had funds at his disposal. The sum really unavoidably in arrears was insignificant, and next year should show a clear balance-sheet.

53. Having thus glanced at the leading details of the past year's administration, there remain a few general questions which require notice. The growing importance

of the trust administered by the Board has been already more than once adverted to; but in further illustration of this point, and in explanation of others to which he desires to draw attention, the Junior Member will venture to reproduce certain remarks which he recently submitted to Government :—

"6. But, first, Mr. Hume desires to call special attention to the great and growing number of estates managed by the Court of Wards. He has no hesitation in saying (for the entire credit of this is due to Mr. Carmichael and his predecessors) that, as a rule, that management is so thoroughly efficient that large landed proprietors are beginning, directly their affairs become involved, to invoke its aid. Month by month it is becoming more the fashion for men to come up with the request to be declared disqualified proprietors. They virtually urge that a few years ago they came into an unencumbered estate; that they are now over head and ears in debt; and that, unless we intervene, their ancestral property must be sold over their heads; that they are patently, as proved by these facts, incompetent to manage their property; and they implore Government to declare them formally disqualified. Practically where there is any prospect of putting matters right Government cannot refuse, without an apparent disregard for the welfare of its leading subjects, which would injure its influence, and without a real disregard for that of the masses resident on those large estates.

"7. For many years to come the number and importance of estates thus managed will inevitably increase: even now the gross rental of all the properties managed by the Court of Wards amounts to about thirty lakhs, exclusive of sayar and miscellaneous receipts; and from the highest to the lowest, a very considerable amount of anxious labour is thrown upon all classes of revenue officials. Large as it now is this must yearly increase, and it is time to see that in exchange for all this labour of public servants we are getting an adequate public return.

"8. In the first place we are averting the political danger (a danger doubtless diminishing as years roll by) of pauperising and allowing the eviction, from their ancestral estates, of numbers of recognized local leaders of the people. In times of peace the value of the work thus done is less apparent, but the experiences of the mutiny proved how important this might become in any crisis.

"9. In the second place we secure the best possible training for a number of our young officers, and, getting as it were behind the scenes, are able to test and gauge the value of our settlement operations in a manner impossible in any other way.

"10. In the third place we are enabled to get the village papers, the records on which the tenures and comfort of the agricultural masses so materially depend, into a condition of almost absolute accuracy.

"11. In the fourth place, looking to the chief danger alike to the people and the State where land-revenue and agricultural matters are concerned, we ought to be in a position to return every estate, not already fully protected, distinctly and materially better protected against drought than when we took charge of it."

54. As regards the matter last referred to, *viz.*, the better protection of estates once managed by the Court of Wards from drought, nothing need here be said, as the Junior Member's proposals on this subject are already before Government. But where the advantages of the Court's management referred to in paras. 9 and 10 of the extract are concerned, a very important question, *viz.*, that of leases, requires consideration.

55. As already mentioned, in some districts the practice obtains of leasing out all the villages of an estate to thekadars or farmers, directly it comes under the management of the Court of Wards. Undoubtedly this saves the Collector trouble, it has hitherto, as explained in para. 33, enabled him to exhibit the cost of management

as very small, but it at the same time deprives us of some of the most important advantages which we ought to obtain from Court of Wards management.

56. Practically, villages leased to farmers afford no school for our younger officers; in them we never learn truly the real assets of the village, and we never can get the village papers into that perfect state of accuracy which is possible under direct management. When to this is added that in farmed villages it is found impossible to carry out agricultural improvements, and difficult even to carry out measures for the improvement of the water-supply, the Junior Member thinks enough has been said to condemn any general or habitual recourse to management by farms.

57. There are of course exceptions to this rule. For instance on large estates, especially the properties of the Thákur nobility, a certain number of villages will generally be found held by farmers or mukaddams, at favourable rates. These men are either relatives of the proprietor (to whom these favourable leases have been allowed as maintenance), or the real proprietors of the village, who in the early settlements, desirous of shielding themselves under the wing of some powerful noble (probably the head of their clan), allowed the village to be recorded in his name, but whose real though unrecorded rights have never been ignored by the chief who has recognized them in these leases. Such leases should always be respected and maintained; and similarly, where for a couple of generations some leading resident cultivator has always had the management and lease of the village, although at a varying and not preculiarly favourable rental, it would be inexpedient to interfere. But in the vast majority of cases, leases, at any rate until the villages have been for at least five years under direct management, are, in the Junior Member's opinion most inexpedient, and *all the more so* if this farming system has been that pursued by the late proprietor.

58. Unsatisfactory as the condition too often is of villages managed by native noblemen through *karindas* or factors, it is almost invariably superior to that of those which have been habitually let on short leases to farmers. The Junior Member here speaks from personal experience in the management of several large estates. He made the same mistakes as many are now making of leasing out the majority of his villages, and lived to see the evil effects of his mistakes. But quite apart from this, how, if one considers the question, could it be otherwise? What possible interest can a one-to-three-years' lessee have in increasing cultivation, in promoting the wellbeing of the cultivators, or in raising the standard of the village generally? How can he afford an advance that is not to be repaid next harvest, or to suspend a balance so as to avert a cultivator's ruin? It is only some one who has to a certain degree a permanent interest in the property that can administer a village in the manner essential to its prosperity.

59. Hitherto it has been generally held that a Collector must be allowed to use his discretion as to the method of management to be pursued; but the interests at stake are, the Junior Member thinks, too large to admit of the maintenance of this rule in its integrity, and he would not allow farms in future, except on special grounds, at least until, after several years' direct management, villages and their papers were in perfect order, and then only to residents. As to the system which, though not general, is still not, the Junior Member fears, quite extinct of letting villages on one to three year leases to non-residents and strangers, he considers it absolutely indefensible and would definitely forbid it for the future.

60. Unquestionably the apparent cost of management will be materially increased. If Collectors have large estates to manage, and manage them directly, they must have adequate establishments and well-paid supervisors of these. The Junior Member would be quite ready to sanction all that was necessary in this way, the more so that he doubts whether direct management *really* costs more than farming. But grant that it does so, under the former system we *can* do our duty by the property, and, what is more important, by the people on it; under the latter we *cannot*, and

thus tested, a difference of two or three per cent. in the cost of establishment cannot be allowed much weight. Our Provincial average will not look so well, but that signifies little if our future figure shows the *true* cost (which our present one does not), and only shows what is really requisite to enable the work we profess to undertake to be *well* and *thoroughly* done.

61. If these views meet generally the concurrence of Government, the Junior Member will have little difficulty, he believes, in giving effect to them, but he must not conceal the fact that the Board has not always held these views. Personally he considers the arguments against any general resort to farming absolutely unanswerable; others, however, whose opinions are entitled to fully as much, if not more weight than his own, have rather favoured the system, as tending to relieve Collectors and their establishments. This, however, postulates the principle that the revenue staff may be *rightly* relieved by permitting it to get through a most important branch of its legitimate duties in an imperfect manner—a principle the Junior Member cannot admit.

Clearly, if our revenue officials are so over-worked that they cannot, with the aid of suitable establishments paid for by the estates, discharge properly this vitally important duty (and it is needless after all that has been written and said to re-argue the question of the public importance of having these estates managed as models), the way is either to relieve them of other duties less naturally pertinent to their offices, or to increase their number, but not to acquiesce in the imperfect performance of any such duty. But if the proposals submitted by the Junior Member in regard to the license-tax (which for two years has been the great drag upon all other work in these Provinces) be accepted, and especially if measures could be devised for relieving them of excise details, the Junior Member does not believe that any competent Collector with a full district staff will find the direct management of the Court of Wards estates too much; and, as it is, one has only to look at the districts in which farming is universal, and those in which a great deal is done in the way of direct management, to see clearly enough that even now it is the will, rather than the way, that is wanting in the former cases.

62. With the growth of the properties under our charge will increase also the funds for which suitable investments have to be found. It is certainly not desirable to invest these in the purchase of villages, and shares of villages, scattered here and there far from the head-quarters of the estate. As it is, the great drawback to good management (by native proprietors) in most of our large estates is the number of outlying and distant villages they embrace. These fall necessarily under the tender mercies of some of the worst paid of the land agents (the better paid ones are far too grand gentlemen to be bothered with riding out 20 or 30 miles to single villages), and are almost invariably neglected or worse. To be a resident cultivator of such a village is often a serious calamity. The number of such villages is a bad thing for the people, a bad thing for the State, and we must assuredly take care not to increase it.

63. But suitable investments in land, villages helping to consolidate the estate and complete its ring fence only rarely come into the market, and the few that do so can never form an adequate investment for the savings of our wealthier estates.

64. There are likewise Government paper and shares in Provincial railways, both yielding a very low rate of interest, and both open to the objection that on coming into his estate, the proprietor can immediately convert them into cash and squander the proceeds.

65. Further, there are improvements of the water-supply by the construction of tanks, wells, branch canals, &c., in agriculture generally, and especially in the way of planting up waste lands. But the latter class of improvements must for many years be very cautiously, in fact tentatively, proceeded with, while the former can only be carried out to the extent that they are needed. There is no doubt that in this latter direction a great deal more could and ought to be done than has hitherto been dreamt of, and the Junior Member hopes to see a considerable and safe outlet here developed

for the surplus of some estates. But on the other hand, in a great many estates, owing to the expenditure of public money on canals, little remains to be done by private capital, and when all has been done in the way of the purchase of suitable land, of water-supply and agriculture, that is possible and proper, there will remain a large and yearly increasing surplus for which proper investments must be found.

66. There remain *loans* which, given for a specific period and on specific terms, cannot be recalled at the will of the proprietor *en masse* to meet the whim of the moment, but only recovered by slow degrees in the course of a number of years. Apparently until agricultural reform has passed out of the tentative stage, a large portion of our surplus funds will have to be invested in *loans*, and it is necessary to consider the nature of these loans and the interest they should bear.

67. In the first place the Junior Member, with all deference to those who think differently, is very decidedly of opinion that the Court of Wards should not enter into competition with the banking interests of the country, and should not lend to the public generally. Undoubtedly loans have been made by the Court to distressed landholders over whose property they had no official hold, and, so far as is at present known, without any untoward consequences. But in the first place there is an element of uncertainty in such investments; and in the second place, as already mentioned, the Junior Member doubts the expediency of the Court taking up the position of general bankers. He would therefore, with the approval of Government, lay down a distinct rule that no loans are to be henceforth made by the Court of Wards out of their trust funds, except to properties under their own management, and likely so to continue until the whole or the major portion, at any rate, of the loan will have been recovered.

68. Of course in the case of properties under their own management the Court very soon come to know to an anna the liabilities and resources of the estate; no uncertainty whatsoever can exist, and save, for seasonal calamities of exceptional severity, the day on which the last rupee will be repaid can be predicted with certainty.

69. The question then arises as to the interest that should be charged on these loans. In some cases 9 per cent. has been charged; but as the Court can borrow for the use of estates under its charge as much money as it requires from the Banks at 8 per cent., it is clear that the interest must not exceed this rate. But the Junior Member would go further. Government in their letter No. 1530 of the 11th of July, 1879, made the following remarks in regard to one particular loan :—

"2. In reply I am to state that if it really be the case that, as a rule, the surplus profits of estates under the Court of Wards are ordinarily invested in Government securities, the Lieutenant-Governor is of opinion, having regard to the fact that 6¼ per cent. is the interest ordinarily demanded on loans by Government, that 6¼ per cent., that is to say the same rate, will suffice in cases of this kind, provided that it be put beyond the shadow of a doubt that the security is absolutely safe and undeniable.

"3. In the present case the loan was only sanctioned on the understanding that it would be made from funds which would otherwise be invested in Government paper, and that the security was perfectly safe and undeniable. The lending estate would then obtain 6¼ per cent. interest instead of 4 per cent., which, when the almost absolute certainty of repayment which the Court of Wards management affords is taken into consideration, cannot be considered disadvantageous terms to the lending estate, the small extra risk compared with an investment in Government paper being amply covered by the higher rate of interest.

"4. If the Junior Member can find investments at 8 per cent for the Rawatpur estate, His Honor is prepared to reconsider the matter; but if, as is assumed to be the case, the money would otherwise be invested in Government paper at 4 per cent., it cannot be admitted that the lending estate suffers any hardship in getting 6¼ per cent. interest, unless the security is bad—a point on which the Junior Member was expressly asked to satisfy himself."

70. In the Junior Member's humble opinion these orders put the whole case, and put it exhaustively. Without going into banking business generally, or into speculations of one kind or another, none of the money that would be loaned by one estate to another could be made to yield more than 4½ to 5 per cent., and that from Government paper or railways shares, investments to which, as already explained, there are grave objections. No doubt there may be cases in which improvements to the water-supply will yield more than 6¼ per cent. on the outlay, but of course no money that could be so invested, or that can be invested in the purchase of suitable land, will ever be loaned. It will only be such sums as, *faute de mieux*, would otherwise be invested in Government paper or guaranteed railways. This being so, the Junior Member would wish to adopt the particular ruling quoted as a distinct rule for all such cases.

71. This rule will put the Court less on the footing of bankers than they are at present when lending at 7, 8, or 9 per cent., according to the terms that the condition of the borrowing estate enable them to make. Moreover, on public grounds, it is always more important for the Court so to administer its trust that struggling estates are pulled through, than to accumulate large masses of capital (to be squandered a few years later by the proprietors) in the richer estates. I am to add that the Junior Member would gladly see the rates reduced in all cases of loans on which the stipulated interest exceeds 6¼ per cent. But formal bonds having been executed, such higher rate of interest has become a portion of the assets of the lending estate, and it is doubtful whether this could now be reduced or remitted without incurring some risk of reclamation hereafter.

72. But besides reducing the rate to 6¼ per cent., the Junior Member would desire to finance the properties as a whole more systematically than has been attempted. The Court holds nearly nine lakhs of securities bearing only 4 and 4½ per cent. interest. On the other hand, there is fully this amount of debt due by other estates perfectly solvent and able to give perfect security, and bearing much higher rates of interest. The Junior Member would wish to see the interest of their surplus of the one set of estates raised to 6¼ per cent., and the interest on the debts of the other set lowered to this rate. Henceforth, save as a temporary investment (to meet cases where there were good prospects of being proximately able to purchase suitable land or make useful improvements), the Junior Member would not desire to see surplus funds invested in Government paper, so long as there were other estates under the Court's management wanting the money, and in a position to give thoroughly adequate security for it. At the present moment, allowing for loans sanctioned after, or not drawn before the close of the year under report, less than three lakhs of surplus funds are invested in the manner indicated. On the other hand, allowing for purchases and improvements in prospect, at least five lakhs out of the amount now held in Government securities might probably be at once, and advantageously to all parties, so employed, and year by year further large sums will become available for this purpose. There is nothing in these proposals at variance with the past practice of the Court (except in so far as the rate of interest is concerned); but if they are to be consistently and systematically carried out, it is necessary that they should be approved by Government in their present form.

73. In the notices of estates contained in appendix A. the Junior Member has in each case recorded his opinion of the character of the management, and no further details are here necessary. But certain officers specially merit, in his opinion, the approbation of Government for the effective manner in which they have discharged this branch of their duties, and the Junior Member now desires to submit their names :—

*1st.*

Mr. A. M. Markham. | Mr. F. N. Wright. | Mr. J. S. Porter.

*2nd.*

Mr. G. S. Dale.
" W. Irvine.
" F. Giles.

Mr. A. E. Staley.
" D. T. Roberts.
" T. R. Wyer.

Wards Institute, Benares

74. The usual particulars as to the operations of this institution during 1878-79 are given in appendix B. The number of the wards whose education is there supervised increased from 12 to 15, and since the 1st of October several new pupils have been admitted. The rules of the institution were revised and republished during the year, and the Court of Wards has insisted on all wards of a suitable age, and whose property can bear the charge, being sent there, unless really valid reasons against this can be shown.

75. Whether this institution, as at present organized, is likely to fulfil in any degree the enlightened and benevolent objects that Government had in view when creating it, appears to the Junior Member a question deserving serious consideration. Certainly, despite its undeniable sanctity, a great city like Benares is *primâ facie* the last place where a number of youths, separated from the restraints of the family circle, should be located just as they are bursting into the early manhood of the tropics. A great deal has been said and written of the evil influences of the zenana, and no doubt all this has a real foundation; but the Junior Member could point to instances in which the watchful care of native ladies of high and of the highest rank, over the morals of their youthful sons, surpasses anything of the kind to be met with in similar ranks in England; and though in some cases the removal to Benares or elsewhere may help to free the young lads from evil influences, it quite as often, if not oftener, removes them from even more important good influences.

76. This, however, is not a question that should be argued *à priori*. Facts are available to test the success of the training received or supposed to be received at the Wards Institute, and the Junior Member strongly recommends Government to cause a regular enquiry to be made into the results achieved. A proper account should be furnished of every ward who has left the Institute. If dead, it should be stated whether his death was caused or hastened by intemperance or vice of any kind. If alive, it should be shown whether he is a good wise landlord and a useful citizen, or how far he falls short of this standard. The replies may be confidential, but they should state the truth without any reservation or euphuisms. The Junior Member may have been unfortunate in the specimens who have from time to time come under his notice, but his present conviction (based admittedly on most fragmentary data) is, that the results attained by the training at the Institute are inferior on the whole to those of home education in the boys' own families. Until, however, the whole facts are before us it would be premature to discuss the question further.

Benevolent trusts

77. It remains to allude to the benevolent trusts, which are detailed in appendix C. The two points noted in para. 12 of the Government orders on last year's report have been disposed of in a separate correspondence. Two new trusts have been added to the list, of which particulars are given in the appendix, and as special inquiries have been made by the Board during the year from all Collectors as to whether any trusts not included in the list exist in their districts, the Board believe that it is now correct.

In one case only, where the expenditure report shewed a slight departure from the original conditions of the trust, have the Board seen any necessity for interfering with the local authorities, who may be broadly said to administer all these trusts in the most regular and scrupulously correct manner.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

J. S. MACKINTOSH,

*Secretary.*

# APPENDIX A.

## No. 1.—JAULI.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,810: *on 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 899)

Officer in charge
MR T. F HARKNESS,
*Offg. Collector.*

THIS estate was managed direct by the Collector as in the previous year. Owing to untimely rain the realizations of rents in kind were below the receipts of the previous year. The current demand on account of cash rents, value of rents in kind, sayar, &c., was Rs. 1,809-9-10 compared with Rs. 1,945-13-8 for 1877-78. The collections were Rs. 1,787-4-1 compared with Rs. 1,860-4-0 in 1877-78. The balance was Rs. 22-5-9 due from impoverished tenants.

The whole of the recoverable arrears were also realized, and at the close of the year the assets of the estate consisted of—

| | Rs. | a | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Government promissory note for ... ... ... ... | 500 | 0 | 0 |
| Savings bank deposit ... ... ... .. ... | 279 | 15 | 6 |
| Cash balance ... ... .... ... ... ... | 118 | 9 | 3 |
| Total ... | 898 | 8 | 9 |

The expenditure of the year included a sum of Rs. 277-1-0 for repairs of the family dwelling-house at Jauli.

The ward, now 19 years of age, continues to attend the Aligarh College.

The estate is a small one; the management is direct. The report submitted was poor, but the accounts were all in good order. There were no arrears of past times, and 98 per cent. of the current demand was realized, so that on the whole the administration must be pronounced satisfactory.

## No. 2.—SAMBALAHRA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 21,000: *on 30th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs* 27,648.)

Officer in charge
MR H M. BIRD,
*Collector.*

Of the demand of the year nearly 99 per cent, or Rs. 20,777-5-8 out of a demand of Rs. 21,026-9-7, were collected. Of the recoverable arrears of cash rents, &c., of previous years, amounting to Rs. 1,272-7-0, Rs. 891-8-10, or 70 per cent. were realized.

The sale of the share possessed by the estate in mauza Kulsat for Rs. 14,489-3-10, and the redemption of the mortgage held by the estate in the villages of Athain and Gadwara, on account of which a sum of Rs. 1,102-10 6 was obtained, added to the profits of the year, enabled the Collector to pay off in full the principal and interest of the debt due to Nihal Chand, and the interest and Rs 4,400 of the principal of that due to Landhaura. On the 30th September, 1879, the only remaining debt was one of Rs. 30,500, due to Landhaura; and as the Collector estimates for a further reduction of the principal of this by Rs. 4,050 in 1879-80, the estate should be free from debt in seven years' time, without the necessity of further sales.

In the notice of this estate printed at page 3 of appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78, it was said that the younger ward, Wazir Husain, would come of age in 1878-79. This statement was made on the authority of the Muzaffarnagar Collector's No. 319, dated the 16th October, 1876, wherein it was stated that on that date he was 18 years 1 month and 15 days old. The present Collector now reports that the ward was born on the 6th April, 1861, so that he will not attain his majority till April, 1882.



6752

MEERUT DIVISION.
MUZAFFARNAGAR DISTRICT—(*concld.*)

The elder so-called ward, Amir Husain, came of age in 1874, before the passing of Act IX. of 1875. He, however, signed an agreement that the Collector should continue to manage the entire property until his younger brother came of age. But he was never formally declared disqualified, so that he did not continue legally a ward, and he contracted debts to the extent of about Rs. 18,000, for which the Court have not as yet acknowledged any responsibility. The matter is under investigation. He himself is anxious to be declared a disqualified proprietor, and either this must be done, or his share of the estate relinquished and his creditors allowed to proceed against it. The Collector has the matter in hand.

The estate is a large one, lying in both the Muzaffarnagar and Saháranpur districts. The report submitted was a fair one. The accounts were correct and the realizations (of the current demand Rs. 99 per cent., and of the arrears 76 per cent.) apparently most satisfactory, the more so that all the balances remained in Saháranpur, and none in Muzaffarnagar, where the officer in charge, Mr. Bird, was, and that nine of the villages were held direct and only six leased. The management may be considered very satisfactory.

MEERUT DISTRICT.

## No. 3.—SHEIKHPURA.

( *Normal income, Rs.* 235: *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 190. )

Officer in charge.
MR. F. GILES,
*Assistant Collector.*

As in previous year the lessee paid the full amount of his lease, Rs. 235, and Rs. 2-8-0 were received as interest on the savings bank deposit. The year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 37-14-11 in the treasury and Rs. 152-8-0 in the savings bank.

The lease of the estate fell in with the year 1878-79, and the Collector has been allowed to hold the estate under direct management during 1879-80.

The ward was 17 years and 2 months old on the 30th September, 1879, having been born in July, 1862.

The estate, a very small one, is leased. The report and the accounts were all satisfactory. From 1879-80 this estate has been under direct management, and next year it will be possible to judge of the administration.

## No. 4.—KARAM ALI KHAN'S ESTATE.

( *Normal income, Rs.* 22,473: *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 48,367. )

Officer in charge.
MR. F. GILES,
*Assistant Collector.*

During 1878-79 the Board sanctioned the purchase for this estate of the village of Hasanpur Masuri for a sum of Rs. 7,000. The investment is estimated to yield 5 per cent. on the outlay. The village lies within six miles of Baghpat, in which the estate owns a share.

In order to effect this purchase Government securities of the nominal value of Rs. 3,500 were sold for Rs. 3,424-2-3, thus reducing the sum invested from Rs. 43,000 to Rs. 39,500.

The newly-purchased village has suffered from the development of reh and the recent droughts, there being no canal irrigation. By good management a considerable area now fallow could be brought under cultivation, and additional wells, which can be constructed, will materially enhance the rental assets.

Three pucka wells were built in the old villages of the estate during the year at a cost of Rs. 1,885-8-0. Rs. 2,000 were allotted for this purpose.

No part of the Rs. 5,000 provided for agricultural improvements was expended, as the Director of Agriculture did not visit the district. Proposals have been prepared and will be placed before him when he visits the property.

The mother of the late Karam Ali Khan died on the 31st July, 1879. She received Rs. 50 a month for maintenance, which will now be saved to the estate.

The balance of the debts and takávi advances due to the estate was recovered in full with interest.

The late Junior Member sanctioned the expenidture of Rs 8,000 on the marriage and attendant ceremonies of two sisters of the ward; Rs. 3,040-4-0 only were disbursed on this account during the year under report.

Besides the sum of Rs. 39,500 invested in Government securities, the estate possessed a cash balance of Rs. 7,964-14-10 on the 30th September, 1879.

Mr. Giles, who is in charge of the estate, writes:—"The year has been a fairly prosperous one for the estate. Another village, Hasanpur Masuri, has been added to it, and the investment is a sound one. An offer was made to purchase mauza Aminagar Sarai, but the owner, after very nearly accepting Rs. 40,000, made up his mind not to sell.

"The leases of mauzas Meola and Bilochpura have expired within the year; that of Meola has been renewed to the former lessee at the rent he previously paid. Bilochpura has been taken under direct management. The late lessee held on terms very unfavorable to himself, and sustained considerable loss during his four years' tenure. The rents in the mahál were too high to have been realized in full, and they were also very unequal. A thorough redistribution has been made with slight loss to the estate and much advantage to the tenants. Fallow land has been let. Permission has been given to the cultivators to build kucha wells, and wood has been given them free of charge to enable them to do so. Brazil grass and lucerne, supplied by the Director of Agriculture, have been sown. Jarcha is a village that might probably be made much more profitable than it is, if partition of Karam Ali Khan's share from that of Sheo Singh were to take place. Several attempts have been made to induce Sheo Singh to carry out a friendly partition without effect, and a regular application will be lodged in the Bulandshahr collectorate. At present Sheo Singh declines to share in any expenses for necessary repairs, and the valuable timber of the village is suffering from neglect."

The project for the drainage of mauza Jarcha was with the Superintending Engineer of the 1st circle in July, 1879, when he wrote that he hoped to take it in hand shortly.

The ward, Kurshed Ali Khan, was 7 years 10 months old on the 30th September, 1879. The Junior Member has approved the proposal to educate him for the present at the Baghpat school; when he is sufficiently old, he can be sent to the Wards Institution at Benares.

One village of this property (Jarcha) lies in the Bulandshahr district. The report and accounts were satisfactory. The only balance was in the Bulandshahr village. Three out of the four of the Meerut villages, however, were farmed and only one held direct. During the current year a second village has been taken under direct management. On the whole the administration has been satisfactory.

---

## No. 5.—LAWAR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 2,913: *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs* 3,341.)

Officer in charge.
MR. F. GILES,
*Assistant Collector.*

The usufructuary mortgage of 3 biswas 2½ biswansis of mauza Nagoli, referred to in the notice of this estate at page 4 of the Court's report for 1877-78, was effected shortly after the close of the year. This transaction increased the demand of the year from Rs. 2,709-3-10 (estimated) to Rs. 3,036-1-3, of which Rs. 2,912-13-6, equal to nearly 96 per cent., were realized. Of the demand for arrears of cash rents, rent of houses, and manorial dues

MEERUT DIVISION.
MEERUT DISTRICT
—(*continued.*)

Rs. 245-15-10, the Assistant Collector was able to realize Rs. 215-7-1. On the 30th September, 1879, Rs. 153-12-6 represented the sum of the arrears, current and outstanding, the whole of which will, it is believed, be recovered in 1879-80.

The Government demand for revenue, cesses, and patwári rate was paid in full, and the Assistant Collector was able to repay to the estate of Karam Ali Khan the Rs. 1,000 borrowed under the sanction conveyed in G. O. No. 1581A., dated the 8th June, 1878, together with the interest, Rs. 80-12-10, due thereon.

The Court also sanctioned the expenditure of Rs. 150 on the marriage of the second ward, Jawahira Prasad, and Rs. 50 on the ceremonies attendant on the birth of a son to the eldest ward, Chandi Prasad.

The year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 187-0-10 at credit of the estate.

The three wards had attained the following ages on the 30th September, 1879 :—

Chandi Prasad, born in August, 1861, 18 years 1 month.

Jawahira Prasad, born in February, 1864, 15 years 7 months.

Har Prasad, born in August, 1866, 13 years 1 month.

The report, accounts, and collections of this property, which was managed direct, were all excellent, as indeed appears to have been the management generally.

## No. 6.—MURADNAGAR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,620 : *on* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 5,408.)

Officer in charge.
MR. F. GILES,
*Assistant Collector.*

This estate has been leased for a term of ten years for an annual payment of Rs. 1,000, the lessee paying the Government demand for land revenue, local cesses, and patwári rate. The lessee paid the full amount of the lease during the year under report, and a sum of Rs. 112-15-3 was recovered as compensation for land appropriated by Government.

The Assistant Collector was able to pay a sum of Rs. 420 on account of the principal of the unsecured debts, which were thus reduced to Rs. 2,649. A sum of Rs 276-3-0 on account of interest to the 30th September, 1879, was also paid, and the year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 145-14-6 at the credit of the estate.

The wards are three in number, and their ages on the 30th September, 1879, were 9 years, 6 years 9 months, and 3 years 4 months respectively.

The report, accounts, and realizations are satisfactory, but the entire property is leased for a long term to farmers, who even pay the Government revenue and cesses, so that nothing can be said of the management.

## No. 7.—PIRNAGAR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 2,031 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 3,629.)

Officer in charge.
MR F. GILES,
*Assistant Collector.*

The year 1877-78 closed with the estate free from debt (which amounted at date of assumption of superintendence on the 31st January, 1877, to Rs. 16,391 principal), the estate having, moreover, the following assets :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Government promissory notes for ... ... ... ... | 1,500 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash balance in the treasury ... ... ... ... | 765 | 12 | 6 |
| Recoverable arrears of cash rents and manorial dues ... ... | 240 | 4 | 5 |
| Total ... | 2,506 | 0 | 11 |

This change of position had been effected mainly by the sale for Rs. 20,175 of a 7½ biswa share (paying a Government revenue of Rs. 815) in one of the villages.

During the past year Rs 2,031-4-0, equal to 90 per cent. of a demand of Rs 2,254-10-2, were realized, and Rs. 204-7-7 of the recoverable arrears of previous years were got in.

The year closed with assets consisting of—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Government promissory notes for .. ... ... ... | 1,500 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash balance in the treasury ... ... ... ... | 1,884 | 12 | 2 |
| Recoverable arrears of cash rents ... ... ... ... | 244 | 0 | 8 |
| Total ... | 3,628 | 12 | 10 |

The receipts of the year include a sum of Rs. 112-7-3, balance of the debts that remained due to the estate.

In the notice of the estate at page 5 of the Court's report for 1877-78, appendix A., it is stated that nothing can be done at present towards the improvement of the estate, which consists only of shares in three undivided maháls in which wells are difficult to sink. In the budget of the year 1879-80 a sum of Rs. 3,000 has been provided for investment in the mortgage or purchase of land in a village of which the estate possesses a 10 biswa share, one of the other sharers being in want of money. But nothing definite was settled at the date of the submission of the budget.

The elder ward, Narain Singh, was 15 years 2 months, and the younger, Kharak Singh, 13 years 2 months old on the 30th September, 1879. Both are being educated at the Pirnagar village school, where they live.

The realizations were fair, the report and accounts correct, and the property was managed direct. The management has been satisfactory.

---

## No. 8.—BHADAULI.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 2,334 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 1,450.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. F. GILES
*Assistant Collector.*

In the previous year the estate was under management for five months only, so that the present accounts are for the first complete year of management.

The demand was realized in full ; and, as at the close of the previous year, the year 1878-79 closed with, there being nothing due to or from the estate.

The ward was 18 years and 3 months old on the 30th September, 1879. He continues to be educated at the Gháziabad tahsíli school, under the supervision of the Tahsíldar.

The cost of management, although direct, falls at the rate of Re. 1·7 per cent. only on the income. The smallness of the cost is due to a collateral relative of the minor managing the estate without remuneration, and to him must be given the credit of the very successful administration of the property, though the Assistant Collector's report and accounts show that he has also done all that was required of him.

---



## No. 9.—KHURJA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 3,100 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 1,039.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. F. S. GROWSE,
*Collector.*

The purchase of 15 biswas of mauza Agwal, referred to in the notice of this estate at page 6 of appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78, was effected shortly after the close of that year for a sum of Rs. 10,430. A further sum of Rs. 1,326 was paid to the mortgagee for redemption of the purchased property.

The purchase has been a profitable one, the profits after payment of the Government demand for revenue, cesses, and patwári rate, being Rs. 550 in the year under report. Rs. 1,196-2-3 were found to be due from the tenants, of which Rs. 684-6-9 were realized. The balance, Rs. 511-11-6, is expected to be recovered in the current year.

By the payment to the estate of Rs. 928 in redemption of the mortgage on lands in two villages in 1877-78 which were held in usufructuary possession by the estate, the amount of the lease of the estate held by a relative of the wards was reduced from Rs. 1,460 to Rs. 1,272. The purchased property was also leased during the year 1878-79, and the amount payable by the lessee thus increased to Rs. 1,822, of which he paid all but a sum of four annas within the year. The lessee pays the Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate, in addition to the sum he pays the Court of Wards.

The female ward, Musammat Rukiya, attained the age of 21 in the year 1878-79. The male ward, Husain Ali Khan, will attain his majority in August, 1880, when the estate will be released from the Court's control, free from debt, with a valuable addition to the original property, and a present estimated cash balance of Rs. 2,370-1-8.

The property is entirely leased, otherwise there is nothing to be said about the management.

---

## No. 10.—SKINNER ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 6,000 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 59,793.)

Officer in charge
MR. F. S. GROWSE,
*Collector.*

During the year under notice Mr. Alexander Skinner, the manager of the Skinner ancestral estate, found employment for Richard and George Skinner on the estate from the 1st September, 1879, and Miss Alice Skinner was married, with the consent of all parties, to Lieutenant Skinner, her cousin, on the 22nd October, 1879.

Richard R. Skinner attained his majority on the 11th October, 1879, and the Court has directed the payment to him of his share of the accumulated funds, which amounted on the 30th September, 1879, to Rs. 59,792-8-4, Richard Skinner's share being Rs. 15,442-1-0. George Skinner will not attain his majority until the 21st May, 1881. He recently proposed to proceed to England to complete his studies, but has finally withdrawn his proposal. He is still working on the estate.

Under the Indian Majority Act Mrs. Skinner, late Miss Alice Skinner, will not attain her majority until she is 21 years of age. She was born on the 5th November, 1861, so that she will be 21 years old on the 5th November, 1882, till which time the Court of Wards cannot relinquish the management of her property.

It was at one time the wish of Mr. Alexander Skinner that Miss Margaret Skinner, who will attain her majority on the 14th September, 1884, should be sent to England to complete her education. Mr. W. C. Plowden, the Commissioner of Meerut, was, while in England, to have considered the most suitable establishment to send her to. To this, however, the young lady objected most strongly, as she wished to live with her married sister The matter is being considered by the Commissioner and Mr. A. Skinner.

The income of the year was much in excess of that estimated, owing to the sum of Rs. 7,496-2-11 having been received from Mr. A. Skinner, as the wards' share of the profits of the ancestral estate for the year ending the 30th June, 1878. This sum is much in excess of the dividend received in any previous year. The following is the share of each of the wards in the accumulated funds on the 30th September, 1879 :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Richard Skinner ... ... ... ... ... ... | 15,442 | 1 | 0 |
| George Skinner ... ... ... ... ... ... | 13,091 | 12 | 5 |
| Alice Skinner ... ... ... ... ... ... | 15,629 | 5 | 6 |
| Margaret Skinner ... ... ... ... ... ... | 15,629 | 5 | 5 |
| Total ... | 59,792 | 8 | 4 |
| These funds consist of value of Government paper held ... ... | 50,500 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash balance ... ... ... ... ... ... | 9,292 | 8 | 4 |
| Total ... | 59,792 | 8 | 4 |

The affairs of these wards give an extraordinary amount of trouble, but, so far as estate management goes, there is of course nothing with which to credit the Collector.

## No. 11.—JAHANGIRABAD.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 10,440: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good* Rs. 19,252.)

Officer in charge. Mr F. S. GROWSE, *Collector.*

Since the notice of this estate at page 6 of the Court's report of the year 1877-78 was written, it was discovered that the ward would not attain his majority till the year 1880, and the Board have directed release of the estate at the close of the current revenue year, ending the 30th September, 1880.

The kharíf and rabi harvests of 1877 were very bad, and the Collector reports that the kharíf of 1878 was an indifferent one. The manager of the estate, Nawáb Muhammad Ali Khan, elder brother of the ward, collected only 30 per cent. of the recoverable arrears of cash rents of previous years, and 84 per cent. of the current year's demand.

The ward was married during the year, and Rs. 2,500 were expended on the ceremony, and Rs. 500 laid out in the purchase of a horse and trappings for the ward.

Rs. 311-8-0 were spent on the repairs of masonry and kutcha wells.

The cash balance on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 3,942-15-11, and Rs. 13,000 Government paper was possessed by the estate on that date.

The Collector did not find a favorable opportunity for investing the surplus funds in the purchase of landed property, but he promises to submit proposals to this end so soon as a favorable opportunity offers.

The ward attended the Agra College throughout the year. The Principal reports that he has made excellent progress in English, but is somewhat weak in Mathematics. He was to go up for the University Entrance Examination in the first week of December, 1879, and had a good chance of passing. His conduct in the boarding-house was all that could be desired.

The Nawáb Muhammad Ali Khan, owner of a one-half share, manages the entire estate, in which the ward has a one-fourth share; and here too the Collector's management is nominal, but in both this and the previous estate his report and accounts were quite satisfactory.

## No. 12.—BELAUN ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,380 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good or bad, nil*)

Officer in charge. Tahsildar of pargana Dibai.

The estate was placed in charge of the Collector by the Judge of Meerut by his order dated the 23rd May, 1879; but owing to the illness of the former manager, and the necessity for enquiring into the affairs of the estate, delay occurred, and charge was not assumed till the 1st October, 1879.

MEERUT DIVISION.
BULANDSHAHR DISTRICT,
—(*concluded.*)

The estate consists of a 15-biswas share in the village of Bazidpur and of 2½-biswas share in the village of Belaun, in which the ward possesses 23 bighas 7 biswas of sir land.

The minor proprietor is named Aram Singh and is about 9 years old. He has a sister aged about 10 years, who has a claim for maintenance, but possesses no share in the estate.

The share in mauza Belaun was mortgaged for Rs. 1,800 for a period which expires on the 30th June, 1881. The debt due on this mortgage is the only one due from the estate.

The debts due to the estate amount to Rs. 3,829, of which Rs. 2,387 are due from the late manager and Rs. 1,442 on bonds. The Collector estimates to recover the whole of the first sum and Rs. 1,000 of the last mentioned during 1879-80.

The rental assets of the share in mauza Bazidpur and of the sir land are estimated to be Rs. 1,380.

The ward and his sister live with their maternal grandmother. The boy will be educated at the Belaun village school.

The estate will be managed direct.

---

## No. 13.—MUNDA KHERA.

(*Normal income, Rs* 737 : *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 59.)

Officer in charge.
MR. F. S. GROWSE,
*Collector.*

This estate was placed by the Judge of Meerut in charge of the Collector by his order No. 26, dated the 17th May, 1879. The property consists of $\frac{16}{17}$ths share in a proprietary holding of 91 bighas of land and a half share of 5 bighas 7 biswas of perpetual muáfi land. The share in the muáfi land was mortgaged by the father of the ward for Rs. 1,200. It was redeemed immediately after the close of the year under report.

The ward, Abdulla Khan, is about 13 years of age. The Junior Member has directed that he be entered as a boarder in the Bulandshahr zila school.

Besides the sum due on the mortgage of the revenue-free land, no other debts are due from the estate. The cash balance on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 1,259-3-0, Rs. 1,200 of which was at once devoted to the redemption of the mortgage.

The annual income from the landed property is estimated to be Rs. 736-13-0 only.

The more important portion has been leased.

---

ROHILKHAND DIVISION.
BIJNOR DISTRICT.

## No. 14.—SHERKOT.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,32,130 : *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 1,28,140.)

Officer in charge.
MR. C. W. MELLOR,
*Collector.*

No further action has been taken by Ráni Badam Kunwar in prosecuting her appeal before the Privy Council. In their letter No. 173, dated the 25th February, 1880, the Board informed Government that it was not certain whether the Ráni would proceed with the appeal, and that instructions had issued which would secure the interests of the Court of Wards being carefully watched. The Ráni no doubt wishes to proceed with the appeal, but hitherto she has been unable to obtain the necessary funds.

The settlement of the claims against the late Ghassa Singh were referred to in the notice of this estate at page 7 of the Court's report for the year 1877-78. Claims

aggregating Rs. 6,180 were under consideration at the close of 1877-78. One claim for Rs. 530 was repudiated, and orders have issued for the payment of the other two claims which were decided to be valid.

The results of the management of 1878-79 show that this estate is rapidly recovering from the effects of the drought of 1877-78. Of the recoverable arrears 40 per cent., and of the current demand 98 per cent., were collected in the year under report, compared with 25 per cent. and 72 per cent. respectively in 1877-78.

The ward, Ranjit Singh, is being educated at the Agra College. He was born on the 30th July, 1865, so that he was 14 years and 2 months old on the 30th September, 1879. It will be considered whether he should not be sent to the Wards Institution at Benares when the result of the appeal to the Privy Council is known.

This large estate lies almost entirely in the Bijnor district, only ten villages and shares (all revenue-free) out of a grand total of 138 villages and shares being situated elsewhere (in Moradabad). Considering how very severely the property suffered in 1877-78, the realizations were very satisfactory and the accounts and report were all that could be desired. It is not known to what extent direct management now prevails, but it is believed that much of the property is leased to old resident cultivators: but the administration as a whole has certainly been good.

---

## No. 15.—KIRATPUR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 7,500 : *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 25,269.)

Officer in charge.
MR. C. W. MELLOR, *Collector.*

This estate is the property of Musammat Hashmi Begam and her five minor children—two sons and three daughters. Under clause (*a*), section 194, Act XIX., 1873, Musammat Hashmi Begam was declared incompetent to manage her estate by G. O. No. 834, dated the 15th April, 1879. On the 19th idem the Junior Member directed assumption of charge of the property and persons of the minors under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX., 1873. But as there were no receipts or expenditure during the year, Mr. Mellor does not seem to have bestirred himself much in the matter.

The former proprietor, Mufti Tafazzul Husain, left his property to heirs in the following proportions :—

(1) $\frac{7}{22}$ to Ihsan Husain and Tasadduk Husain by his first wife.

(2) $\frac{15}{22}$ to his second wife, Musammat Hashmi Begam, and her children, the minors.

It was the estate of the latter alone that was taken charge of by the Court. Before superintendence was assumed, the heirs divided by arbitration the estate into two shares of $\frac{7}{22}$ and $\frac{15}{22}$, and bound themselves to pay off the deceased proprietor's debts in the proportion of their shares. The debts amounted to about Rs. 37,000, of which part was secured on simple mortgages of certain villages and carried interest, and part in usufructuary mortgages. In the latter case the mortgagee enjoyed the profits of the land assigned as security in lieu of interest.

The net profit of the share of the estate which fell under the arbitration award to Musammat Hashmi Begam and her children is estimated to be Rs. 3,976 ; and the share of the debts chargeable to this share, Rs. 25,268.

Mufti Tafazzul Husain was a man of much local mark and was held in high esteem by successive Collectors of Bijnor ; and Musammat Hashmi Begam bears a very high character. Her eldest daughter, one of the wards of Court, was lately married to Mir Shahamat Khan, C.S.I., Superintendent of the Rutlam State.

In G. O. No. 385B, dated the 5th February, 1880, the Government sanctioned the grant of a loan of Rs. 7,000, at 8 per cent. interest, from the surplus funds of the

ROHILKHAND DIVISION.
BIJNOR DISTRICT —(*concluded.*)

Sherkot estate, also under the charge of the Court in the Bijnor district, to enable the Collector to redeem the shares held in mortgage.

The Collector has leased the whole of the property for Rs. 7,715. The charges for Government revenue, cesses, cost of management, maintenance, &c., are estimated to be Rs. 7,030. Rs. 1,530 may probably be recovered of arrears of cash rents, which are said to amount to between Rs. 4,000 and 5,000. This would give net profits for 1879-80 of Rs. 2,000 for payment on account of the debts. But a great many matters were still unsettled when the last reports were received: the real financial condition of the estate has not been thoroughly threshed out, and the Junior Member is not at all prepared to approve the Collector's at once leasing out all the villages. Due instructions have been issued, and next year it is hoped that it will be possible to give a more satisfactory account of this property. So far the Junior Member cannot say that the Collector seems to have been very active where this estate is concerned.

MORADABAD DISTRICT.

## No. 16.—ESTATE OF KISHN SINGH AND GOKUL SINGH.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 887 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 534.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B. TRACY, *Collector.*

The estate of both brothers continued under management during the year. The younger ward has now attained his 20th year, and with the permission of the Judge of Moradabad the estate will be released with effect from the commencement of 1880-81. The property, however, which has been, as is the custom in Moradabad, leased throughout, will continue leased to the close of 1882-83.

The demand of the year was realized in full, and on the 30th September, 1879, there was a sum of Rs. 520-8-5 in the savings bank and Rs. 13-3-5 in the treasury to the credit of the estate.

There is no fault to find with the management, which, however, in a small leased property like this is nominal.

## No. 17.—ESTATE OF BAKHT SINGH AND INDARJIT SINGH.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 258 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 386.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T B. TRACY, *Collector.*

Bakht Singh attained his majority in 1878-79, when he applied for and obtained permission for the retention of his share of the estate under the management of the Court of Wards till his younger brother, who is 17 years old, attains his majority.

The demand of the year was realized in full, and on the 30th September, 1879, the estate possessed Rs. 383-10-5 in the savings bank and a cash balance of Rs. 2-8-11. The Board have sanctioned the payment to Bakht Singh of his share of these savings.

The younger ward will be married in April, 1880. He continues to attend the Rajpur village school.

This property, like others in Moradabad, has been leased. Nothing need be said of the management.

## No. 18.—SHANKAR LAL'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 311 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 165).

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B. TRACY, *Collector.*

The full demand of the year on account of cash rents was realized. Rs. 3, on account of house-rent, have been written off the accounts, the tenant in default having absconded.

The estate has now at its credit Rs. 149-11-1 in the savings bank and Rs. 15-13-3 in the treasury.

The ward is now in his 16th year and continues to attend the halkabandi school.

The estate is leased.

---

## No. 19.—BISHAMBHAR NATH'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 14,522 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 19,071.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B. TRACY,
*Collector.*

The lessees paid the full amount of their lease in the year under report.

It was stated in the notice of this estate at pages 11-12 of the Court's report for the year 1876-77, that Mír Imdad Ali Khan Bahadur, C.S.I., Deputy Collector, was adjusting the counter-claims of the estate, on the one hand against Sitaram, and of Niranjan, the son of Sitaram, on the other, against the estate. The Deputy Collector at the same time enquired into other unsecured debts alleged to be due from the estate. He found that the estate owed Niranjan Rs. 5,000 principal and Rs. 750 interest, and that of the balance of the unsecured debts, amounting to Rs. 1,763-9-9, alleged to be due from the estate, Rs. 195-14-6 only were valid. Sitaram was sued for the amount found due from him, and a decree was obtained in favor of the estate after the close of the year under report. The Collector has been instructed to endeavour to adjust this decree by a set-off of the debt due by the estate to the son of the judgment-debtor.

The result of the investigation of the Deputy Collector as to the debts due from the estate is as follows :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Debts when superintendence was assumed ... ... | 34,547 | 13 | 3 |
| Incurred after superintendence was assumed, *i. e.*, borrowed to consolidate existing debts ... ... ... | 31,466 | 11 | 1 |
| Total ... | 66,014 | 8 | 4 |
| Repaid on account of principal and interest to the 30th September, 1879 ... ... ... ... | 40,264 | 8 | 4 |
| Balance due ... | 25,750 | 0 | 0 |

of which Rs. 20,000 are due to the estate of Munawwar Ali Khan and Rs. 5,750 to Niranjan. The actual net repayment of debt has amounted to Rs. 8,797.

The ward is now 12 years old, and his property should be free from debt about the time he attains his 18th year.

The entire estate was leased, and the Junior Member cannot say that even in the small matter that remained for disposal, *viz.*, the adjustment of the counter-claims by and against the estate, any great activity has been displayed by the Collector.

---

## No. 20.—MUNAWWAR ALI KHAN'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 12,090 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 40,327.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B. TRACY,
*Collector.*

This estate, as was explained in the abstract notice at page 9, appendix A., of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, consists of the following landed property :—

| | |
|---|---|
| Entirety of revenue-paying villages ... ... ... ... | 4 |
| Shares in ditto ... ... ... ... | 24 |
| Ditto revenue-free villages ... ... ... ... | 4 |

the average approximate annual income being Rs. 12,800, and the Government demand for land revenue and cesses Rs. 7,779-2-6. The major part of the estate is leased to the close of 1289 fasli.

The Civil Surgeon visited the lunatic ward on three different occasions during the year under report. There is no hope of the ward's ultimate recovery.

Of the current demand, Rs. 12,791-10-7, Rs. 11,471 were realized; and of the realizable arrears, Rs. 1,705-3-9, Rs. 1,539-1-0 were collected.

Including the cash balance, Rs. 6,392-3-8, at the commencement of the year the available income was Rs. 25,527-0-4. The expenditure, including Rs. 6,138-7-0 invested in the purchase of Government paper, amounted to Rs. 15,260-3-2, leaving Rs. 10,266-13-2 as the cash balance at credit of the estate on the 30th September, 1879.

Rs. 130-5-6 of the current land-revenue demand was not paid. This, it is explained, was the jama of certain resumed muáfi holdings ordinarily paid through the lumberdar. Orders for adjustment issued as soon as the non-payment of the sum was discovered.

All other charges were, however, met in full.

The collections on account of the current demand, only 89 per cent., were most unsatisfactory for an estate all the villages of which appear to be leased. But the Collector's report, which is most meagre, gives no explanation of the delay in realization. The administration cannot be commended.

---

## No. 21.—ABDUL WAHID'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 286 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 409.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B. TRACY,
*Collector.*

The debt secured by the mortgage of 2½ biswas of mauza Bankawala was further reduced by Rs. 101-6-0 during the year under report, leaving Rs. 506-11-0 still due. The cash balance was Rs. 97-2-10 on the 30th September, 1879, of which Rs. 70-9-9 was lodged in the savings bank.

The estate is leased.

---

## No. 22.—KUNWAR BHIM SINGH'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 4,233 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 11,501.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B. TRACY,
*Collector.*

With effect from the commencement of 1878-79, the four villages in pargana Hasanpur, of the Moradabad district, were leased for five years for an annual payment of Rs. 2,505, the lessee paying the patwári rate. The amount of the lease was received in full for the year under report.

Since the close of 1877-78, the Board have come to the knowledge of the following debts being due from the proprietor, besides the Rs. 14,000 owing to Rája Sheoraj Singh and to the Tehri Rája :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| To Mahant Tejban ... ... ... ... | 2,363 | 8 | 0 |
| „ Mr. Drew ... ... ... ... | 600 | 0 | 0 |
| „ Makund Ram ... ... ... ... | 227 | 10 | 0 |
| „ Lachman Das ... ... ... ... | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| „ Banias and servants ... ... ... ... | 500 | 0 | 0 |
| Total ... ... | 3,891 | 2 | 0 |

*Ex parte* decrees were obtained by Makund Ram and Lachman Das. On Makund Ram beginning to sell, in execution of his decree, a part of the landed property, the Board were first made aware of the decree which was obtained while the property was under the superintendence of the Court of Wards, the Court not being made a party to the suit. As Kunwar Bhim Singh admits the claim to the extent of Rs. 113 only, the Collector of Moradabad filed a petition of objection to the execution

of the decree, which has been allowed. The claims of Mr. Drew, Lachman Das, and the banias and servants, have not yet been preferred by the creditors, and as they appear to have been contracted after superintendence of the estate was assumed, they are not chargeable against the property. Of the sum due to Mahant Tejban, Rs. 1,000 were paid, and on the 30th September, 1879, Rs. 1,363-8-0 on account of principal and Rs. 164-7-0 on account of interest remained due.

The Collector hopes to be able to recover the whole or the greater part of the sum of Rs. 3,865-0-6 due to the estate by Chhajmal Das of Bijnor during 1879-80.

The arrangements in the case of this estate are peculiar. The proprietor gets the entire profit of his three Kumaun villages, the management of which has been continued to him despite his having been declared a disqualified proprietor, and Rs. 800 out of the profits of his four Moradabad villages as a maintenance allowance. Nothing is being done to pay off debt out of current income. The ordinary and law expenses of 1878-79 amounted to Rs. 2,415-9-11, the ordinary receipts to Rs. 2,505. Any arrears or debts that are recovered go towards paying off debt. The proprietor enjoys an ample income, contracts debts for which his estate is not liable, and Government officers manage his money affairs for him at a total charge of Rs. 30 per annum. When it is added that his two principal creditors, to whom he owes Rs. 14,000, charge no interest on their debts, and are wholly indifferent to their repayal, it will be admitted that this gentleman's good fortune is somewhat exceptional.

---

## No. 23.—ESTATE OF KAZI MUHAMMAD ABBAS.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 15,000 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 11,301.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. T. B. TRACY, *Collector.*

The year 1877-78 closed with arrears of cash rents, amounting to Rs. 3,898-0-4, owing from the lessees. The current demand, amounting to Rs. 15,223-6-7, also fell into arrear to the extent of Rs. 6,224-10-10, and as Rs. 1,937-8-6 only of the arrears of 1877-78 were realized, the arrears due from the lessees on the 30th September, 1879, amounted to Rs. 8,185-2-8.

The affairs of this estate have been sadly mismanaged. The state of things will be best understood by reproducing the Board's orders of the 14th February on the subject :—

"2. The Junior Member fully agrees with you that the affairs of this estate are in a most unsatisfactory condition, but he does not think the measures proposed by the Collector are likely to improve matters. Up to the receipt of this report the Junior Member was not aware that the lessees of the villages of the estate belonged to the family of the disqualified proprietor, still less that they were men of straw, put in with the knowledge of the Collector of the district, to secure to the owner the management of an estate which he had been declared disqualified to manage. In 1876 the estate was taken under the Court of Wards by the orders of the Board after calling for some correspondence between the Commissioner and Collector relative to the management of the property. This correspondence was forwarded by your letter No. 656 of the 9th October, 1876. It showed that the villages in pargana Moradabad had been leased in 1875 for Rs. 3,522 to one Rafi-ud-din for five years (1283-1287 fasli), and the Thákurdwara villages to Tajammul Hussain in 1876 for Rs. 9,173 for five years (1284 1288 fasli).

"In 1875 the Collector of Moradabad proposed originally to give the lease of the former villages to Tajammul Hussain, but the Commissioner (Mr. B. W. Colvin) distinctly refused to sanction the lease to him, on the ground that he was a member of the family. Yet the next year a lease of the more extensive property in Thákurdwara was given to this very man, and, as far as can be gathered from the papers, without security. The Board were unwilling to disturb these arrangements, as they had been made before the estate was taken under the Court of Wards; but the result

shows that it would have been better had the caution exercised by Mr. B. W. Colvin been observed in leasing the Thákurdwara villages.

"3. In 1876-77 the full amount was paid up by the lessees; but in 1877-78 they fell largely into arrears, and the Board sanctioned the Collector's proposal to institute suits against them. The Junior Member is surprised to learn that this has not been done, and that the Collector considers it is not worth while to sue them. With regard to Rafi-ud-din, he appears to have given security, and the Collector of Moradabad should report why the surety cannot be proceeded against. If, as seems most probable, no security was taken from Tajammul Hussain, the neglect to do so is most reprehensible, and the Junior Member would be glad to know why the lease was granted to a man who is evidently a pauper.

"4. The Junior Member considers that more vigorous measures than those contemplated by you should be taken. It is useless to warn the real lessee, who, you say, is 'incurably' extravagant, to be more careful; if he cannot meet the current demand due under his lease, he is most unlikely ever to be able to make good the heavy arrears of the past two years. He appears to be simply embezzling the rents. If he deserves to be leniently dealt with on the score of family, it would be more economical to let the arrears go and resume the leases than allow the present arrangements to continue. Besides this, his creditors, for whose benefit the estate was made over by the Civil Court to the Revenue authorities, are being defrauded as long as the proprietor is allowed to absorb the profits of the estate in the guise of a lessee.

"5. The Junior Member therefore requests you to direct the Collector of Moradabad to obtain from the lessees, without delay, a formal renunciation of the leases, and to take either from them or from the disqualified proprietor, or from all three, a bond for the payment of the arrears by instalments. If they refuse to give up the leases, they should be immediately sued for the arrears, and ejected in execution of the decree under section 35. The villages should then be taken under direct management by the Collector, and the Junior Member will sanction any establishment which may be required. It is not clear to whom the Káshipur village is leased, but presumably the lessee is one of the two lessees of the rest of the property. An early report should be submitted by the Collector regarding the way in which he has been able to comply with these orders."

Despite the proprietor's embezzlements, Rs. 1,200 were paid to the creditors and all other charges were met in full. The sum of the debts due on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 21,570-12-6, and there was a cash balance of Rs. 2,085-0-3 at credit of the estate.

If the Board's orders are duly carried out, and the anticipations of 1879-80 are fulfilled, the debts should be further reduced by a payment of Rs. 12,000.

---

## No. 24.—ESTATE OF CHAUBE BUDH PRAKASH.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 5,393 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 8,901.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B TRACY,
*Collector.*

The estate was placed by the Judge of Moradabad under charge of the Court by his order No. 168, dated the 10th August, 1878. It belongs to a minor who is reported to be about 9 years old. The persons entitled to maintenance are the grandmother and stepmother of the ward.

The estate is composed of the entirety of two villages and shares in three others in the Bijnor district, and of shares in four and small fractional shares in three other villages in the Moradabad district, all of which have been leased for an annual payment of Rs. 5,250-14-8. The Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate, amounts to Rs. 2,232-10-11. Of the three fractional shares of villages in the Moradabad district, the shares in two are revenue-free.

The estate also possessed 13 shops in Moradabad and 1 shop in Kanth. Three were sold for Rs. 5,000 in the year under report to save sale of a portion of the estate under Civil Court decrees.

The demand for the year was Rs. 4,373-7-9, all of which with the exception of a trifling sum of Rs. 47-2-2 was realized.

Rs. 8,570-5-1 were due from the estate when superintendence was assumed. Rs. 5,674-14-7 were paid during the year and Rs. 2,895-6-6 remained due at its close.

When superintendence was assumed, debts amounting to Rs. 10,503-9-2 were found to be due to the estate, of which Rs. 1,071-12-6 were realized during the year, and Rs. 9,431-12-8 remained due on the 30th September, 1879, of which Rs. 4,033-0-8 are due from one Laik Ram, who is owed Rs. 2,738-5-0 of the sum of the debts due from the estate on the 30th September, 1879.

At the close of the year there was a cash balance of Rs. 2,317-0-10 at credit of the estate, so that it will be seen that the estate is in a sound financial condition, although sufficient energy has not been shown in getting its accounts clear and realizing its debts. Had this been done—and for not doing it the Collector is clearly responsible—the sale of the three shops would have been unnecessary.

---

## No. 25.—ESTATE OF SHYAM SUNDAR AND SHYAM SARAN.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,071: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 1,798.)

Officer in charge.
MR. T. B. TRACY,
*Collector.*

This estate was placed by the Judge of Moradabad under the charge of the Collector by order dated the 30th June, 1879. The wards are two in number—Shyam Sundar, aged about 11 years, and Shyam Saran, 9 years. Musammat Khillo Kumari the mother, and Shyam Dei the sister, of the minors, have no share in the estate, but are entitled to maintenance.

The estate consists of fractional shares in 13 villages in the Moradabad district, and two dwelling-houses with shops attached. The rental assets of the land are estimated at Rs. 1,011. The minors live in one of the houses. The Collector estimates to obtain Rs. 60 per annum by renting the other dwelling-house and the two shops. Rs. 116-2-9 are due to the estate, and Rs. 1,914-4-0, including accumulated arrears of interest, are due from the estate. The creditors, now that the estate has been placed in charge of the Court of Wards, are willing to accept gradual repayment of the debts in such instalments as the estate can annually afford.

There were no receipts or disbursements on account of this property during 1878-79.

---

BUDAUN DISTRICT.

## No. 26.—ALAPUR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 168: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good or bad*, nil.)

Officer in charge.
MR. A. ROBINSON,
*Assistant Collector.*

The arrangement for the management of this estate and employment of the profits, after all charges have been defrayed, continue to be the same as were reported for 1876-77.

There is nothing to say about the management of this small property, which was leased.

---

BAREILLY DISTRICT.

## No. 27.—DEORIA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,642: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 11,364.)

Officer in charge.
MR. J. R. REID,
*Collector.*

Hori Singh, the elder minor proprietor of this estate, died on the 14th May, 1879, from the effects of an accidental explosion of gunpowder, and the entire estate is now owned by Umrao Singh, who has been recorded sole proprietor.

ROHILKHAND DIVISION.
BAREILLY DISTRICT
—(*concluded.*)

The Board commented severely on the management of this estate in 1877-78. The management of the year under report shows little improvement over that of the preceding year. Rs. 72 per cent. of the current demand and Rs. 44 per cent. of the recoverable arrears of previous years were realized. These small collections are attributed to (1) the unfavorable character of the seasons, and (2) slack management on the part of the muharrir, who has been dismissed, and lax supervision on the part of the tahsildar, who has been reprimanded; and, the Junior Member must add, insufficient attention on the part of the Collector.

The annual net profits of the seven villages comprised in the estate is Rs. 541 only. Two villages are in possession of a mortgagee on account of a debt of Rs. 8,000. The profit arising from them is set against the annual accruing interest on the loan at 9¾ per cent., and as it is not sufficient to meet the amount of the interest, the total debt on the two villages amounted to Rs. 9,033 on the 30th September, 1879. In addition to this mortgage is an unsecured debt of Rs. 2,500 incurred by the father of the minor, bearing 12 per cent. interest. Rs. 636 represented the accumulated arrears of unpaid interest on this unsecured debt on the 30th September, 1879. The total debts due from the estate now amount to Rs. 12,169.

After the close of the year Mr. Reid did what he ought to have done any time during the previous two years; he visited and carefully inspected the villages and went very carefully into the assets of the estate. Five of the villages seem to be fairly assessed and require only the rent-rolls to be revised. In their letter No. 358, dated the 23rd April, 1880, the Board recommended that the jamas of the other two villages be reduced for a term of seven years, and this has been sanctioned.

The Collector now considers that by enhancing rents in all the villages, and taking these reductions of revenue into account, he will be able to raise the net profit from Rs. 541 to Rs. 1,785. The mortgages can be paid off, as also the unsecured debt, with money borrowed at a moderate rate of interest, and it is to be hoped that this property, part of which is now directly managed, is at last in fair way of receiving due attention.

SHÁHJAHÁNPUR DISTRICT.

## No. 28.—NARAYANPUR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 15,286 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 16,607.)

Officer in charge.
MR. J. S. PORTER, *Collector.*

The kharif being good and the rabi an average crop, the Collector was able to realize 77 per cent. of the recoverable arrears of cash rents, &c., of the previous year, and very nearly 98 per cent. of the current demand.

These good results enabled him to pay Rs. 4,960-10-11 towards reduction of principal, and Rs. 1,589-5-1 on account of interest, of the debts due from the estate, leaving due Rs. 16,999-1-8 on account of principal and Rs. 685-4-8 on account of unpaid interest on the 30th September, 1879. When the estate was taken over in 1870, the debts stood at Rs. 44,000.

Rs. 500 were borrowed from the State under the Land Improvement Act, for the construction of wells on which Rs. 72-7-9 were expended. The remainder will be spent in the current year. Mr. Porter finds that he can sink a superior class of kutcha well for Rs. 20 or Rs. 30 which will last for many years. Four were sunk in 1878-79, and if the experiment prove successful, more will be sunk in the current year.

The cultivators agree to pay enhanced rents of from one to two annas per bígha on such land as can be irrigated from these wells. The cost of construction should be recouped in three or four years.

The estate is now in a fairly prosperous condition and should be free from debt in a very few years.

Mr. Porter's report is an excellent one. Clearly he has had his heart in the work; he has managed this property direct and has managed it extremely well; he has not

merely been most successful in realizations, but he has been trying hard to improve the property permanently, and he deserves much credit for all he has done.

## No. 29.—NAHAL.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 20,985 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 56,505.)

Officer in charge.
MR. J. S. PORTER,
*Collector.*

The year under report was a more favorable one for this estate than 1877-78; but while the kharíf was good, the rabi was poor, owing to no winter rain having fallen. Mr. Porter gave much attention to the estate in the year under report. Owing to the drought of 1877, several of the villages have a deserted and poverty-stricken appearance, and will take years of careful management before they recover their former prosperity.

The collections equalled 68 per cent. of the recoverable arrears and nearly 93 per cent. of the current demand.

Rs. 500 were advanced to the estate under the Land Improvement Act, of which no part was repaid in the year under report. Of this sum Rs. 374-11-0 were expended on the construction and repairs of wells. Rs. 300 more will be spent in 1878-79.

The arrears of Government revenue, Rs. 2,600, due on account of 1877-78, were paid with the year's demand; and Rs. 4,429-14-0 on account of principal and Rs. 1,541-14-10 on account of interest were paid on account of the State loan. On the 30th September, 1879, there remained due to Government the following sums :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| On account of the takávi advance ... ... | 500 | 0 | 0 |
| Ditto principal of loan ... ... | 55,996 | 5 | 5 |
| Ditto interest ditto. ... ... | 3,004 | 3 | 5 |
| Total ... ... | 59,500 | 8 | 10 |

In the current year Mr. Porter estimates to repay Rs. 1,500 on account of principal and Rs. 4,000 on account of interest.

This estate also is managed direct. Great difficulties have had to be encountered, and taking these into consideration, the management must be pronounced to have been most successful.

## No. 30.—ESTATE OF THE LATE FAKR-UD-DIN KHAN.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 6,450 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 11,251.)

Officer in charge.
MR. J. S PORTER.
*Collector.*

This estate of which charge was only assumed on the 25th September, 1878, consists of the following properties :—

| *District.* | *Extent of property.* | *No.* |
|---|---|---|
| Sháhjahánpur ... | Entire villages ... | 1 |
| Ditto ... | Shares in ditto ... | 4 |
| Ditto ... | Patches in ditto ... | 6 |
| Kheri ... | Entire ditto ... | 5 |
| Ditto ... | Shares in ditto ... | 5 |
| Hardoi ... | Ditto ditto ... | 11 |

The heirs are five minors—two boys and three girls—and their mother. The Judge of Sháhjahánpur, by his order dated the 25th September, 1878, directed the Collector to assume charge of the persons and properties of the minors; and by G. O. No. 1043 of 6th May, 1879, the Court of Wards assumed charge of the estate of the widow of Fakr-ud-din Khan.

The collections were not very good, but this was because management was assumed so late that the proprietors had already realized over a thousand rupees of the kharíf kists, while the rabi was indifferent. There is no reason to believe that any more *could* have been collected.

During the year under report, Rs. 1,953-11-7 were paid on account of principal and interest of the debts due from the estate, and on the 30th September, 1879,

ROHILKHAND DIVISION.
SHÁHJAHÁNPUR DISTRICT—(*concluded.*)

Rs. 60,280, principal and accumulated arrears of unpaid interest, still remained due. The debts are mainly secured on mortgages bearing interest at various rates, the annual charge for interest being about Rs. 3,500.

The gross rental is about Rs. 6,450; the charges for cost of management, Government revenue, and maintenance, &c., about Rs. 4,400, leaving Rs. 2,050 available for liquidation of the debts. *Per contra*, there are debts due to the estate to the nominal amount of Rs. 44,800; but the majority of these are believed to be utterly irrecoverable, and under the most sanguine estimate yet made will not realize more than Rs. 10,000.

A part of the property must be sold to bring the debts within manageable limits. The Junior Member, after the close of the year under report, sanctioned the sale of certain of the properties in Kheri and Hardoi for Rs. 10,565, and three groves and a house in Sháhjahánpur.

Every effort will be made to retain the whole of the villages in Sháhjahánpur, and under Mr. Porter's energetic and careful management there is no doubt that, here at any rate, the best will be made of a bad business.

---

AGRA DIVISION.
MUTTRA DISTRICT.

## No. 31.—HARDEO PRASAD'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 94: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 372.)

Officer in charge.
MR. W. M. TIDY,
*Collector.*

The arrears of cash rents on the 30th September, 1878, Rs. 4-13-6, were recovered in the year under report, and the demand of the year, Rs. 93-15-9, was also recovered in full. Rs. 11-11-6 were received as interest on the savings bank deposit.

The revised assessments came into force from the commencement of the year. The old demand, Rs. 54-13-7, for land revenue, local cesses, and patwári rate, has been reduced at the regular revision of settlement to Rs. 48-14-11.

The year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 372-5-7, of which Rs. 325-10-8 are in the savings bank.

The ward was 15 years 2 months and 28 days old on the 30th September, 1879. He is being educated by a maulvi at home, under the guardianship of his mother.

This is a very small property, but it was held direct, and, so far as can be gathered, perfectly managed.

---

AGRA DISTRICT.

## No. 32.—PARNA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 11,232: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 19,939.)

Officer in charge.
MR. R. S. AIKMAN.
*Assistant Collector.*

This estate has been sorely crippled by the drought of 1877 and the utter loss of the kharíf of 1877-78, the staple crop. The kharíf of 1878 was a good one, but the rabi of 1879 gave a poor outturn for want of the winter rains. Some injury was also caused by locusts.

The current demand was 27 per cent. in excess of the demand of the previous year, and the collections 97 per cent. greater. The collections equalled 92 per cent. of the current demand compared with 58 per cent. in 1877-78.

Rs. 1,162-7-5 on account of principal and Rs. 2,190-6-8 on account of interest on the loan granted by the State were paid during the year, and a further sum of Rs. 1,150 was paid immediately after its close.

Rs. 2,137-12-0 represented the arrears of land revenue, local cesses, and patwári rate, due for 1877-78, and Rs. 6,850 represented the demand of the year. The Collector paid the arrears of local cesses and patwári rate in full, and Rs. 606-8-0 of the arrears of land revenue, leaving Rs. 1,296 still due on account of land revenue for 1877-78. Of the current demand the sum of Rs. 4,175 was paid, leaving Rs. 2,250 land revenue, Rs. 300 local cesses, and Rs. 125 patwári rate, in balance. The

arrears on account of local cesses and patwári rate were satisfied immediately after the close of the year. It has been pointed out to the Collector that he should have satisfied the demand on account of land revenue in full before crediting any payment to arrears.

All arrears of Government revenue should be cleared off by the end of 1879-80, and with ordinarily good seasons, something like Rs. 2,000, principal and interest of the debt, should be paid off yearly, and the estate be quite clear before the minor, who is only just 8 years old, comes of age. This property has been managed direct, and apparently most carefully, by Mr. Aikman, whose report also is very creditable to him.

## No. 33.—AWA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 5,44,773: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 4,92,974.)

Officers in charge. Munshi Fazl Azim, Uncovenanted Deputy Collector, up to 31st January, 1879; Mr. T. Benson, Assistant Collector, from 31st January, 1879.

Munshi Fazl Azim had charge of this estate, under the supervision of the Collector of Agra, till the 31st January, 1879, when Mr. T. Benson was placed in charge. About the same time Mr. Julian Smith as appointed Deputy Manager, so that there was a complete change in the management. Mr. Benson had full charge of the estate for eight months of the year of report.

The kharíf season may be said to have terminated when Mr. Benson took charge. Out of a kharíf demand of, in round numbers, three lakhs, two lakhs and thirty thousand rupees had been collected. The rabi season was a good one, and Mr. Benson realized, in round numbers, three lakhs ten thousand rupees; nevertheless the year closed with the current demand in arrear to the extent of over Rs. 80,000.

The following extracts from Mr. Benson's report show the difficulties he had to contend with on taking charge and during the subsequent months of the year :—

"Besides this weakness, inefficiency, and discontent in the collecting establishment, I found the office overloaded with a large quantity of arrears, and for the first two months which I spent in camp, travelling about the villages, enquiring and learning what I could, I had not sufficient time to deal with them; and I found, moreover, in the peshkar attached to the establishment about the most inefficient Government official of the kind that it was ever my fortune to encounter. I had, however, the good fortune to secure the services of the Muttra settlement serishtadar on its close, at the end of March, who took up both the duties of head-clerk and peshkar, and with his help the current work has since gone on well and arrears been cleared off. The office work was heavy in the hot weather; what with the work as above, submitting revised indigo accounts, arranging for leases to begin from 1287 fasli, and for re-letting of vacant holdings, things, however, got fairly well cleared up by the beginning of July, by which time the rains had set in. But since the middle or perhaps end of July, we have had a most unfortunate season. Uninterrupted rain from that time till the end of August did much to interfere with agricultural operations, stopping ploughing and preventing proper weeding and thinning of the crops, swamping a good deal of the indigo, and making its cartage doubly if not trebly difficult. But the terrible pestilence of fever which set in at the end of July was a still greater impediment to agricultural and indigo operations, and, moreover, paralyzed our offices both at head-quarters and at circles. A glance at the map will show that the Awa estate lies almost entirely in the centre of the Duab, in Aligarh, Jalesar, and Etah, the very worst belt of the fever. By the end of August it was in full sway, and in September the deaths were very numerous; in October it was no better; and it was not till December that the death-rate fell perceptibly, and the evil effects began to diminish. At the head-office two hands have died, and all, with one exception, suffered more or less. The English accountant in particular has been constantly falling ill again and again; with that exception nearly all have recovered. But with this condition of affairs both in head-office and at circles, it may easily be realized that I have been unable to

AGRA DIVISION.
AGRA DISTRICT
—(*continued.*)

get little more than current work done, and have had great difficulty in preparing the returns for my annual report."

The people seemed to avoid a hospital assistant whom Mr. Benson got out from Agra. Having ascertained that the majority of the people preferred the treatment of a "hakím," one was employed; but he also got ill and left, and appeared to have done little good while he remained.

The whole population appears to have been affected with fever. Mr. Benson writes:—"I have above alluded to the fever as affecting the business of the estate, but I do not think that I should do right to omit noticing how terribly this pestilence affected the whole population. No pictures that I have seen of it have been overdrawn. Broadly speaking, by the end of August the whole population had come under the influence of the fever, and the ordinary business of life was brought to a standstill. Those in a household that were well enough to move about had sufficient to do to tend the sick and cook the food, and many households wanted even this. The constant and excessive rainfall, with the incidence of which, as far as I observed, the prevalence of the fever closely corresponded, ceased early in September, but it was after that date that the disease took a fatal form. Old people and children were the principal victims. The ordinary burning-grounds near villages, and many spots not commonly so used, are marked with the ashes of numerous pyres, and the banks of tanks are studded thick with the little mounds that mark the graves of children. The people too had to bury their dead, or cast them into the canals for lack of means of cremation, while the dead bodies of homeless paupers occasionally fell a prey to the village dogs. With all this sickness the kharíf crops, besides the injury done to the juar and bajra by excessive rain, suffered in this way, that the cotton was never properly weeded or thinned and the plants shot up lanky and full of stalks, while the yield of the inferior pulses, moth, mung, &c., which promised well, fell off from want of hands to reap it in due time. The incessant rain prevented proper preparatory ploughing for the rabi early in the season, and when fine weather came there was little labor available, and the rabi crop, though it has mostly been sown, has been sown late, and much of it in ground very inefficiently prepared, left dirty, full of weeds and not reduced to a proper tilth. I have dwelt on these subjects while they are fresh to me, though they will affect 1287 fasli more than they have 1286 fasli. Besides the hindrance to agricultural operations, trade and manufactures have been seriously affected. Taking a petty instance, people's means have been so reduced that a small luxury like sugarcane cut for eating finds few purchasers: *per contra*, weavers' work had been so stopped that the price of some kinds of country cloth rose, for a time, nearly 50 per cent. Things are more hopeful now, and if production has been seriously interfered with, there is at least this to be said, that with a death-rate which in three months carried off 75 to 100 per mille of the population, the demand for consumption has been considerably reduced."

One of the principal duties required of Mr. Benson was to enquire into each item of the considerable arrears of cash rents, &c. After correction of accounts the following balances were found to be due for years previous to 1878-79:—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Cash rents ... ... ... ... ... | 2,27,586 | 12 | 4 |
| Sayar ... ... ... ... ... | 4,605 | 8 | 4 |
| Rent of houses ... ... ... ... ... | 702 | 10 | 4 |
| Occupiers' canal water-rate ... ... ... ... | 23,425 | 8 | 9 |
| Total ... | 2,56,320 | 7 | 9 |

11 per cent., or Rs. 39,525-11-11, of these arrears were realized, and Mr. Benson gives the following classification of the balances still outstanding.—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nominal ... ... ... ... ... | 972 | 15 | 8 |
| Recoverable ... ... ... ... ... | 87,497 | 5 | 8 |
| Doubtful ... ... ... ... ... | 98,083 | 2 | 9 |
| Irrecoverable ... ... ... ... ... | 30,241 | 3 | 9 |
| Total ... | 2,16,794 | 11 | 10 |

The current demand amounted to Rs. 6,76,025-13-8 compared with Rs. 6,63,376-2-3 in 1877-78. The details of the two years are :—

| | 1877-78. Rs. | a. | p. | 1878-79. Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cash rents ... ... ... ... | 5,94,764 | 11 | 4 | 6,09,266 | 4 | 3 |
| Sayar ... ... ... ... | 4,302 | 5 | 11 | 5,873 | 4 | 5 |
| Rent of houses ... ... ... | 1,979 | 5 | 6 | 1,995 | 7 | 6 |
| Manorial dues ... ... ... | 24 | 15 | 0 | 162 | 9 | 3 |
| Occupiers' canal water-rate .. ... | 62,304 | 12 | 6 | 58,728 | 4 | 3 |
| Total ... | 6,63,376 | 2 | 3 | 6,76,025 | 13 | 8 |

The collections of the current demand were better in the year of report than in the previous year, *viz.*, 88 per cent. in the year under report compared with 80 per cent. in 1877-78. The details for the two years are :—

| | 1877-78. Rs. | a. | p. | 1878-79. Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cash rents ... ... ... ... | 4,76,393 | 4 | 5 | 5,39,663 | 1 | 0 |
| Sayar ... ... ... ... | 2,417 | 3 | 0 | 3,535 | 10 | 6 |
| Rent of houses ... ... ... | 1,436 | 5 | 5 | 1,411 | 6 | 6 |
| Manorial dues ... ... ... | 24 | 15 | 0 | 162 | 9 | 3 |
| Occupiers' canal water-rate ... ... | 48,517 | 6 | 4 | 50,687 | 4 | 2 |
| Total ... | 5,28,789 | 2 | 2 | 5,95,459 | 15 | 5 |

The balance of the current demand, Rs. 80,565-14-3, is classed as—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nominal ... ... .... ... ... | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| Recoverable ... ... ... ... ... | 74,510 | 8 | 5 |
| Doubtful ... ... ... ... ... | 6,012 | 1 | 11 |
| Irrecoverable ... ... ... ... | 33 | 15 | 11 |
| Total ... | 80,565 | 14 | 3 |

In 1876-77, the first year of management, the collections equalled 90 per cent. of the current demand : then followed the year of drought, in which the collections equalled 80 per cent. The present collections of 88 per cent. cannot be considered very satisfactory, seeing that only 11 per cent. of outstandings were collected.

The following recoveries were also made besides those already referred to :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Indigo receipts ... ... ... ... | 91,371 | 8 | 0 |
| Lumbardari allowance for collecting the water-rate ... | 562 | 4 | 9 |
| Debts (principal and interest) ... ... ... | 2,763 | 15 | 0 |
| Takávi advances ( ditto ) ... ... ... | 3,512 | 6 | 4 |
| Interest on securities ... ... ... ... | 2,991 | 5 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous ... ... ... ... | 4,848 | 14 | 0 |
| Total ... | 1,06,050 | 5 | 1 |

The cash balance at the commencement of the year being Rs. 1,00,794-2-10, the available income of the year amounted to Rs. 8,42,032-2-3.

The expenditure, which amounted to Rs. 8,04,105-4-10, was made up of the following items :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land revenue ... ... ... ... | 3,29,335 | 9 | 10 |
| 12 per cent. cess ... ... ... ... | 36,244 | 14 | 3 |
| Patwári rate ... ... ... ... | 14,230 | 13 | 0 |
| Owner's rate ... ... ... ... | 2,741 | 8 | 11 |
| Occupiers' rate ... ... ... ... | 69,098 | 4 | 6 |
| Charges of management ... ... ... | 30,522 | 3 | 0 |
| Indigo expenditure ... ... ... ... | 53,933 | 12 | 6 |
| Ordinary personal expenses ... ... ... | 71,771 | 11 | 9 |
| Extraordinary ditto ... ... ... | 27,040 | 15 | 6 |
| Law expenses ... ... ... ... | 9,431 | 6 | 11 |
| Subscriptions ... ... ... ... | 84 | 0 | 0 |
| Education ... ... ... ... ... | 200 | 2 | 3 |
| Improvements ... ... ... ... | 3,149 | 0 | 5 |
| Takávi advances ... ... ... ... | 4,119 | 8 | 0 |
| Payment of debts (principal and interest) ... ... | 4,955 | 5 | 3 |
| Purchase of securities, including premium and commission, | 1,26,959 | 11 | 0 |
| Purchase of land ... ... ... ... | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| Stationery and other miscellaneous charges ... ... | 7,086 | 15 | 9 |
| Loan to Bharthna estate ... ... ... | 13,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Total ... | 8,04,105 | 14 | 10 |

AGRA DIVISION.
AGRA DISTRICT.
—(*concluded.*)

leaving a cash balance of Rs. 37,926-3-5 at the credit of the estate on the 30th September, 1879.

The indigo season closes in February, and Mr. Benson promised to submit a report on the season's working after that month. His successor in office will be required to furnish the report.

The expenditure on canal occupiers' rate calls for notice. During the three years the estate has been under management the sum of Rs. 1,55,267-4-1 was paid to Government for water supplied to the cultivators.

For the same three years the estate realized Rs. 1,40,726-15-2 from the tenants on this account, so that the estate has suffered a dead loss of Rs. 14,540-4 11 during this period in this one item. The loss is due no doubt to either the inefficiency or dishonesty of the collecting establishment, and Mr. W. Crooke, who has recently been appointed manager of the estate in succession to Mr. Benson, will be required to give this subject his earnest attention. The Board know for a fact that many zamindars, who as lumberdars collect and pay the water-rate, do fairly recoup themselves for their trouble from the percentage allowed them ; and there does not appear to be any good reason why this estate should not do as well.

Mr. Benson has submitted a very full and comprehensive report on the management of this estate for the year 1878-79 It fully justifies the Board's belief in Mr. Benson's industry and conscientious desire to do his utmost for the benefit of the property under his charge. It was unfortunate for Mr. Benson that he had so many difficulties to contend with, and that his hard work and assiduity were not rewarded by better results.

The great importance of this estate, the largest and wealthiest under the Court's management, the fact that for its management a covenanted civilian has been experimentally appointed, and the marked want of success that has hitherto attended the management, will, the Junior Member hopes, excuse the extreme length of this notice; and though there is really much more to be said about this property, he will now only add that the Ráni Sissondhni, the mother of the young Rája (now between five and six years of age) has recently died, and the ward is at present living at Benares, under the care of his maternal grandmother, the daughter of the former Maháraja of Nipal.

---

## No. 34.—KHIMSIPUR.

FARUKHABAD DISTRICT.

*(Normal income, Rs.* 12,880 : *on the* 30*th Sepetember,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 15,176.*)*

Officer in charge.
MR. R. SCOTT,
*Assistant Collector.*

There is but little to notice regarding this estate. The ward is now being educated at the zila school, and the head-master reports favorably of him.

The current demand for cash rents, &c., amounted to Rs. 14,107-11-3 compared with Rs. 13,344-6-9 in 1877-78. The collections, Rs. 12,883-0-7, equalled a little over 91 per cent. of the demand.

The recoverable arrears of previous years amounted to Rs. 5,695-7-0, of which Rs. 1,264-2-7, equal to 22 per cent., were realized.

The year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 10,384-11-11, and the Junior Member has sanctioned the temporary investment of Rs. 6,000 in the purchase of Government promissory notes.

Mr. Scott has submitted an excellent and careful report of this property, which is managed direct: on the whole, although the collections were not as good as might have been desired, the management (for which Mr. Irvine must share the credit) must be pronounced to have been satisfactory.

## No. 35.—KUDSIYA BEGAM'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 4,393 : *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 13,161 )

Officer in charge, MR. C. W. WATTS, *Collector.*

Ninety-seven per cent. of the current demand and 95 per cent. of the recoverable arrears of previous years were realized, and on the 30th September, 1879, the estate possessed Government paper of the value of Rs. 4,500 and a cash balance of Rs. 8,325-13-9 in the treasury.

The Junior Member has sanctioned the purchase of Government paper of the value of Rs 5,000, and has re-allotted Rs. 500 to be expended in agricultural improvements, under the orders of the Director of the Department of Agriculture and Commerce; Rs. 400 are also provided for repairs of the family dwelling-house.

The management of this estate was direct and altogether satisfactory. It is only to be regretted that, as pointed out by the Board (page 9 of the report for 1873-74), humanly speaking, the greater part of the labor spent on this estate will be wasted, and the estate, long carefully nursed, dissipated directly it passes into the hands of the next heirs, the Nawáb Asghar Hussein Khán and his mother.

---

## No. 36.—KUNJ BIHARI LAL'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 7,554 : *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 15,840.)

MAINPURI DISTRICT.

Officer in charge. MR J. W. MUIR, *Assistant Collector.*

At the close of 1877-78 the recoverable arrears of cash rents, sayar, manorial dues, and law charges, amounted to Rs. 2,436-15-9, of which Rs. 774-7-3, or about 31 per cent., were realized in the year under report.

The current demand was Rs. 8,718-7-10 compared with a demand of Rs. 8,512-10-0 in 1877-78. The collections in the year under report amounted to Rs. 7,553-15-8, equal to 87 per cent. of the demand. The collections in the previous years were only 73 per cent.

The balance on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 2,827-0-9, of which Rs. 2,102-5-3, or nearly 75 per cent, are due from the sons of the late proprietor, Kunj Bihari Lal.

It appears that, with effect from 1282 fasli, the deceased proprietor was given a lease of the 9-biswas share in mauza Nera and a grove in Shikohabad for a period of five years. On his death in 1874, the sons of the deceased, Sri Narayan and Chand Bihari, took possession under the lease which terminated with the year under report. For 1877-78 and 1878-79 they owe on account of the lease Rs. 2,102-5-3, and the Collector considers that of the collections which they made they appropriated Rs 1,300 at least which should have gone to Government on account of the loan of Rs. 25,000 advanced to Kunj Bihari Lal. From the commencement of 1879-80 the share will be managed direct by the Collector of Muttra.

The arrears of interest due on the loan advanced by the State amounted to Rs. 432-12-5, and the arrears of Government revenue amounted to Rs. 169-12-6 on the 30th September, 1878. These arrears have been paid together with the current demand, but owing to the receipts being less by Rs. 2,320-9-2 than was estimated, a sum of Rs. 834-5-10 only was available for repayment towards principal.

In the year 1878-79 the Collector hopes to pay the interest falling due, and to have a sum of Rs. 2,000 available for reduction of the principal of the debt.

There has been considerable mismanagement in the case of this estate, the blame for which must be partly borne by the present Collector. So far as Mr. Muir is concerned, he seems to have done fairly, and henceforth it is hoped that there will be no further grounds for dissatisfaction.

AGRA DIVISION.
MAINPURI DISTRICT
—(*concluded.*)

## No. 37.—ARJUNPUR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 17,816 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 48,383.)

Officer in charge,
MR. C. F. HALL,
*Collector.*

Thakur Tilok Singh, one of the three proprietors of this estate who were disqualified from managing their estate by G. O. No. 1810A, dated the 27th August, 1877, died in July, 1878, leaving as his heirs two sons, *viz.*, Yudistra Singh, an adult who was declared disqualified by G. O. No. 2023, dated the 11th September, 1879; and Himanchal Singh, a minor, whose person and property were taken charge of by the Court under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX., 1873.

Of the recoverable arrears of cash rents, &c., due to the estate at the commencement of the year under report, Rs. 814-9-2, or (say) 50 per cent., were realized and Rs. 808-8-8 are still outstanding. The current demand was Rs. 18,891-14-3 : the collections, Rs. 17,815-14-4, equal to 94 per cent. of the demand : and the balance of the current demand, Rs. 1,075-15-11.

The arrears and current interest due to Chhotey Lal were paid together with Rs. 1,240-5-11, the instalment due towards the principal. The full interest due on the State loan was also paid with the annual instalment on account of principal, and on the 30th September, 1879, there remained due—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| To Government ... ... ... ... | 42,267 | 10 | 5 |
| „ Chhotey Lal ... ... ... ... | 8,682 | 9 | 5 |
| Total ... | 50,950 | 3 | 10 |

The Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate was paid in full, and the year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 431-5-11.

This property was managed direct, but with the assistance, it is understood, of one of the family. The collections were good, and on the whole the management satisfactory.

---

ETÁWAH DISTRICT.

## No. 38.—CHAKKARNAGAR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 32,700 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 78,847.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. A. E. STALEY,
*Assistant Collector.*

The notices of this estate in the Court's reports for the years 1873-74 to 1877-78 show to what extent this estate has suffered. The past year has been a fairly good one.

The recoverable arrears of cash rents, &c., at the close of 1878-79 amounted to Rs. 5,221-14-7 ; 58 per cent., or Rs. 3,047-7-11, were collected. Excluding the demand, Rs. 2,019-5-6, for occupiers' rate, the current demand was Rs. 32,777-14-2, compared with Rs. 26,788-0-6 in 1877-78, the increase being more than 22 per cent. on the demand of 1877-78 ; 94 per cent., or Rs. 30,830-14-4, were realized.

Considering the large arrears due by the tenants for previous years, these results must be considered fair. That the results are not better is explained by Mr. Howe to be owing to the inefficiency and misconduct of three muharrirs, two of whom have been dismissed and one suspended, pending an enquiry which will probably result in a criminal prosecution. The more important part of the estate, lying in a somewhat out-of-the-way part of the district, involves some trouble in supervision. Mr. A. E. Staley was placed in charge of the estate during the year, and the Collector promised to visit the estate in the cold weather of 1879 and submit proposals for a better system of management.

All the arrears of Government revenue, salaries of establishment, and arrears of interest due at the close of 1877-78, were paid during the year, together with all current demands, except in the one item of interest, on account of which Rs. 909-8-0 remained due at the close of the year.

One masonry well was completed during the year at a total cost of Rs. 278-15-4. It is expected to irrigate nearly half the village of Chandoli.

The Collector estimates to recover the arrears outstanding on the 30th September, 1879, and, while paying arrears and current interest, to reduce the principal of the debts (which on the above date amounted to Rs. 83,324) by Rs. 6,600 during the year 1879-80.

The management cannot be considered to have been wholly satisfactory, but who exactly is responsible for this is not clear, as, although Mr. Staley is said to have been in charge, the report and all the statements are signed by the Collector. Better arrangements have now, it is understood, been made for the future.

---

## No. 39.—BHAREH.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 59,187 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 67,779.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. W. A. HOWE,
*Collector.*

Owing to the drought of 1877 there were large arrears of cash rents, &c., due to this estate at the close of 1877-78. During the year under report Mr. Howe was able to realize Rs. 8,569-8-0, equal to 77 per cent. of the demand, Rs. 11,098-5-10.

The current demand was Rs. 59,858-2-1 compared with Rs. 58,652-13-6, the demand of the previous year. Of this enhanced demand the Collector realized Rs. 59,187-5-6, equal to very nearly 99 per cent.

These must be considered satisfactory results when the injury caused by the drought, and the consequent distressed state of the tenants, is considered.

The dismissal of the sarbarakar, Sheo Dyal, and the appointment of Abdul Gafur, a member of a respectable family in the Bulandshahr district, was approved in the previous year by the Court, and has been most beneficial.

In consequence of the suit instituted by Kunwar Rup Singh, now pending in the High Court, for proprietary possession of the estate, the expenditure on account of law charges amounted to Rs. 4,048-13-3.

Mr. Smith, the late Collector, determined, before spending any money on works of improvement, to examine the works already completed. He was unfortunately unable to do so in the cold weather of 1878-79, and Mr. Howe took charge of the district in the hot weather. The estate is situated in the interior of the district, and some of the villages are difficult of access. Mr. Howe proposes carrying out for himself Mr. Smith's purpose in the cold weather of 1879-80.

The Board have requested the Collector to submit a full report on the condition and capabilities of the property, with detailed projects for wells or other works of improvement.

The Junior Member, however, is intimately acquainted with this property, and is not sanguine that very much can be done (without a wholly disproportionate outlay of capital) to protect a large portion of it from drought.

The Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate, for the year under report, together with the arrears, Rs. 9,739-12-0, due for 1877-78, were paid in full.

The Junior Member has sanctioned the purchase of Government paper of the value of Rs. 6,000.

The management has not been unsatisfactory, but owing to change of officers it has not been all that could be desired.

## No. 40.—MALHAJANI.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 10,880 ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 3,514.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. W. A. HOWE,
*Collector.*

The recoverable arrears of cash rents amounted to Rs. 550-8-2, of which Rs. 330-10-2 were realized. The current demand was greatly in excess of that of any previous year. It amounted to Rs. 10,914-13-9, of which all but a trifling sum of Rs. 33-15-6 was collected.

The estate appears to be in a very good condition and capable of improvement, now that a portion of it will benefit from the opening of the canal. Mr. Howe promises to take an early opportunity of visiting the estate for the purpose of testing its capabilities and scrutinizing the rent-roll.

The Junior Member has sanctioned the minor being sent to the Wards Institution at Benares, now that he is of a sufficiently advanced age.

This estate is managed direct and seems to be in very good order now. The administration has been satisfactory.

## No. 41.—BHARTHNA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 5,519 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 12,187.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. A. E. STALEY,
*Assistant Collector.*

The loan of Rs. 13,000, bearing interest at the rate of 8 per cent., from the surplus funds of the Awa estate, sanctioned in G. O. No. 820, dated the 15th April, 1879, was advanced during the year under report, and Tiwari Panna Lal was paid Rs. 13,000 on account of principal and Rs. 1,130-13-2, the full amount of interest that was due him.

The Collector was able to realize 98 per cent. of the current demand and 70 per cent. of the arrears of cash rents of previous years.

The only debt now due from the estate is the sum advanced from the Awa estate, and Mr. Howe anticipates being in a position to pay off all interest falling due to the 30th September, 1879, and Rs. 1,000 of the principal in 1879-80.

The management of the past year was successful, the more so that it was direct. Mr. Staley is said to have been in charge, but the report and statements are signed by the Collector.

## No. 42.—SIRSAI NAWA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 10,700 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 25,952.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. A. E. STALEY,
*Assistant Collector.*

Of the recoverable arrears of cash rents, &c., due to the estate at the commencement of the year under report, the Assistant Collector was able to realize Rs. 824-10-4, equal to 5 per cent. of the demand, Rs. 2,318-14-9. The current demand was Rs. 13,147-11-5, and the collections Rs. 12,688-3-3, equal to 96·5 per cent. of the demand.

The arrears of Government revenue, of pay of establishment, and of interest, were paid in full. The current Government demand and cost of establishment was also fully satisfied, but Rs. 481-8-4 remained due on account of interest on the 30th September, 1879, while the principal of the debts was reduced by Rs. 259-8-1 only instead of by Rs 1,408-11-0 as was estimated. The debts still amount to Rs. 27,314-10-2.

Lachmi Narayan, the eldest of the four wards of court, has attained his majority; but as the property is still encumbered with debts, the Board consider it unadvisable to release his share yet, and have asked the Collector whether the ward is willing to apply to be declared disqualified from managing his estate under clause (*g*), section 194, Act XIX., 1873.

The financial position of this estate, with net profits of about Rs 2,800 and a debt of over Rs. 27,000, bearing 10 per cent. interest, is most unsatisfactory, and the Collector has been called upon to reconsider the matter carefully and submit a revised liquidation scheme.

The management of this property, which was direct, appears to have been good. Mr. Staley was apparently in charge, and if so would, it would seem, deserve the credit of this; but the Collector submitted the report and seems to ignore Mr. Staley.

---

## No. 43.—UNCHA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 9,460: *on 30th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 26,059.)

Officer in charge.
MR A. E STALEY,
*Assistant Collector.*

The affairs of this estate have considerably improved in the past year under the supervision of the Assistant Collector, Mr. A. E. Staley, who has several times visited the property. The current demand was considerably in excess of the demand of the previous year, Rs. 9,637-9-8, compared with Rs. 7,422-7-11. As much as 98 per cent. of this increased demand was collected, and the balance, Rs. 176-8-9, is considered recoverable.

In the notice of this estate at page 18, appendix A., of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, the liabilities of the estate on the 30th September, 1878, were shown to be Rs. 28,520-1-6. The arrears shown under interest and miscellaneous have been paid together with the entire interest, Rs. 1,975, that fell due in 1878-79; Rs. 200 were also paid in part reduction of the principal of the debts, which amounted to Rs. 26,800 on the 30th September, 1879.

Referring to the future prospects, Mr. A. E. Staley writes as follows:—"The rental of the estate will be secured and largely increased when the projected rajbaha of the Bhognipur branch canal passes through it. The land is high, the soil though good is dry, and while the kharif crops are fine, the rabi ones are generally poor. There is a large quantity of culturable waste land which will be brought under cultivation when the rajbaha is dug. This will, I hope, be done within the coming year. I have great hope that at the end of 1879-80 the estate will be in good condition. The late rains have made the kharif crops better than anywhere in the neighbourhood, and augur well for the rabi also."

The management, which was direct, was very satisfactory and most creditable to Mr. Staley.

---

## No. 44.—SUNASI.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 7,619: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 17,716.)

Officer in-charge.
MR. A. E STALEY,
*Assistant Collector.*

Kunj Bihari Lal, the disqualified proprietor of this estate, has just died, and the Collector has been desired to submit his proposals regarding the disposal and future management of the property. The son of the deceased is manager of the estate and has property of his own. Government will be asked to declare the son, Suraj Narayan, disqualified under clause (*g*), section 194, Act XIX., 1873, on his own application, from managing his estate.

Of the arrears of cash rents, &c., of previous years, the Junior Member sanctioned the remission of the greater part, as after a careful local enquiry by Mr Staley they were found irrecoverable, being due from either pauper or absconded tenants. Of the remainder, Rs. 399-12-11 were realized in the year under report, and Rs. 282-2-9 will be recovered in 1879 80.

Of the current demand of Rs. 7,694-7-2, more than 99 per cent, or Rs. 7,618-15-2, were realized; the balance, Rs. 75-8-0, is in train of recovery.

AGRA DIVISION.
ETÁWAH DISTRICT
—(*concluded.*)

The arrears of Government revenue of 1877-78, amounting to Rs. 759-4-10, were paid together with the current demand. Rs. 549-7-9 were also paid in reduction of the principal, and Rs. 1,347-3-6 on account of interest, current and arrears. On the 30th September, 1879, the following debts were still due :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| On account of principal ... ... ... ... | 18,009 | 1 | 6 |
| Ditto interest ... ... ... ... | 223 | 9 | 0 |
| Total ... | 18,232 | 10 | 6 |

Mr. Howe estimates that, if the management of the Court of Wards be continued, he will be able to pay all interest due to the 30th September, 1880, and reduce the principal of the debt by Rs. 1,800 during 1879-80.

On the whole the prospects of the estate are somewhat brighter than they appeared to be last year, and eight or nine years at latest should see it free of debts. The estate was managed direct, and, so far as can be judged, very well managed by Mr. Staley, the officer in charge; but as he has not been allowed to report on his own work, and the Collector says little about it, it is impossible to say more on the subject.

---

ETAH DISTRICT.

## No. 45.—RANI DAMAR.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,535: *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 77,321.)

Officer in charge.
MR. J C. LEUPOLT,
*Collector.*

The report regarding the debts due from this estate, referred to in the notice of the estate at page 19, appendix A., of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, was received during the past year. The debts are now shown to have amounted to Rs. 80,047-4-8 on the 30th September, 1879, including arrears of interest. The Court of Wards cannot deal with these debts until a suit instituted by the largest creditor is decided, and the general question as to the validity of this and other debts is determined. These debts were contracted by the mother of the ward before the Court assumed charge, and it is just possible that she was not legally competent to hypothecate the estate. This point is under decision in the courts.

The management has been unsatisfactory. In 1877-78, the collections of the current demand equalled only 58 per cent. These small collections were attributed to the drought of 1877. In 1878-79 the collections equalled 73 per cent. of the current demand and 27 per cent. of the recoverable arrears. The bad results in this case were attributed to the prevailing fever epidemic.

The current demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate, was paid in full, together with Rs. 99-1-4, arrears of land revenue for 1877-78. The Rs. 100 belonging to the Rampur estate, erroneously credited in the accounts of this estate, were refunded, but no surplus was available.

This property is held direct, but seems never to have been properly managed. Both Messrs. Harkness and Leupolt failed signally. The Junior Member can only hope that the present Collector, Mr. J. Smith, will show better results.

---

## No. 46.—RAYA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 30: *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 3.)

Officer in charge.
MR J. C LEUPOLT,
*Collector.*

Of the rental demand of the year, Rs. 33-15-6, Rs. 30-4-6 were realized, and after payment of the Government demand for revenue, cesses, and patwári rate, and Rs. 8 for the subsistence of the minor, there was a cash balance of Rs. 2-8-0 at the close of the year.

## No. 47.—AZIZPUR.

(*Normal income* nil : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good or bad*, nil.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. J. C LEUPOLT,
*Collector.*

It was explained at length in the abstract notice of this estate at page 19, appendix A, of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, that the minor's proprietorship was really nominal. There is nothing to be done, no property to manage or accounts to keep.

It was reported to Collector after the close of the year under report that both the ward of Court and his father died recently. After satisfying himself of the correctness of this statement, the Collector applied to the Judge, who withdrew his order placing this very shadowy estate under the superintendence of the Court of Wards.

## No. 48.—RAMPUR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 7,660 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 15,062.)

Officer in charge
MR. H M BIRD
*Assistant Collector.*

The Court of Wards did not consider the management of this estate for the year 1877-78 satisfactory, and it was directed that Mr. H. M. Bird, Assistant Collector, should be placed in charge of the management. This was done, but the management shows little or no improvement. The estate suffered severely during the last drought, and the tenantry have not recovered from the effects of it. A full report on the condition of the property has been called for.

Of the arrears of cash rents and sayar, amounting to Rs. 4,523-12-2, due to the estate at the commencement of 1878-79, the Board sanctioned the writing off of Rs. 1,259-1-0, leaving Rs. 3,264-11-2 to be realized. Rs. 817-7-11, equal to 25 per cent. of this demand, only were collected.

The current demand was Rs. 9,196-10-4, and the collections Rs. 7,665-6-2, equal to 83 per cent. of the demand.

The entire balance on the 30th September, 1879, amounted to Rs. 4,178-7-5, of which the Board are asked for sanction to write off Rs. 1,723-12-7. Mr. Smith, the present Collector of Etah has been requested to enquire into each item of the balance before sanction is accorded to the remission of any part of it.

The Government demand for land revenue, local cesses, and patwári rate, current and outstanding, was paid in full, and Rs. 5,676-12-9 on account of principal and Rs. 1,014-5-4 on account of the interest of the State loan were credited to Government. It is estimated that in 1879-80 the principal of this loan will be further reduced by a payment of Rs. 4,500.

The Junior Member expects better results from Mr. Smith's management during 1879-80 ; that of Mr. Bird during the past year does not appear to have been satisfactory, but as he has not reported on it (Mr. Leupolt himself did this in a very meagre manner), it would not be right to condemn him.

## No. 49.—NAGLA KISI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,133 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 8,058.)

Officer in charge.
MR. J. C. LEUPOLT,
*Collector.*

The accounts embodied in the statement are for a complete year ; those shown in the report of the year 1877-78 were for five months only.

The current demand was Rs. 1,249-13-3, of which Rs. 1,133-6-1 were realized. Rs. 267-15-5 of the recoverable arrears of previous years were also collected.

At the date of the submission of the accounts for the year 1877-78 the debts due to the estate still formed the subject of enquiry. Rs. 23 of the unsecured and

AGRA DIVISION
ETAH DISTRICT.
—(*concluded.*)

Rs. 639-14-9 of the secured debts were recovered during 1878-79, and Rs. 7,011-11-6 still, it was said, remained due on the 30th September, 1879; but no detailed list of these had been submitted, nor had the matter apparently received due attention.

Besides these debts the estate was owed Rs. 289-6-0, arrears of cash rents.

Like the rest of the estates under Mr. Leupolt's charge, the management of this one was far from satisfactory. Industry there may have been, but intelligence and administrative capacity seem never to have been brought to bear on this work.

## No. 50.—KUKARGAWAN.

JHÁNSI DIVISION
JALAUN DISTRICT.

(*Normal income Rs.* 5,140 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 10,804.)

Officers in charge.
MR. E. P. Finn, Assistant Commissioner, to April, 1879; Mr. Roberts, Assistant Commissioner, and Mirza Jafar Bakht, Extra Assistant Commissioner.

The income of this estate is steadily increasing under the careful management of the local officers. The rental demand was Rs 4,900-9-0 in 1876-77, Rs. 5,081-15-9 in 1877-78, and Rs. 5,133-10-6 in 1878-79. On account of manorial dues the demand for 1876-77 was Rs. 12, for 1877-78 Rs. 116, and Rs. 101-5-0 for 1878-79.

During the year a little more than 98 per cent. of the current demand was realized, and nearly 73 per cent. of the demand on account of arrears.

The Deputy Commissioner was able to pay Rs. 290, the arrears of land revenue for 1877-78, together with all the charges for the year under report, including Rs. 1,600, the instalments due on the loan obtained from the Allahabad Bank. There was a cash balance of Rs. 613-4-2 at credit of the estate on the 30th September, 1879.

Arboriculture was not altogether neglected during the year, a good many trees having been planted out. The local camping-ground has also been planted. In 1879-80 it is intended to further extend arboriculture, by forming a nursery of young trees and introducing new species of common trees from other districts. Rs. 150 are allotted for the experimental eradication of kána on the plan which Mr. McConaghey found so successful in Bánda.

The ward, Nirand Singh, is being educated at the Kunch anglo-vernacular school; he was 9 years and 19 days old on the 30th September, 1879.

This estate has been very well managed from the first, and the Deputy Commissioner and the various officers mentioned above must share the credit.

## No. 51.—TAKHTAULI-MAHALWARA.

JHÁNSI DISTRICT.

(*Normal income Rs.* 2,000 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 2,865.)

Officers in charge.
MR. J. V. Stuart, Assistant Commissioner, from October, 1878, to 21st March, 1879; Mr. W. R. Tucker, Assistant Commissioner, from 22nd March to end of the year.

At the close of 1877-78 there were recoverable arrears due to this estate amounting to Rs. 108-6-10, of which Rs. 68-3-5 were collected during 1878-79, leaving Rs. 40-3-5 still in balance, the whole of which is classed either as doubtful or irrecoverable.

The current demand was Rs. 2,023-3-7, all but eight annas of which was realized. This compares favorably with the demand of the previous year, Rs. 1,911-0-2, of which Rs. 1,828-6-1 were realized within that year.

The debts due from the estate have been further reduced by a payment of Rs. 490, including a balance of Rs. 31 due to Government on account of takávi advances.

By G. O. No 1101, dated the 12th May, 1879, the cess demand of mahál Takhtauli was reduced from Rs 125 to Rs. 60; and the Deputy Commissioner hopes to be able to free the estate from debt within the next five years, or sooner, if the harvests prove favorable. The management has been direct and very satisfactory,

## No 52.—KATAHRA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 9,250 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 24,449.)

Officer in charge
MR W. R. TUCKER,
*Assistant Commissioner.*

The survey operations referred to in the notice of this estate at page 22, appendix A of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, were completed during the year, and proposals for assessment were submitted for the sanction of Government with the Board's No 386N., dated the 16th May, 1879. Subsequently it was decided to confer the title on Sardar Singh and leave the muáfi villages unassessed.

The estate continues to be carefully managed. 89 per cent. of the current and 64 per cent. of the arrears of cash rents, &c., of the previous year were collected. The arrears are mainly due from the zamindars of mauzas Sajara, Ghurat, and Ratosa. In the first-named village both harvests failed, and the demand had to be suspended in great part. The arrears in Ghurat and Ratosa are owing, *it is said*, to the contumacy of the zamindars, against whom legal proceedings are about to be instituted.

Rs. 883-6-3 were expended in the construction of six wells and in the repairs of three others, a bund and 12 houses ; in 1879-80 an allotment of Rs. 3,140 is provided for the construction of three more wells, repairs to existing bunds and tanks, and the restoration of another tank, with a view of raising the spring-level in the neighbourhood and bringing land under cultivation.

Rs. 250 were lent to Lachman Singh, uncle of the late Rája, on the mortgage of his sír lands for expenses connected with his wife's funeral, and the Board sanctioned the remission of Rs. 1,000 of the debts due from one Kallu of Kachneo. This left Rs. 10,586-11-7 as the sum of the debts due to the estate. Rs. 1,376-10-7 were realized on account of principal and Rs. 133-2-2 on account of interest, leaving Rs. 9,210-1-0 still due, of which the Junior Member has sanctioned the writing off of Rs. 55-12-0.

The estate possessed the following assets on the 1st October, 1879 :—

| | Rs | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Invested in Government paper ... ... ... | 5,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash balance in the treasury ... ... ... | 7,452 | 7 | 2 |
| Takávi advances due ... ... ... ... | 1,602 | 7 | 9 |
| Debts due (Rs. 9,201-1-0 - 55-12-0) ... ... ... | 9,145 | 5 | 0 |
| Estimated value of grain in store ... ... .... | 1,865 | 0 | 0 |
| Total ... | 25,065 | 3 | 11 |

The Government demand for revenue and cesses was paid in full, and there is nothing due from the estate.

The management has been quite satisfactory.

---

## No. 53.—CHIRGAON.

(*Normal income Rs.* 6,790 : *on* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 3,134.)

Officer in charge.
MR. J DEAS.
*Assistant Commissioner.*

In the notice of this estate printed at page 23 of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, it is stated that the outlook for the year now under report was gloomy, and the figures furnished by the Deputy Commissioner justify his forecast.

Of the recoverable arrears of rent, Rs. 160-10-0 only could be realized, and of the demand for the year, Rs. 8,404-15-4, the sum of Rs. 6,789-11-0, or less than 81 per cent., was collected.

In 1877-78, of the rental demand, Rs. 1,474-11-11, of three villages, Rs. 192-1-9 only were realized. The demand of these same three villages for 1878-79 was Rs. 1,518, of which less than half, or Rs. 739, was collected ; the Government demand for land revenue, local cesses, and patwári rate being Rs. 1,764. Omitting the charges

JHÁNSI DIVISION
JHANSI DISTRICT.
—(*concluded.*)

for cost of management, &c., and considering only the Government demand, the loss on these three villages was Rs. 1,025 ; and as the rental receipts from the rest of the estate exceeded the Government demand by only Rs. 1,009, the rental receipts of the entire property were less than the Government demand for land revenue, local cesses, and patwári rate by Rs. 16.

In 1877-78 the Commissioner stated that he had called for, and would submit, a report on the assessment of the villages of the estate, and of the three villages in particular in which the rental assets were, year by year, considerably less than the Government demand. The report was not submitted until quite recently, and then the conclusions arrived at were, that at present no reduction of the Government demand was necessary.

At the close of 1877-78 the estate owed Rs. 1,017-7-0, arrears of land revenue. This was paid during the year under report with the aid of the ward's pension of Rs. 150 per mensem, which was drawn for fourteen months. But the demand of the year under report for land revenue is in arrear to the extent of Rs. 433, the rule that the current demand should be satisfied before any payment is credited to arrears having been apparently overlooked.

During the year bonds were taken for the payment of arrears of cash rents amounting to Rs. 1,681-13-3, and the sum has been accordingly transferred to head "debts due to the estate." Excluding these arrears, the balance of cash rents due at the close of 1878-79 was Rs. 1,014-4-0, classed as—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Recoverable ... ... ... ... | 439 | 15 | 7 |
| Doubtful ... ... ... ... ... | 100 | 4 | 9 |
| Irrecoverable ... ... ... ... | 473 | 15 | 8 |
| Total ... | 1,014 | 4 | 0 |

Arrangements have been made for the realization by instalments, extending over a period of 2½ years, of the recoverable arrears. The doubtful portion is due from tenants who have for the present absented themselves. Sanction has been accorded to the writing off of the portion classed irrecoverable, being due from absconded, deceased, or pauper cultivators.

The prospects for 1879-80 are encouraging. The kharíf of 1879 was good, and if the rabi of 1880 proves favorable, the Deputy Commissioner estimates to pay the current Government demand and arrears, advance Rs. 700 as takávi, expend Rs. 500 in improvements, and close the year with a cash balance of Rs. 476.

The ward, Rao Dalip Singh, resides at Jhánsi, where he is being educated at the zila school. He was 13 years old in November, 1879. Hitherto, from a variety of causes, the results obtained in this estate have been very indifferent, and even now there is a good deal of uncertainty as to the future. The management cannot be pronounced successful, but on the other hand it cannot justly be condemned.

---

LALITPUR DISTRICT.

## No. 54.—RAM CHARAN'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 40 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 13,200.)

Officer in charge.
MR. W. J. GREENWOOD,
*Extra Assistant Commissioner.*

The landed property comprised in this estate, a half share of the small village of Mailwara kalan, is leased for a term of twelve years from 1869-70 for a sum of Rs. 40, including the Government demand, Rs. 26-4-0, paid by the lessee, the estate receiving the difference, Rs. 13-12-0. The lessee paid the arrears of 1877-78 and the demand of the year in full.

The principal source of income will henceforth be the interest on money invested in Government securities. Rs. 10,500 were so invested at the commencement of the year.

Rs. 165-13-9 were realized on account of debts due to the estate, which amounted to Rs. 1,367-2-6 at the commencement of the year. Owing to the poverty of the debtors, the Deputy Commissioner has arranged for the payment of the balance by annual instalments of Rs. 10), as he is of opinion that recovery by larger instalments is impracticable.

The cash balance on the 30th September, 1879, at the credit of the estate in the treasury was Rs. 1,498-14-1, and a further investment of Rs. 2,000 in the purchase of Government securities will be made in 1879-80. The value of the securities held on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 10,500.

The ward is now 15 years of age. He is a boarder in the Lalitpur zila school, and the head-master reports favorably of his conduct and the progress in his studies.

---

## No. 55.—RAJWARA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 4,370 *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 1,744.)

Officer in charge.
MR. W. J. GREENWOOD,
*Extra Assistant Commissioner.*

At the close of 1877-78 there were arrears of cash rents and manorial dues amounting to Rs. 361-9-5, due to this estate. The Deputy Commissioner realized Rs. 292-0-2 during the year, and Rs. 69-9-3 still remained outstanding at its close. Rs. 67-9-3 are due from pauper tenants and Rs. 2 are recoverable. The Junior Member has directed the irrecoverable arrears to be removed from the accounts.

The property consists of five ubári and two muáfi villages. The ubári villages are assessed at a lump sum of Rs. 1,703 land revenue. The rental demand of the year was realized in full, Rs. 2,840 from the ubári and Rs. 1,260-15-3 from the muáfi villages. In two villages alone do the rental receipts exceed the land revenue assessed. In the other three the rental receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 865, the ubári jama being Rs. 1,067. This appears to be due mainly to the spread of káns and ineffective management in the past.

When superintendence of the estate was assumed the liabilities amounted to Rs. 7,756-7-6; subsequently further liabilities amounting to Rs. 1,411 were incurred under the management of the Court of Wards; the total liabilities amounting to Rs. 9,167-7-6. Rs. 7,559-15-6 had been paid to the 30th September, 1878, leaving Rs. 1,600 on account of takávi and Rs. 7-8-0 on account of land revenue still due to Government. These arrears were fully paid during the year under report, and the estate is now free from debt, besides possessing a cash balance of Rs. 1,602-11-5.

In the notice of the estate printed at page 24 of the Court's report for 1877-78, it is stated that the ward's marriage was postponed till 1880. The Deputy Commissioner now reports that the marriage then contemplated has been broken off by a panchayet of Thákurs, who found that the parties were distantly related to each other. It is now proposed to arrange a marriage with a member of the Reasat Chatarpur family. Nothing has been yet definitely decided, but Rs. 700 is provided in the budget of 1879-80 for the marriage expenses, in case it should be required.

The ward is still a boarder in the Agra College. He was born on the 6th November, 1859, so that he will attain his majority on the 6th November, 1880.

This estate is held direct, but one village is still in farm. The management of the past year has been quite satisfactory.

## No. 56.—KARORA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 75 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 16.)

Officer in charge.
MR. W. J. GREENWOOD,
*Extra Assistant Commissioner.*

The demand of the year, Rs. 75-8-10, was realized in full compared with a collected demand of Rs. 60-5-6 in the previous year. After payment of the Government demand for revenue and cesses, stationery and maintenance charges, there remained a cash balance of Rs. 15-12-2 at the credit of the estate.

The ward continues a boarder at the Lalitpur zila school.

## No. 57.—KULNA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 35 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good or bad* nil.)

Officer in charge.
MR. W. J. GREENWOOD,
*Extra Assistant Commissioner.*

The arrangement in respect of this estate, noticed at page 24 of the report for 1876-77, still continues in force.

The minor, aged 13, together with his mother and her other children live away from the village and are maintained by relatives.

## No. 58.—DHARPURA-GIDHAURA.

*Normal income Rs.* 1,176 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 1,550.)

Officer in charge.
MR. W. J. GREENWOOD,
*Extra Assistant Commissioner*

As stated in the notice of this estate at page 25, appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78, the Deputy Commissioner of Lalitpur reported on the condition of the two villages comprised in this estate with a view to re-assessment. The Commissioner visited the villages in the cold weather and he now reports that, as the deterioration was clearly due to the mismanagement of the proprietor, and as the rent-roll will probably improve under good management, no case for the reduction of the Government demand has been made out. The Board have for the present accepted the Commissioner's view, though it is not improbable that, even after all possible improvements have been effected, the village demand will still prove to be considerably less than twice the Government revenue.

The Government demand consists of—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land revenue ... ... ... ... ... | 1,286 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 per cent. cess ... ... ... ... ... | 154 | 12 | 0 |
| Patwári rate ... ... ... ... ... | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| Total ... | 1,505 | 12 | 0 |

The assets of the estate in 1878-79 were Rs. 1,176-3-0, the demand having been realized in full, compared with Rs. 1,054-12-4 in 1877-78 and Rs. 1,027-15-6 in 1876-77. Rs. 1,362 are estimated to be recovered in 1879-80.

The Deputy Commissioner was able to pay Rs. 884-4-0 on account of the land revenue demand, the demand for local cesses and patwári rate having been paid in full. On 30th September, 1879, the following arrears of Government revenue were still due from the estate :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land revenue for the year 1876-77 ... ... ... | 630 | 14 | 0 |
| Local cesses ditto ditto ... ... ... | 52 | 13 | 6 |
| Land revenue for the year 1877-78 ... ... ... | 477 | 12 | 3 |
| Ditto ditto 1878-79 .. ... ... | 401 | 12 | |
| Total ... | 1,563 | 3 | 9 |

There is a paucity of cultivators on the estate, and much of the area cultivated at settlement is covered with káns grass. The cultivators have been assisted with takávi advances from Government, and at the present rate of increase in the rental the arrears of Government revenue should be paid before many years.

The ward and his family are maintained from the proceeds of a banking concern, which the Court did not consider it expedient to take over when assuming charge of the landed estate.

The management has been direct, and during the past year, so far as can be judged, all that could be expected.

---



## No. 59.—PANKIGANGAGANJ No. I.

(*Normal income Rs.* 2,360 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 7,980.)

Officer in charge, MR. F. N. WRIGHT, *Collector*.

Of the recoverable arrears, Rs. 274-9-6, of cash rents, &c., due to the estate, Rs. 123-0-1 only were realized : and of the current demand, Rs. 2,681-14-6, only 88 per cent., or Rs. 2,360-9-5, were recovered.

These small collections are attributed by the proprietor, who is allowed to manage it, to be due in part to his inability from sickness to engage actively in the collections, and partly to the illness or recusancy of some of the tenants. Both Commissioner and Collector consider that the proprietor is doing his best to fulfill the conditions on which the management of the estate was entrusted to him.

Only Rs. 690 were repaid on account of the Government loan during the year, instead of Rs. 760 as required by the conditions of the loan.

The cash balance on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 150-9-3, and the sum due to Government of the loan of Rs. 9,500 advanced in 1874, Rs. 8,404-12-8, of which Rs. 8,176-8-4 represented the balance of principal and Rs. 228-4-4 unpaid interest.

Better results might probably be obtained were the Collector to manage the property himself. But this would be to throw back the proprietor, Rája Dhiraj Singh, to a life of idleness, and though he is not a very good manager, as he really appears to be doing his best, it seemed wisest to accept his shortcomings and try and teach him to do better, even at the cost of somewhat delaying the repayal of the loan. It is possible that the Court may have to ask Government to extend somewhat the original term fixed for the repayment of the loan by reducing the half-yearly instalments. In the meantime the Collector has been directed to visit the estate and in person go carefully into the accounts, and specially to ascertain if the assets cannot be increased, and report what the prospects of the repayment of the loan are, showing by what date, making due allowance for enhanced receipts and yearly diminishing interest, the estate may be expected to pay up the whole debt, principal and interest. The Collector will also enquire what the area is of the Rája's sír, what profits (at present his only means of livelihood) he derives from it, and whether he might without serious hardship be required to contribute enough from his profits to bring the yearly payment on account of the State loan up to the required amount. If it appear from the Collector's report that the terms of the mortgage deed cannot be fulfilled, the Government will be addressed.

---

## No. 60.—JAGJIWANPUR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,322 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 1,375.)

Officer in charge, Tahsildar of Akbarpur.

The rental demand of this estate was enhanced by Rs. 68-4-3, yielding a return of about 7 per cent. on the outlay, Rs. 949-8-11, in the construction of a masonry well. The enhanced rents were readily given by the tenants.

The recoverable arrears of previous years and the demand of the year were realized in full.

The estate is being very carefully managed direct by the Tahsildar of Akbarpur

## No. 61.—BARWA KHURD.

(*Normal income Rs.* 2,699 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 6,332.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. J. H HARRISON, *Assistant Collector.*

The notice of this estate in appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78 showed that there were considerable arrears of cash rents and sayar owing to the estate. Rs. 552-2-11, equal to nearly 74 per cent. of the demand, Rs. 750-4-6, were realized during the year under report. Of the current demand, Rs. 2,936-3-7, Rs. 2,698-10-5, or nearly 92 per cent., were collected. These results are satisfactory when the large amount of arrears is considered.

All claims, with the exception of Rs. 6 on account of establishment, were paid, and the year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 3,020-11-7. The Junior Member has sanctioned the purchase of Government paper, as a temporary investment, to the value of Rs. 3,000 during 1879-80. The estate already possesses Government paper to that amount. The estate is fully irrigated, and there is no opening for improving the water-supply ; but the Collector has been directed to enquire whether any village contiguous to the estate is for purchase.

## No. 62.—PANKIGANGAGANJ No II.

(*Normal income,* nil ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good or bad*, nil.)

Officer in charge.
MR. F. N. WRIGHT, *Collector.*

The receipts and disbursements of this property, sold to the Rawatpur estate under the authority conveyed in G. O. No. 2,146A., dated the 18th October, 1877, are embodied in the figures of the Rawatpur estate.

The former proprietor, Musammat Ganesh Kunwar, is, by the terms of the sale-deed, to receive a monthly allowance of Rs. 20 for her lifetime. In the year of report she received Rs. 280-2-0, the allowance for 1878-79, including unpaid arrears of the previous year. This is merely a rent charge, and it is doubtful whether it should continue to be shown in the list of properties managed by the Court.

## No. 63.—RAWATPUR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 55,513 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 39,258.)

Officer in charge.
MR. F. N. WRIGHT, *Collector.*

The management of this estate was not considered satisfactory in 1877-78 for the reasons stated in the notice of the estate at page 21, appendix A., of the Court's report for that year. On Rahat Ali, the late manager's accounts being cleared up, he was found to owe the estate Rs. 953 11-0, the whole of which was realized during the year under report. The investigation into the grain accounts shows that the necessary supervision was not exercised by the then Collector. Abdul Aziz, son of Sayyid Ahmad, Deputy Collector, has been appointed manager of the estate, and the Junior Member trusts that, under Mr. Wright's careful supervision, this fine estate will now receive full justice.

The collections of the year under report show a great improvement on those of previous years : 58 per cent. of the realizable arrears and 97 per cent. of the current demand was collected.

Mr. Wright's report shows that the estate must have been a good deal neglected for some time past. The garden and orchard belonging to the estate have been much neglected : trees have been allowed to wither ; most of the residences belonging to the family have been allowed to fall into disrepair ; and the wells constructed by Mr. Daniell have not proved altogether a success.

The Junior Member has sanctioned the expenditure of Rs. 3,850 on works of improvement during 1879-80. Of the very large cash balance shown on hand at the

close of the year, Rs. 23,000 have since been invested, with the sanction of Government, on loans to two other estates under the Court's management.

The ward is about to be sent to the institution at Benares. He was 11 years 5 months and 22 days old on the 30th September, 1879.

This property has been managed during the past year direct, and with great zeal and care.

## No. 64.—AKBARPUR SEN.

(*Normal income Rs.* 5,580 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 14,094.)

Officer in charge, MR. F. N. WRIGHT, *Collector.*

In previous years the Board commented unfavourably on the management of this estate. Matters have improved during the year under report. The management of the property has been exceedingly efficient, and in spite of adverse seasons excellent results have been obtained.

Of Rs. 1,570-12-7, the realizable arrears of previous years, Rs. 711-5-8 were recovered, and of the current demand Rs. 6,465-2-4, the sum of Rs. 5,579-9-8 was realized.

Mr. Wright, who has made a full and thorough enquiry into the affairs of the estate, reports that the mortgagees of the two best villages comprised in the estate, are willing that the villages be redeemed. And accordingly to permit of this being done, a loan of Rs. 13,000 has been granted to this estate from the surplus funds of Rawatpur.

Rupees 1,625-12-5 were paid in reduction of the debts due from the estate, and on the 30th September, 1879 besides the sum of Rs.13,100 due on the mortgage above refered to, Rs. 1,846-10-0 only remained due from the estate.

This property was managed direct.

## No. 65.—MUMINPUR-RATGAWAN.

(*Normal income Rs.* 5,921 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 874.)

Officer in charge, RUP KISHORE, *Tahsildar of Ghátampur.*

This estate, the owner of which is a lunatic, continues to be most successfully managed by Rup Kishore, Tahsildar of Ghátampur.

The rental receipts in the year under report were Rs. 4,846-13-4, compared with Rs. 4,541 9-6 in 1877-78, the increase being due to judicious enhancements to which the majority of the tenants agreed. Suits were instituted against six tenants only, of whom four agreed to the enhancements on the cases coming on for hearing, and in the case of the other two the enhancements were decreed. The rental demand for 1879-80, inclusive of these enhancements, will be Rs. 4,941-12-0.

The demand of the year was realized in full ; all claims against the estate were paid ; Rs. 300 were expended on the construction of platforms to two masonry wells ; and there was a cash balance of Rs. 874-6-10, at credit of the estate, on the 30th September, 1879.

The estate like all under Mr. Wright's charge is managed direct.

## No. 66.—GHAGWA BHABI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 628 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 147.)

Officer in charge, MR F. N. WRIGHT, *Collector.*

There were no arrears due to this estate at the commencement of the year, and the current demand, Rs. 757-8-3, including Rs. 130-7-10, canal occupiers' rate, was realized in full.

Some slight enhancement was made in the rental in the year under report, but the assets do not yet equal those estimated by the Settlement Officer at the late revision of settlement. Mr. Wright promises to see if further enhancements can not be fairly obtained.

This is only a small property, but it is managed direct and extremely well managed.

---

FATEHPUR DISTRICT.

## No. 67.—PATTI SHAH.

(*Normal income Rs.* 4,205 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 5,072.)

Officer in charge.
MR. D. T. ROBERTS, *Asst. Collector.*

The three relatives of the Wards of Court to whom this estate is entrusted (it is hardly a lease) to the close of the year 1879-80, paid up the demand in full and received Rs. 208, being 5 per cent. on the gross collections, as costs of management. Advantage was taken of the sanction conveyed in G. O. No. 3165A., dated the 21st October, 1878, and a sum of Rs. 5,500, was borrowed @ 8 per cent. interest, from the Manda estate in the Allahabad district. The orders of Government under reference, sanctioned the grant of a loan of Rs. 7,000, but it was found that Rs. 5,500 and the profits of the estate were sufficient to pay the debts due from the estate. Rs. 7,058-11-6 were paid on account of the debts, Rs. 5,098-14-8, being on account of principal, and Rs. 1,959-12-10 on account of interest. This payment included a sum of Rs. 400, repaid the Manda estate, and on the 30th September, 1879, there was owed the Manda estate.—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| On account of principal ... ... ... ... | 5,177 | 2 | 4 |
| " " " interest ... ... ... ... | 17 | 0 | 5 |
| Total ... ... | 5,194 | 2 | 9 |

All other claims having been satisfied in full.

It is anticipated that the debt to the Manda estate will be further reduced by a payment of Rs. 1,214-5-8, towards principal in 1879-80, and that the estate will be free from debt by the close of the year 1883-84.

The minor ward, Mir Wajid Husain, was 17 years, 1 month, and 7 days old on the 30th September, 1879.

Before renewing the arrangements with the ward's relatives, the Junior Member has directed the Collector to examine thoroughly the rent-rolls, so as to see whether all the tenants pay adequate rents; whether there has been any increase in the cultivated or irrigated area; and whether there is any scope for increasing either: in fact to verify thoroughly the village papers. The circumstances of this case are exceptional and the quasi lease here unobjectionable.

---

## No. 68.—AHMADPUR KUSUMBHA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 500 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 1,225.)

Officer in charge
MR. D. T. ROBERTS, *Asst. Collector.*

The arrangement by which this estate was leased to Tulshi Ram, uncle and guardian of the lunatic, Dilsukh Rai, were reported in the notice of the estate at page 28 of the Court's report for 1875-76. The arrangement has worked well and has been continued.

Tulshi Ram paid the full amount Rs. 500 of the lease for 1878-79, and the opening cash balance being Rs. 848-3-7 the available income was Rs. 1,348-3-7.

The expenditure of the year amounted to Rs. 122-12-10 only, and on the 30th September, 1879, the surplus funds amounted to Rs. 1,225-6-9, of which Rs. 1,050-0-0 were invested in the Savings Bank.

The proprietor has three sons, one of whom is an adult and an idiot like his father. The Board sanctioned the two younger sons being educated at the Fatehpur, zila school. The second son was admitted as a boarder on the 2nd July, 1879, and the third son will be admitted as soon as he recovers from illness.

In this case the leasing of the estate to a relative is unobjectionable.

## No. 69.—ATA HUSAIN KHAN'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.*7,073 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 5,236).

Officer in charge
Mr. D. T. ROBERTS,
*Asst. Collector.*

Of the recoverable arrears Rs. 254-10-10 on account of cash rents, &c., due the estate at the close of 1877-78, Rs. 181-15-9 were collected. The current demand increased from Rs. 7,375-15-7 in 1877-78 to Rs. 7,462-6-4 in 1878-79. In 1877-78, the collections of the current demand equalled 98 per cent. In 1878-79, they were only 95 per cent. of the demand.

The principal of the debt to the estate of Rai Pratap Chand, was further reduced by a payment of Rs. 1,518-3-3, and Rs. 381-12-9 were paid on account of interest. On the 30th September, 1879, there remained due on account of this debt :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| For principal ... ... ... ... | 6,079 | 11 | 4 |
| „ interest ... ... ... ... | 96 | 15 | 1 |
| Total ... | 6,176 | 10 | 5 |

The loan is being gradually repaid while current charges are satisfied. The management was direct and satisfactory.

## No. 70.—KHAN-BIBI'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 3,592 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 530.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. D. T. ROBERTS,
*Asst. Collector.*

The management of this estate in the year under report was satisfactory. Mahál Bedar Bakht Khan which was farmed to this estate for a term of five years, with effect from September, 1875, produced net profits of Rs. 325-1-8 in the year under report. Up to the close of September, 1878, this estate had recouped itself the principal and interest of the arrears paid for the farm of the mahál, and a sum of Rs. 197-2-9 had been obtained as net profits.

All current charges were paid, and the principal of the loan of Rs. 5,000, obtained from the estate of Rai Pratap Chand, was further reduced by a payment of Rs. 1,267-13-10, and Rs. 132-2-2 were paid on account of interest, leaving still due :—

| | R. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| On account of principal ... ... | 1,193 | 11 | 2 |
| „ „ interest ... ... | 2 | 15 | 1 |
| Total ... | 1,196 | 10 | 3 |

With the cash balance of Rs. 494-14-9 at credit of the estate, on the 30th September, 1879, and the profits of the estate for 1879-80, the estate will be freed from debt. The management here also was direct and satisfactory.

## No. 71.—ASOTHAR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 24,896 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 10,585.)

Officer in charge.
Mr. D. T. ROBERTS,
*Asst. Collector.*

The collections of the demand for arrears equalled 20 per cent. and of the current demand 93 per cent. These results were not so good as in 1877-78.

Under the authority conveyed in G. O. No. 1572, dated the 21st July, 1879, the estate was released to the proprietor, on the 1st October, 1879, free from debt and with the following assets :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Cash balance ... ... | 5,289 | 12 | 6 |
| Recoverable arrears of cash rents, &c., .. | 4,408 | 10 | 8 |
| Takávi advances due ... ... ... | 887 | 0 | 0 |
| Total ... | 10,585 | 7 | 2 |

ALLAHABAD DIVISION.
FATEHPUR DISTRICT—(*concluded*).

The estate, which was much over-assessed, was burdened with a debt of Rs. 26,862-12-0, when it came under the superintendence of the Court of Wards in 1875. The last instalment of the debt was paid in June, 1879, and all charges due to the date the estate was released, were paid in full.

During the Court's management, revision of settlement has been made in Fatehpur and Bánda, in which the villages comprised in the estate lie, with the result that the Government demand for land revenue has been reduced from Rs. 20,453 to Rs. 16,605.

The management has been direct, and on the whole intelligent and satisfactory.

## No. 72.—BINDAUR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 12,033 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 15,507).

Officer in charge.
MR. D. T. ROBERTS, *Assistant Collector.*

The officer in charge was able to realize 18 per cent. of the recoverable arrears, and 92 per cent. of the current demand. In 1877-78 the collections of the current demand equalled 87 per cent. only.

In August, 1879, it was discovered that, in March, 1878, subsequent to charge of this estate being assumed by the Court of Wards, the ward, Nawab Ahmad Husain Khan, succeeded to a 14 annas share of mauza Jamrauli by the death of his brother. Mutation of names was effected in the usual way, and the Nawab managed the village himself, no intimation being given to the Court of Wards. The matter was discovered by the village falling into arrears with the Government revenue, and the Junior Member directed the Collector to assume charge of the village. Nine annas share of the village was found to have previously been mortgaged for Rs. 2,176-14-0. This debt has been added to the sum of the debts in statement No. VII.

The profits of the share are enjoyed by the mortgagee in lieu of interest.

During the time the Nawab held the village unknown to the Collector, he borrowed other sums on it. Enquiry is being made as to these debts, as probably they cannot be enforced against the property, having been incurred without the knowledge of the Court of Wards.

Rupees 1,468-15-3 were paid to the estate of Rai Pratap Chand, in reduction of the loan received in 1877-78, and Rs. 1,581-0-9 were paid on account of interest. On the 30th September, 1879, Rs. 15,060-0-2, remained due on account of principal, and Rs. 55-11-3 on account of unpaid interest, to Rai Pratap Chand's estate, besides the sum of Rs. 2,176-14-0 due the mortgagee of mauza Jamrauli.

The estate is managed direct, and on the whole the management during the past year has been satisfactory.

BÁNDA DISTRICT.

## No. 73.—MAKRI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 35 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 13).

Officer in charge.
MR. M. A. McCONAGHEY, *Collector.*

The arrears Rs. 2-2-10 of 1877-78 were realized with the entire demand for 1878-79. The available income was Rs. 36-7-0. The expenditure was Rs. 19-8-8 and there was a cash balance of Rs. 16-14-4 at credit of the estate, on the 30th September, 1879. Rs. 4-5-0, Government demand due from this estate was by a mistake realized from the co-sharers. It will be adjusted in 1879-80.

## No. 74.—IMDAD ALI BEG'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 4,714 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 10,800).

Officer in charge.
MR. M. A. McCONAGHEY, *Collector.*

The owners of this estate are the children of Imdad Ali Beg, who, with his father, a Persian, were in the service of the Nawab of Bánda. Imdad Ali Beg gave Mr. Mayne great assistance immediately before the mutiny. After Mr. Mayne left the district, the Nawab yielded to the pressure of the mutineers and the evil influence which sur-

rounded him, and became a declared though a half-hearted rebel. Imdad Ali Beg shared the responsibility of all those who continued in the service of the rebel Nawab, and was hanged for rebellion on the arrival of General Willock's force.

Mr. Mayne very much doubted whether Imdad Ali Beg was hostile to the British Government. His sons took no active part in the rebellion, they had patrolled the district in company with British officers before the latter left the district, and had lost much property by the plundering of their villages. Mr Mayne accordingly recommended, and Government sanctioned, the grant of land, part of the confiscated property of Imdad Ali Beg, paying revenue amounting to Rs. 5,000, for the support of the family. Subsequently, on the recommendation of Mr. Mayne, the house in the town of Bánda which belonged to Imdad Ali Beg, was restored to the family.

The family have been careless and extravagant and have failed in effective management of the property. The villages have not been permanently injured, and the proprietors are credited with having fairly maintained cultivation, and of keeping their tenants together.

On the estate being advertized for sale in execution of a decree under Act VIII., 1859, Mr. Cadell, then Officiating Collector of Bánda, endeavoured to avert sale under section 244, but the judgment-creditors would not receive their claims which amounted to Rs. 10,800, by instalments. On the matter being reported to the Board Government was addressed, and in G. O. No. 3437A., dated the 27th November, 1878, the four proprietors were declared disqualified from managing their estate under clause (*g*) section 194, Act. XIX., 1873. In No. 380, dated the 22nd January, 1878, copy of which was received with G. O. No. 297A., dated the 1st February, 1878, the Government of India sanctioned the grant of a loan of Rs. 7,000 at 5 per cent. interest on the security of the estate.

The proprietors were allowed to retain the management of their property, subject to the supervision of the Collector, to the close of the agricultural year of 1878-79 when the Collector assumed direct management. In the abstract notice of the estate in appendix A. of the report for 1879-80, the financial condition of the estate will be given.

## No. 75.—KIRWI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 21,960: *on the* 30*th September,* 1873, *to the* bad *Rs.* 22,864).

Officer in charge, MR. M A McCONAGHEY, *Collector.*

This estate consists of the entirety of two, and a half share in a third village in the Bánda district, and of the entirety of two villages in the Fatehpur district. One of the two villages in Bánda is held on a usufructuary mortgage, with possession, for a period of 25 years. It will be unconditionally redeemed in October, 1889.

The proprietor, and in the case of this one village mortgagee, is Rao Balwant Rao, the only member of the Peshwa's family who adhered to the British side in the mutiny. Apart from his loyalty he is in other respects worthy of being treated with consideration. His embarrassments have in a great measure arisen from causes beyond his control. In 1864 he became usufructuary mortgagee of the village of Barokhar (the village above referred to) for a period of 25 years. For this he paid Rs. 8,000 and agreed to allow the mortgagor Rs. 450 annually. The profits of the village were at that time considerable. Of late years, however, owing to bad seasons and the absence of any proper control over the cultivators, the Rao has been holding the village at a dead loss. He repeatedly offered to relinquish the property to the mortgagor, and to waive all claims to the balance of the Rs 8,000, which remained due, but the mortgagor refused to comply. In the five years ending 1282 fasli, the net loss from this village to the Rao has been over Rs. 5,000. In 1877, the Board on the application of the Collector of Bánda, annulled the settlement of this village for three years and placed it under direct management. At the close of this period the village had already begun to pay its way: with the reduction of jama which was

ALLAHABAD DIVISION, BANDA DISTRICT—(*continued.*)

contemplated, the position of the village would necessarily be still further improved. But the Board were of opinion that, to return it to the mortgagee would be to allow it to relapse into its former condition, as he was unequal to the management of this difficult property in his embarrassed condition.

The matter having been reported to Government, the proprietor was, on his own application, declared disqualified from managing his property in G. O. No. 1045, dated the 6th May, 1879, and the entire estate, including the mortgaged village, came under the Court's management.

Rs. 12,020, bearing interest at 12 per cent. per annum, and Rs. 9,000, without interest, represent the sum of the Rao's debts. The last named sum is due to His Highness the Mahárájah Holkar.

The estimated income of the landed property is Rs. 21,000, and the charges for Government revenue, cost of management, maintenance, &c., Rs. 16,144, leaving an annual estimated profit of Rs. 4,855.

In G. O. No. 1045 of the 6th May, 1879, Government sanctioned the grant of a loan of Rs. 13,000, bearing 6¼ per cent. interest, from the surplus funds of some solvent Court of Wards estate. The Junior Member has sanctioned the loan being made from the surplus funds of Rai Pratap Chand's estate in the Allahabad district. The estate will be managed direct, and should be free from debt in about six years.

The Collector did not assume charge of the estate until the agricultural year had closed, so that the appendices do not show any figures for this estate for the year under report.

---

ALLAHABAD DISTRICT.

## No. 76.—SARAI PITHA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 19,611 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 34,763.)

Officer in charge, MR. A. M. MARKHAM, *Collector.*

Of the arrears, Rs. 205-6-8, due to the estate at the commencement of the year, Rs. 173-10-6 were realized, and of a current demand of Rs. 19,752-12-9 all but a sum of Rs. 142 0-11, of which Rs. 10-11-0 are nominal, was collected.

Rs. 6,000 were paid on account of arrears of interest. The sum of the debts due from the estate on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 36,506-3-0, of which Rs. 32,969-0-1 represents principal, and Rs. 3,537-2-11 accumulated arrears of interest.

All current charges with the exception of interest were paid in full. During this current year it is believed that all interest (arrears and current) will be cleared off, and a portion of the principal also liquidated.

This estate is managed direct and the management has been excellent.

---

## No. 77.—SARAI BHARAT.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 46,753: *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 1,20,475.)

Officer in charge, MR. A. M. MARKHAM, *Collector.*

Seventy-one per cent. of the demand for arrears and 98 per cent. of the current demand was realized.

Including the opening cash balance of Rs. 1,156-3-7 the available income was Rs. 49,589-0-3. The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 48,575-11-7, and there was a cash balance of Rs. 1,013-4-8 at the credit of the estate on the 30th September, 1879. This expenditure included, besides all current charges which were met in full, Rs. 741 paid for the release of mauza Mehngupur, and Rs. 13,522-12-6 paid on account of principal and interest of the debts due from the estate.

Under the authority given in G. O. No. 759, dated the 10th April, 1879, the Board entered into an agreement with Lala Manohar Dás by which he took over all the debts and became sole creditor, the Court of Wards binding itself to pay the Lala from

the profits of the estate, so long as it was under their superintendence. Paras. 17 to 22 inclusive of the Collector's expenditure report are here quoted in explanation of the debts.

17. " The debt upon the estate was originally Rs. 1,24,421, of which Rs. 1,07,816 was secured. Of the unsecured debts Rs. 9,008 had been decreed against the estate at different times. These decrees have, under the arrangement with Lala Manohar Dás, been purchased by him, and their sum is, therefore, now added to the secured debt. A sum of no less than Rs. 34,409 has also had to be added for interest and law and conveyancing costs and charges, bringing the secured debt up to Rs. 1,51,234.

18. " Of this total sum Rs. 1,199 is on a bond signed by Mahesh Baksh Singh, whose name has so frequently appeared in the correspondence relating to this estate. As this bond is not executed by him as attorney or agent for the Thakurayan, but as her adopted son, a position from which we have succeeded in compelling him to retire, I have every hope of being able to void it. The holder is watching the result of a suit on a similar bond now pending on the part of one Kamasindin (also a name well known in the history of this estate), and if the latter be successful, the former will come forward, and *vice versâ*.

" On this bond a sum of Rs. 952 will be due as interest in the event of our having to accept the responsibility. This is the sum shown in column 9 of the statement.

19. " Deducting the doubtful bond from the secured debts, the sum of the latter becomes Rs. 1,50,035.

20. " Against this a sum of Rs 8,902 was paid off in previous years, and Rs. 9,237 has been paid during the year under report, leaving a principal debt due to Lala Manohar Dás, on the 1st October, 1879, of Rs. 1,31,896.

" This sum, plus the amount of the bond referred to in para. 18, and the interest thereon, amounts to the sum shown in column 10 of the statement.

21. " The prescribed statement is so meagre in form that it is impossible to show in it either the changes which have taken place in the principal debt since the estate came under the Court, or the payments of interest or the state of the interest account.

" Besides the interest added to and included in decrees, and now massed within the amount shown in column 2 of the statement, Rs. 11,717 has from time to time been paid as interest previous to, and Rs. 4,285 during the year under report.

22. " With regard to the balance of the unsecured debts (*i. e.*, after deduction of the Rs. 9,008 transferred to secured debts), and the nominal interest thereon, amounting in all to Rs. 11,983-6-3, I have now to request sanction to its being struck out of this statement :—

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| " Of this Rs. | 8,871 | 0 | 0 | is barred by limitation. |
| " | 2,361 | 6 | 3 | is unsupported by any documentary proof. |
| " | 751 | 0 | 0 | was Ram Sahai's claim dismissed by the Civil Court, (*vide* correspondence ending with this office No. 140, dated 13th September, 1879). |
| Rs. | 11,983 | 6 | 3" | |

The Junior Member has sanctioned the writing off of the unsecured debts amounting to Rs. 11,983-6-3.

It will thus be seen that the sum of the debts due from the estate on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 1,34,047-1-2, of which Rs. 1,31,896 remain due to Lala Manohar Dás, and Rs. 1,199-0-6 principal, and Rs. 952-0-8 interest are claimed on the bond signed by Mahesh Baksh Singh, referred to in para. 18 of the Collector's report *supra*.

It is estimated that Rs. 14,680 will be available for payment of principal and interest of the debts in 1879-80.

ALLAHABAD DIVISION.
ALLAHABAD DISTRICT —(*continued*).

The Collector reports as follows regarding the management of the estate.

"The gross rent-roll has now been brought up to Rs. 48,106; when the estate came under the Court of Wards in 1282 fasli the rent-roll was Rs. 39,641. It has therefore been enhanced after 4½ years' management, by Rs. 8,465 or 21·4 per cent. The increase has been gained under very adverse circumstances, as until recently, the estate has been hovering on the verge of sale to creditors, a state of things not conducive to the thorough exploitation of its capabilities. There is still room for further enhancement of rents."

On the death of the aged lady who now enjoys a life interest in the estate, the estate will escheat to Government.

This property, is managed directly by Mr. Markham, whose administration has been most careful and successful.

## No. 78.—GAMBHIRA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 24,601 . *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 63,655.)

Officer in charge, MR. A. M. MARKHAM, *Collector.*

Seventy-eight per cent. of the realizable arrears of cash rents, &c., due to the estate at the commencement of the year, and nearly 99 per cent. of the current demand, was collected.

Rupees 2,290-8-4 in reduction of principal and Rs. 5,044-0 6 on account of the interest, (Rs. 5,057-7-2, due, were paid to the estate of Rai Partap Chand. On the 30th September, 1879, there remained due from this estate—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| On account of principal ... ... ... ... | 65,309 | 7 | 8 |
| " " interest ... ... ... ... | 13 | 6 | 8 |
| Total ... | 65,322 | 14 | 4 |

Since the estate came under the superintendence of the Court the rental demand has been enhanced from Rs. 23,748 to Rs. 25,511. So that in the third year of management the demand has been increased by more than 7 per cent. The jama is now only 51·3 per cent. of the assets.

This property like a large portion of Mr. Markham's vast charge, has been managed direct and with the greatest intelligence and care.

## No. 79.—MANDA.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 2,07,638 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 86,946.)

Officer in charge, MR A. M. MARKHAM, *Collector.*

The collections of the demand for arrears of cash rents, sayar and manorial dues equalled 93, and of the current demand more than 99·6 per cent.

These results are shown for an estate with a demand of Rs. 2,08,460 in which the assets have been raised by judicious enhancements, and but little resort to the Courts, so that the Government demand is now only 49·3 per cent. of the jamabandi. But it must here be remembered that only four villages are held direct, and that in the rest (257) which are leased, the nominal demand is at least 6 per cent. (and in many cases probably more) below the real gross village demand.

As explained in the notice of this estate in appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78, the balance Rs. 30,381-1-4 of the debts remaining unpaid on the 30th September, 1878, were not really due, and have been written off the estate debt account. In the year under report, the Collector found that one of the widows of the late Rája had incurred a bond debt of Rs. 275-12-0 for which property of the family was pledged. The debt was paid off in the year under report, and there is nothing now due from the estate.

The gross available income of the year of report was Rs. 2,26,000-10-8, and the total expenditure Rs. 1,45,229-9-10, in which was included a sum of Rs. 5,500 lent to the Patti Shah estate under the sanction communicated in G. O. No. 3165A., dated 21st October, 1878.

The expenditure also includes a sum of Rs. 2,856-6-0 paid for a share in a village in which the estate already owned a one-fifth share.

The cash balance on the 30th September, 1879, amounted to Rs. 80,771-0-10.

The Junior Member has sanctioned the purchase for Rs. 48,000 of two rent-free villages, the investment of Rs. 30,000 in the purchase of Government paper, and has allotted Rs. 63,800 for other investments which may offer in 1879-80. Rs. 11,000 has also been provided for improvements during the current year.

The ward will attain his majority on the 21st May, 1881. Mr. Markham states that, in the past year the young Rája has rendered Sirdar Lachman Singh, the local manager of the estate, assistance from time to time in the work of the estate. So far as Mr. Markham has learnt, the conduct of the young Rája has been invariably good.

Although the great mass of the property is leased, and the immediate responsibility for its management rests with Sirdar Lachman Singh, Tahsildar of Khairagarh (a friend of the ward's father), whose services to the estate well merit recognition; Mr. Markham's own active supervision and excellent administration of this great property deserve the fullest recognition.

---

## No. 80.—RAI PRATAP CHAND'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 1,28,395 : *on the* 30*th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 3,88,920).

Officer in charge, Mr. A. M. MARKHAM, *Collector.*

In 1877-78 this estate was comprised of the entirety of, or shares in, 407 villages, 310 of which were leased and 97 held under direct management under the supervision of the tahsildar of Phúlpur.

In the year under report, six villages have been added, four having been acquired on possessory mortgage in security of a loan amounting to Rs. 5,978 granted to Musammat Fatima Bégam, and two alluvial villages, which formerly existed and had been washed away, have now again been thrown up.

One of the 310 villages leased in 1877-78 was partitioned in 1878-79, and the farmer threw up his lease.

Of the 413 villages now comprised in the estate, 309 were leased during the year and 104 were held under direct management.

The current demand for cash rents, sayar, &c., rose from Rs. 1,19,983-11-1 in 1877-78 to Rs. 1,30,686-8-4 in 1878-79.

The collections equalled 98 per cent. of the current demand and 65 per cent. of the realizable arrears of previous years.

Rupees 5,978 were lent on the mortgage, with possession, of four villages owned by Musammat Fatima Begam, and Rs. 13,580-15-3 represented the year's demand for interest on all the debts due to the estate. Rs. 3,720-7-7 were written off the statement of debts as irrecoverable, being due on old, and in some cases expired, decrees which had been already executed as far as was possible.

The demand for principal and interest of the debts was Rs. 3,06,677-3-3 of which Rs. 19,997-13-5 were collected, and Rs. 2,86,679-5-10 remained* due on the 30th September, 1879. Rs. 1,064-2-6 being irrecoverable, due from pauper debtors, will be removed from the accounts.

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Recoverable ... | 2,19,654 |
| Doubtful ... | 65,961 |
| Irrecoverable, | 1,064 |

Three new wells were completed during the year, and a fourth was in process of construction, an old and entirely useless well was reconstructed, and Rs. 890 were expended on new sluices, and the Kanihar bandh is now in good working order.

ALLAHABAD DIVISION.
ALLAHABAD DISTRICT —(*continued*).

These works will add largely to the immediate income of the estate, and will, where they add nothing just now, secure the payment of enhanced rents, which would without the works have had to be abated. The incompleted well will be finished in 1879-80, and three new wells will be constructed on spots selected by the Collector.

The Junior Member has sanctioned the following investments of surplus funds during 1879-80 :—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Purchase of scattered villages comprised in the estate of the late Fayaz Ali in which this estate also owns shares ... ... ... | 51,000 |
| Purchase of small shares possessed by another proprietor in villages in which this estate has shares ... ... ... ... | 2,500 |
| Other possible purchases ... ... ... ... ... | 6,500 |
| Loan to the estate of the late Fayaz Ali ... ... ... | 48,000 |
| Purchase of Government securities ... ... ... ... | 10,000 |
| Total ... | 1,18,000 |

The ward Rai Pratap Chand, was eight years nine months old on the 30th September, 1879. He is reported to be still delicate and small for his age, but has physically very much benefited by his removal from Phúlpur to the new Civil Station of Allahabad. The Collector examines the ward occasionally and states that he is much pleased with the progress he has made. One-fourth of the estate was held direct, and the whole was successfully and energetically administered by Mr. Markham.

---

## No. 81.—ESTATE OF RAI BAHADUR ASAPAL SINGH.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 29,474 : *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 23,210).

Officer in charge, MR. A. M. MARKHAM, *Collector.*

In the notice of this estate at page 35 of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, appendix A., it was stated that Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh died in March, 1878, leaving three sons as his heirs, the eldest of whom, Jang Bahadur Singh, had attained his majority. With Board's No. 176N., dated the 30th April, 1879, was submitted an application from Jang Bahadur Singh to be declared disqualified from managing his estate, and the necessary declaration under clause (*g*), section 194, Act XIX., 1873, was made in G. O. No. 1182, dated the 19th May, 1879.

Jang Bahadur Singh was 19 years old on the 30th September, 1878, and not 22, as stated in the notice of the estate referred to; he is now 20 years old. Gaya Bakhsh Singh and Bam Bahadur Singh were aged 17 and 15 years respectively on the 30th September, 1879.

The current demand was Rs. 29,473-12-11 compared with Rs. 28,885-8-3 in 1877-78. It was realized in full.

All current demands against the estate were satisfied, and it is satisfactory to note that the large sum of Rs. 3,909-10-10 was credited to Government on account of the loan granted to the estate. Rs. 1,423-7-5 were also credited on account of interest and on the 30th September, 1879, there remained due :—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| On account of principal ... ... ... ... | 22,729 | 7 | 2 |
| Arrears of interest ... ... ... ... | 638 | 0 | 4 |
| Total ... | 23,367 | 7 | 6 |

At the present rate of payment of the State loan the estate should be free from debt by the time Bam Bahadur Singh, the youngest of the three wards, attains his majority.

Only nine villages are at present held under direct management, but the majority of the leases fall in during the current year, and it is hoped that the Collector will then be able to hold at any rate the major portion of the property under direct

management. So far as under the farming system this is possible, Mr. Markham's administration has been excellent.

## No. 82.—SHAIKH FAYAZ ALI'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 39,707 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 1,09,948.)

Officer in charge, MR. A. M. MARKHAM, *Collector.*

A notice of this estate was given at page 36, appendix A., of the Court's report for the year 1877-78. Since writing that notice it was found necessary that Musammats Lutfan Bibi and Hájra Bibi should be formally declared disqualified from managing their estate, for, although the widows in writing renounced their rights in the property in favor of the male heirs, yet such renunciation did not hold good in Muhammadan law, and might have been contested at some future time by a collateral heir. The necessary declaration was made in Government Order No. 153, dated the 28th January, 1880.

Eighty-one per cent. of the recoverable arrears of cash rents, &c., of previous years, and 96 per cent. of the current demand, were realized. These are very satisfactory results when it is considered that the entire estate was managed direct in the year under report, the first complete year of management.

Rs. 983-12-9 were paid in reduction of the principal, and Rs. 11,048-8-9 on account of arrears of interest.

On careful enquiry a sum of Rs. 1,100 has been ascertained to be due to several creditors in excess of the sum of the debts stated to be due in the notice at page 37, appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78. On the 30th September, 1879, the debts amounted to Rs. 1,13,378-11-6, Rs. 97,116-3-3 being due on account of principal and Rs. 16,262-8-3, on account of accumulated arrears of interest. The debts should be considerably reduced during 1879-80, by payment of the sale proceeds of the shares in villages and houses, the sale of which has been sanctioned by the Civil Court.

The following is extracted from Mr. Markham's report :—

"In 142 mahâls the estate owns shares, being sole owner in only a few of them.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 112 | are in pargana | Sikandra. |
| | 16 | ditto | Soraon. |
| | 8 | ditto | Mirzapur. |
| | 6 | ditto | Mah. |
| Total ... | 142 | | |

"The Sikandra mahâls are all kham, *i. e.*, managed directly with the tenants and yield a gross rental of Rs. 27,933.

"The mahâls in the other three parganas have been farmed for 1879-80 for Rs. 7,526 on a nikâsi kham of Rs. 7,634, thus leaving 1·41 per cent. for the lessees.

"A Sarbarakar under the supervision of the tahsildar, manages the portion of the estate held kham.

"Besides these revenue paying properties, the estate owns three bungalows in the old civil station of Allahabad, of an estimated value of Rs. 38,448, renting when all are occupied for Rs. 4,140 per annum : also a pucka *kothi* in the city of Allahabad of the value of Rs. 16,000.

The sharers in the property are—

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lutfan Bibi, mother, aged | 52 | years | | |
| Hajra Bibi, widow | „ 26 | „ | | |
| Shaikh Mumtaz-ud-din | 19 | „ | 1 | month. |
| Majda Bibi | „ 13 | „ | 7 | „ |
| Muhammad Ismail, | „ 8 | „ | 2 | „ |
| Sajada Bibi | „ 5 | „ | 7 | „ |
| Abdul Kadir | „ 2 | „ | 4 | „ |

on the 30th September, 1879.

* * * * *

ALLAHABAD DIVISION.
ALLAHABAD DISTRICT —(*continued*).

"As to the education of the wards: Shaikh Mumtaz-ud-din is too old to be taught and is besides of scanty intellect. I have allowed him to work in my office in order to see if anything can be made of him. A private tutor is now trying to teach Muhammad Ismail something. There are no funds for anything more than this. The youngest heir is not yet of an age to receive instruction."

---

## No. 83.—NANGAWAN.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 7,723 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 2,291.)

Officer in charge,
MR. A. M. MARKHAM,
*Collector.*

The lease of this estate, granted by the mother of the minor, fell in with the year under report. It is stated in the notice of this estate at page 37, appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78, that on the expiry of the lease the Collector estimated an enhanced annual income of Rs. 8,000. By taking engagements for newly-broken up-land and making invalid rent-free plots pay rent, Mr. Markham has been able to increase the rental to Rs. 8,303, and has been able to lease the estate for a term of four years for an annual payment of Rs. 7,723. This leaves the lessee a little less than 7½ per cent. for cost of management and collections.

Of the debts due from the estate, Rs. 637-4-9 on account of principal and Rs. 57-7-6 on account of interest could only be paid, owing mainly to the expenditure of Rs. 300 on the marriage of the ward. Mr. Markham hopes to pay off all but Rs. 200 of the remainder of the debts during 1879-80. The estate will not be made over to be the ward until the close of 1882-83. It is to be regretted that this property was immediately re-leased, but the Collector at any rate had the village papers carefully revised and effected large enhancements in the gross village demand before re-leasing.

---

HAMIRPUR DISTRICT.

## No. 84.—MUSAMMAT MAKHANIA'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income, Rs.* 206 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 45.)

Officer in charge,
MR. M. S. HOWELL,
*Collector.*

The rental demand was raised from Rs. 177-1-6 in 1877-78 to Rs. 206 in 1878-79, more land having been brought under cultivation.

The demand was realized in full, and the year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 44-9-2 at credit of the estate.

The property is very small, but it was apparently carefully managed.

---

JAUNPUR DISTRICT.

## No. 85.—BHAGESA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,099 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 7,495).

Officer in charge,
MR. G. E. WARD,
*Collector.*

The arrears, Rs. 347-14-0, of cash rent due from the lessee of this estate were recovered in full together with the demand of the year under report.

The claim for Rs. 700 principal and Rs. 1,176 interest, referred to in the notice of this estate, at page 38, appendix A. of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, was finally settled by the payment of the principal and Rs. 485, accumulated arrears of interest. There is now nothing due from the estate.

On the 30th September, 1878, debts aggregating Rs. 2,838-11-11 remained due to the estate, of which Rs. 334 were recovered during the year under report, leaving Rs. 2,504-11-11 still due.

The ward is reported to have been born on the 16th June, 1863, so that he was 16 years 3 months and 14 days old on the 30th September, 1879. He was married during the year under report. He is being educated at the Jaunpur zila school.

Should it ultimately be decided to sell any part of the landed property of the estate of the Rája of Jaunpur, the surplus funds (estimated to be Rs. 5,500 on the 30th September, 1880) of this estate will be laid out in the purchase of a village.

The estate was leased; there was nothing to find fault with in the management otherwise.

---

## No. 86.—DUBE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 2,29,707 ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 5,76,316.)

Officer in charge,
Mr. G. E WARD,
*Collector.*

This estate is owned by Rája Hari Har Dat Dube and his brother, Shankar Dat Dube. It is comprised of the entirety of or shares in (including patches) 478 villages in the Jaunpur, Azamgarh, Mirzapur, and Benares districts.

Rája Lachmi Narayan Dube, the former owner of this estate, was also a ward of Court, his estate having been brought under the superintendence of the Court on the death of his father Sheo Gholam Dube, in 1859, when Lachmi Narayan Dube was 9 years old, and the present owners, Hari Har Dat Dube and Shanker Dat Dube, his cousins, were 5 and 2 years old respectively. At this time the estate was encumbered with debt to the extent of Rs. 2,00,000. All but Rs. 16,000 of this debt had been paid off when the estate was released to Lachmi Narayan Dube in 1869, when he attained his majority.

Lachmi Narayan Dube died on the 11th June, 1875, and was succeeded by his cousins, Hari Har Dat Dube and Shankar Dat Dube, who were then aged 21 and 18 years respectively.

A brief history of the family is given at page 38 of the manual of the Rájas and Nawábs of the North-Western Provinces.

In March, 1878, the Commissioner of the Allahabad Division submitted an application from the proprietors to be declared disqualified from managing their estates, and requesting that the Court would assume charge. The Board declined, for the reasons recorded in their letter No. 319N., dated the 15th May, 1878, and its accompaniment, to submit the application to Government. The Board's action was approved in G. O. No. 1429A., dated the 25th idem. The Collector of Jaunpur and the Commissioner of the Allahabad Division requested, for political reasons, a reconsideration of the decision before arrived at, and on the matter being again laid before Government, the Court was directed to assume charge of the estate in G. O. No. 2571A., dated the 28th August, 1878. In compliance with this order, charge of the estate was assumed on the 2nd October, 1878.

When application was made to bring the estate under the superintendence of the Court, the debts due from the estate were said to amount to Rs. 2,61,505 only, as follows :—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Secured by mortgage with possession .. ... ... ... ... | 18,000 |
| Ditto ditto without ditto ... .. ... ... ... | 81,100 |
| Other debts ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 1,62,405 |
| Total ... | 2,61,505 |

The Rája informed the Collector that, on the other hand, there were arrears of unrealized rents amounting to Rs. 1,00,000, and the Collector hoped to obtain Rs. 10,000 by the sale of useless acquisitions, and thus reduce the sum of the debts to Rs. 1,50,000.

ALLAHABAD DIVISION.
JAUNPUR DISTRICT—
(*concluded.*)

The accounts of the year under report show that the recoverable arrears were Rs. 40,464-15-8 only, of which Rs. 11,019-1-6 were collected; and while the arrears are less than what was stated, the debts d ue greatly exceed the sum first reported. In the Board's No. 237, dated the 17th March, 1880, Government was asked to move the Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court to sanction the deputation of an officer familiar with the civil law and the procedure of the civil courts, to investigate the claims of the creditors of the estate. Accordingly Babu Becha Ram Chakrabati, Munsif of Dataganj, was so deputed, having been also invested with the powers of a Deputy Collector: unfortunately ill health prevented this officer undertaking the task, and some other competent person will soon have to be entrusted with the duty.

At present the debts as ascertained are supposed to be follows :—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Debts due when superintendence was assumed ... ... ... | 6,87,153 |
| Interest that had accrued ... ... ... ... ... | 34,596 |
| Borrowed from the Allahabad Bank, Limited ... ... ... ... | 3,00,000 |
| Temporary loan ... ... ... ... ... ... | 4,000 |
| Interest to 30th September, 1879 ... ... ... ... ... | 5,469 |
| Total ... | 9,81,218 |
| Paid off during 1878-79 ... ... ... ... ... ... | 3,24,236 |
| Reduction allowed by the creditors ... ... ... ... ... | 46,798 |
| Total ... | 3,71,034 |
| Remaining due on 30th September, 1879 ... ... ... ... | 6,27,679 |

of which Rs. 6,10,185 represents principal and Rs. 17,493 unpaid interest.

In addition to this there are arrears of land revenue, servants' wages, and personal allowance to the Rája, which bring up the total liabilities on the 30th September, 1879, to Rs. 6,45,688. Of this sum 3 lakhs are due to the Allahabad Bank, and carry interest at 8 per cent.

As soon as the officer deputed to investigate the claims against the estate has completed the work, one branch of the actual financial condition of the estate will be known.

Some outlying portions far away from the bulk of the property will be sold, so as to render both the debt and the estate somewhat more manageable. The Junior Member has already sanctioned sales to a small extent (Rs. 50,000), but except in the case of outlying villages he will endeavour to preserve the estate intact as far as possible. At present the Court is involved in a good deal of litigation about this property, the exact results of which are uncertain. The Rája is utterly untruthful, and until the cases get into court, the Collector never can ascertain whether the money claimed is or is not due; and the Rája has moreover sold antedated hundis and resorted to all sorts of devices alike to defraud the Court's management and his creditors: and until all these cases and claims arising out of his misdeeds have been disposed of in the courts, it will be impossible to say how far it will be possible to preserve the major portion of the estate.

The management cannot hitherto be classed as successful; but the Junior Member believes this to have been mainly due to the circumstances of the case, and not to any shortcomings on Mr. Ward's part, who has taken an infinity of trouble about the matter.

## No. 87.—MAJHAULI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,31,221 ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 5,21,282.)

Officer in charge,
MR. R. D. SPEDDING,
*Collector.*

This estate, as in the preceding year, consists of 131 mahâls, of which 89 are in Gorakhpur, 2 in Azamgarh, and 40 in Saran. Their condition at the close of the year under report was :—

| | |
|---|---|
| Held by birtias ... ... ... ... | 19 |
| Leased to farmers ... ... ... ... | 100 |
| Held under direct management ... ... ... | 12 |
| Total ... | 131 |

Their total area is about 72,800 acres, or nearly 114 square miles.

The recoverable arrears of cash rents, value of rents in kind, and sayar, due to the estate at the close of 1877-78, amounted to Rs. 17,853-12-6, of which Rs. 815-1-3 were subsequently ascertained to be irrecoverable. There were thus Rs. 17,038-11-3 to be recovered ; Rs. 8,566-8-7 were realized, and Rs. 1,033-5-3 were adjusted by the mortgage of a bungalow belonging to Mr. Cooke, one of the debtors.

The current demand rose from Rs. 1,35,122-13-4 in 1877-78 to Rs. 1,38,947-9-10 in the year under report. The collections of the current demand were still more satisfactory, being Rs. 1,31,220-10-8, or 94 per cent. in the year under report, as compared with Rs. 1,23,516-12-0, the collections of the previous year. Rs. 34,814-11-7 were paid on account of the interest of the loan advanced by the State, and the principal of the debt was reduced by a payment of Rs. 33,359-15-3. There remained due on the 30th September, 1879—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| On account of principal ... ... ... | 5,21,452 | 15 | 8 |
| Ditto interest ... ... ... | 16,837 | 0 | 9 |
| Total ... | 5,38,290 | 0 | 5 |

It is satisfactory to see that the loan of Rs. 7,55,528-14-8 advanced by Government is being so very steadily repaid. The arrears of interest are nominal, as they are a mere matter of account. The interest is due on the 31st March and 30th September of each year, and payments made before these dates are credited wholly to principal, in accordance with the instructions published, at the direction of the Financial Department of the Government of India, in Book Circular No. $\frac{3}{X\cdot 7}$, dated the 14th August, 1879 (E. C., Part II., page 23-x.). This estate has always met its engagements for the repayment of the State loan.

The Collector notices, with approbation, the continued good management of this estate by Maulvi Ahmad Husain, the manager.

The management appears to have been satisfactory.

---

## No. 88.—CHAUMUKHA.

(*Normal income Rs.* 11,011 ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 42,022.)

Officer in charge,
MR. R. D. SPEDDING,
*Collector.*

This estate is an undivided one, the proprietors being four brothers—Sheo Dayal, Srinath, Bâbu Nandan, and Sahibzada, sons of Bhûp Narayan Chaube. The two last named are minors.

On the death of Bhûp Narayan, certificate of guardianship and administration was granted to the eldest brother, Sheo Dayal. He, however, mismanaged and considerably embarrassed the property, and in December, 1878, the Judge cancelled the

BENARES DIVISION.
GORAKHPUR DISTRICT.
(*continued.*)

certificate and directed the Collector to assume charge of the minors' estate. On their own application, the adult brothers were disqualified from managing their estates by G. O No. 1935, dated the 29th August, 1879. The estate consists of a half share in 21 villages and a four-annas share in six others. The estimated income is Rs. 13,000 and the Government demand for land revenue and cesses Rs. 6,151, leaving Rs. 6,849 for liquidation of debts, cost of management, and maintenance and other charges. The estate is encumbered with a debt of Rs. 40,217, for which shares possessed in 8 of the 27 villages are mortgaged.

Charge of this property was only assumed at the close of the year under report, and nothing need be said of its management. Next year full particulars will be given.

---

BASTI DISTRICT.

## No. 89.—MITHWAL

(*Normal income Rs.* 12,495 ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 57,194.)

Officer in charge,
MR. C. J. POWLETT,
*Collector.*

The following properties were sold during the year under report:—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Share in mauza Barikpur ... ... ... | .. 13,500 |
| Ditto Partabpur ... ... ... | ... 5,400 |
| Entirety of mauza Kehra Buzurg ... ... | ... 3,500 |
| Total | ... 22,400 |

The debts remaining due at the close of 1877-78 amounted to Rs. 89,498-2-6, of which Rs. 14,288-13-6 represented arrears of interest. With the sale proceeds of the three villages and the profits of the year, the Collector was able to reduce the sum of the debts on the 30th September, 1879, to Rs. 62,022-7-4, of which Rs. 17,059-9-11 represent accumulated arrears of interest.

The landed property now remaining to the estate yields an annual average income of Rs. 14,000. The expenditure a year will amount to Rs. 11,000, leaving Rs. 3,000 for liquidation of the debts. Setting aside the debts due on the lands usufructuarily mortgaged, there are about Rs. 36,000 remaining due, the annual interest on which amounts to Rs. 4,700. Since the close of 1878-79 the Board have sanctioned the sale of mauza Khammia for Rs. 6,000, but it is apparent that a further portion of the estate must be sold. There seems no immediate prospect of being able either to increase the income or diminish the expenditure to any material extent.

Eighty-three per cent. of the current demand for cash rents and sayar and 85 per cent. of the recoverable balance of 1877-78 were realized by the Collector. The recoverable arrears of cash rents now amount to Rs. 2,549-6-4, estimated to be realized in 1879-80.

The closing cash balance was Rs. 2,170-1-4.

The accounts and estimates of the previous year were extremely badly prepared; but allowing for this and allowing for various errors of account in the papers of the year under report, the realizations where the current demand was concerned were low, and this apparent want of success is not in any way explained by the Collector. Generally the administration of this property has not hitherto been very satisfactory.

## No. 90.—ADDIA PARSHAD'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income* nil ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the bad or good* nil.)

This estate was placed in charge of the Collector by the Judge's order passed in November, 1878. The matter was not reported to the Board till the 26th June, 1879, owing to the difficulty of ascertaining the extent of the minor's property.

Officer in charge,
MR. C. J. POWLETT,
*Collector*

The father of the minor left two widows—his first wife, who is childless, and the mother of the ward. These two women had the management of the property for about 16 years, during which period they mortgaged the entire estate, which consists of the entirety of one village and fractional shares in nine others, the estimated income of which is Rs. 1,675-10-2, and the land-revenue demand Rs. 476-15-7.

Of the 10 villages and shares 3 are alleged to have been conveyed by the ward's father to his first wife, and the others have been mortgaged for a sum of Rs. 6,785, the mortgagees being in possession in each case. Some of the mortgages were effected previous to the grant of a certificate of administration to the ward's mother. Others were effected after the certificate had been obtained without the sanction of the Civil Court.

At present the minor is in possession of only a little sir land and some movable property. He was 16 years 6 months and 1 day old on the 30th September, 1879. He lives with his mother, and so far has been supported by her and his father's first wife.

Suits are being instituted to recover such of the property as was mortgaged, without the sanction of the Civil Court, after the grant of the certificate of administration, as such alienations were invalid. But no expenditure on this account had been incurred to the 30th September, 1879, and as there were no receipts, the estate appears without figures in the statements appended to this report.

The Collector has borrowed Rs. 300 to carry on the suits, and the Junior Member has sanctioned this on the express condition that the lender is distinctly apprised that if the suits are by any chance lost, the repayment of this loan is doubtful.

Next year the real position of this property will be better known.

---

## No. 91.—KHORIA.

(*Nominal income Rs.* 614 ; *on the 30th September,* 1879, *to the good Rs.* 1,377.)

Officer in charge,
MR. C. J. POWLETT,
*Collector.*

This property, which consists of minute fractional shares in 12 villages, four of which are held in usufructuary mortgage, was placed under charge of the Collector of Basti by order of the Judge of Gorakhpur, who found that the uncle of the minor, who had been granted a certificate of administration, had mismanaged the property.

The minor was 11 years 5 months old on the 30th September, 1879. The persons entitled to maintenance are his mother, step-mother, and sister.

The demand of the year under report was Rs. 613-14-4, of which Rs. 572-10-10 were realized and Rs. 41-3-6 remained in balance.

There is nothing owing from the estate, while Rs. 3,277-14-7 are due to the estate on the shares of four villages held in usufructuary mortgage, and Rs. 573-10-0 are unsecured debts estimated to be recovered in 1879-80.

It was apprehended that the uncle of the minor, who has been removed from the management, would have recourse to law, as he laid claim to half the property. He has not done so as yet. As the management of the estate will entail considerable trouble, the Board have directed the Collector to make application to the Judge to relieve the Court of Wards of the management as soon as the impending litigation has been disposed of.

The collections were not particularly good, but the property is a troublesome one, and the Collector has probably done the best he could for it.

BENARES DIVISION.
AZAMGARH DISTRICT.

## No. 92.—DUBARI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 15,487; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 6,357.)

Officer in charge, MR. T. R. WYER, C.S., *Assistant Collector.*

The current demand was very nearly Rs. 1,000 in excess of that of 1877-78, being Rs. 17,645-7-1 as compared with Rs. 16,655-6-9. The collections of the current demand equalled 87 per cent. in 1878-79 as compared with 95 per cent. in 1877-78. Of the recoverable arrears of previous year, Rs. 717-6-10 were realized, and Rs. 718-12-2 are still outstanding.

All charges were met in full, and Rs. 10,000 were remitted to Mrs. Venables at a charge of Rs. 100-8-0 for cost of remittance. The year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 2,604-5-10.

Mrs. Venables wishes to raise a sum of £2,000 either by a mortgage of the estate supported on insurance policy, or by the sale of a portion of the estate. On the 10th March, 1880, the Court remitted to her £500 sterling borrowed from the Allahabad Bank on the mortgage of one of the villages, and the Collector has been asked to invite offers for the Naini Jor property, the jama of which is Rs. 396.

The Court has not failed to point out to Mrs. Venables the consequences which will ensue from her forestalling her income in the manner she is doing. Mrs. Venables is perpetually asking for more money. A very great deal of trouble has been taken both to explain to her the real position of the property, and to meet as far as possible her views; but she is by no means satisfied, and the Junior Member fears that it will be necessary to ask Government to relieve the Court of the charge of her estate.

In the meantime although the collections were not large, the estate has been most carefully managed. Owing to floods and other circumstances more could not possibly have been recovered. The property lies along the river Gogra, and an important portion of it is threatened by that river, which has cut away a portion of the general embankment in that locality. The whole question is before Government in the Public Works Department. Any effective measure is quite beyond the means of any one proprietor; but a small local subsidiary bund has been sanctioned by the Junior Member at a cost of Rs. 500, in the hopes of partially averting loss to this property during the coming rains.

---

MIRZAPUR DISTRICT.

## No. 93.—AGORI BARHAR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 93,578; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 2,84,714.)

Officer in charge, MR. G. S. DALE, *Collector.*

At the beginning of the year there were 428 villages in the possession of the estate. To this number must be added one more usufructuarily mortgaged to the estate at the close of the year, bringing up the number to 429 at the close of the year.

The demand was Rs. 91,246, the realizations Rs. 87,524; Rs. 3,722, the balance, represents 4·07 per cent. of the year's demand.

Two villages that had been farmed were brought under direct management during the year. In one case the lessee fell into arrears and was ejected. Of the two lessees of the second village, one died and the other absconded. Three villages held under direct management were farmed, and the farming leases of six villages were renewed.

The policy of farming villages to resident cultivators only, has been, so far as was practicable, carried out during the year, and no village was put up to the highest bidder.

The season of 1285 fasli was most unfavorable, and there was considerable distress caused by the failure of both harvests. The kharif crop suffered for want of rain and the outturn was below the average. On the other hand, the rabi of that year suffered from an excessive rain-fall and cloudy weather, in consequence of which blight and insects attacked the wheat and gram.

The kharif crop of the year under report was decidedly good, though the paddy was affected by the deficient rainfall. The rabi harvest was not good and much of the upland scarcely returned the seed sown. The cold weather rains failed altogether, and to this poor spring harvest, following the bad seasons of 1877-78, must be attributed the slight increase in the outstanding balance.

But as in the previous year, so also in the year of report, the Collector rightly showed some leniency in collecting from some of the poorer tenants of villages where the rabi crops were far below the average.

Nevertheless, the results are most satisfactory since the collections exceeded 43 per cent. of the recoverable arrears of previous years, and 95 per cent. of the current demand.

The following table is given to show, (1) the steady growth of rental; and (2) the increasing ease with which collections are made on an estate which has for some time been under the management of the Court of Wards :—

| Year. | Rental demand. | Rental collections. | Balance. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 1278 fasli ... ... ... ... | 85,229 11 0 | 75,831 2 2 | 9,378 8 10 |
| 1279 „ ... ... ... ... | 87,976 15 9 | 78,040 4 8 | 9,936 11 1 |
| 1280 „ ... ... ... ... | 85,799 10 2 | 74,271 7 5 | 11,528 2 9 |
| 1281 „ ... ... ... ... | 83,878 8 5 | 74,984 14 1 | 8,893 10 4 |
| 1282 „ ... ... ... ... | 84,198 1 3 | 77,423 14 3 | 6,734 3 0 |
| 1283 „ ... ... ... ... | 88,183 2 0 | 79,074 8 9 | 9,108 9 3 |
| 1284 „ ... ... ... ... | 88,771 9 2 | 86,390 2 6 | 2,381 7 8 |
| 1285 „ ... ... ... ... | 90,720 5 3 | 88,775 0 3 | 1,945 5 0 |
| 1286 „ ... ... ... ... | 91,246 6 3 | 87,524 5 9 | 3,722 0 6 |

Including the arrears of previous years, the gross balance was Rs. 7,691-11-0 at the close of 1878-79, all of which is recoverable, and part of which had been realized immediately after the close of the year.

The long proposed suit against Babu Girdhar Prasad Singh, for the recovery of the old outstanding debt found due from him to the estate, amounting to Rs. 31,741-1-6 principal and interest, was at last instituted on the 28th September, 1879, and is pending in the Court of the Subordinate Judge.

The net demand on account of principal of the debts due to the estate at the commencement of the year under report was Rs. 53,929-1-5, of which Rs. 1,492-8-3 were recovered. The detail of the balance, Rs. 52,436-9-2, is as follows :—

| | | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | Sums due for which lands are held in usufructuary mortgage ... | 1,188 | 12 | 6 |
| (2) | To be paid by instalments ... ... ... ... | 2,357 | 1 | 9 |
| (3) | Amount for the recovery of which the institution of suits has been sanctioned ... ... ... ... ... | 1,124 | 0 | 0 |
| (4) | Sums the payment of which by instalments is under consideration ... | 179 | 0 | 2 |
| (5) | Due by Babu Girdhar Prasad Singh... ... ... ... | 27,978 | 0 | 6 |
| (6) | Due by the Kautit estate on account of Babu Girdhar Prasad Singh ... | 3,217 | 4 | 6 |
| (7) | Due by Kamodh Singh and others ... ... ... ... | 16,116 | 4 | 9 |
| (8) | Realized after the close of the year ... ... ... ... | 274 | 8 | 0 |
| | Total ... | 52,436 | 9 | 2 |

The debt due from Babu Kamodh Singh and others has now been finally adjusted on the lines proposed by Mr. C. Robertson, when Collector of Mirzapur. The Babus have placed the estate in possession of their property and mutation of names was effected in the year under report. Collections are made by the estate and, after payment of the Government revenue and other charges, the balance is credited to the payment of the loan. The Babus live on the produce of their sir.

The gross receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 1,09,440-4-1, and the opening cash balance being Rs. 55,792-8-9, the available income was Rs. 1,65,232-12-10.

The Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate, and all other charges, were met in full. The total expenditure was Rs. 86,606-3-4, and the closing cash balance Rs. 78,626-9-6.

Of the sum of Rs. 12,126, provided in the year's budget for works of improvement, Rs. 4,269-14-2 only were expended: Rs. 1,946-14-9 were spent on wells and embankments, and the remainder on additions, alterations, or repairs to works that had been completed.

The management of this estate continues to be most satisfactory, but it is to be regretted that so large a proportion of the Khalisa villages (many are mukaddami, mukarrari, &c.,) *viz.*, 312 out of 326 are farmed and so few held direct.

## No. 94.—KANTIT.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,90,366; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 5,27,421).

Officer in charge, MR. G. S. DALE, *Collector.*

As in 1877-78, so also in 1878-79, this estate was comprised of the entirety of, or shares in, 303 villages.

The leases of nine villages fell in during the year under report, of which seven were again leased to respectable farmers, and two are held under direct management. Of the 119 held under direct management in 1877-78, three were leased.

| | |
|---|---|
| | 26 villages are now held by sub-proprietors, |
| | 159 are leased, and |
| | 118 are managed direct; |
| Total | 303 |

The outturn of the kharíf was below the average; the rice crop was a failure, and the bajra was attacked by caterpillars. The yield of the rabi crops also is reported to have been under the average. The cause is stated to be deficient rain for the kharíf, and the absence of the cold weather rains for the rabi crops.

In 1877-78, the collections equalled 36 per cent. of the outstanding balances of cash rents, sayar, &c., and 94·5 per cent. of the current demand. For the year under report the results were 51 and 94 per cent. respectively.

Including the opening cash balance of Rs. 72,690-15-2, the available income was Rs. 2,99,944-14-10, the expenditure of the year was Rs. 1,98,640-11-2, and there was a cash balance of Rs. 1,01,304-3-8 at the credit of the estate on the 30th September 1879, besides the sum of Rs. 3,67,000 held in Government securities.

Rupees 26,794, were allotted in the budget of the year for works of improvement, but Rs. 15,720-9-10 only were expended on the following works:—

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Tanks dug ... ... ... ... | 1,822 | 13 | 6 |
| „ enlarged and deepened ... ... ... ... | 612 | 4 | 0 |
| Wells sunk ... ... ... ... | 1,290 | 15 | 0 |
| „ deepened ... ... ... ... | 238 | 13 | 0 |
| Platforms to wells ... ... ... ... | 245 | 4 | 10 |
| Embankments raised ... ... ... ... | 2,966 | 8 | 1 |
| Sluices ... ... ... ... | 124 | 13 | 6 |
| Repairs to wells, tanks, and embankments ... ... ... | 1,086 | 10 | 8 |
| Other works ... ... ... ... | 3,245 | 0 | 7 |
| Arboriculture ... ... ... ... | 205 | 6 | 7 |
| Salaries of surveyors, overseer, beldars, &c., ... ... | 3,980 | 0 | 1 |
| Total ... | 15,720 | 9 | 10 |

During the year under report the young Rája was married to a daughter of the Rája of Mahsoon. A second marriage with the daughter of Babu Lachman Singh of Dharupur in Oudh, will be concluded in 1879-80. The Court of Wards, for several reasons, regret this, but they have been unable to prevent it, as they knew nothing about it until the contract had been concluded. The late Junior Member, Mr. Carmichael, after full consideration of the case, considered that the Court could not interfere, especially as the marriage had been arranged with the full consent of the young Rája. Neither the Collector nor his subordinates were aware of the negotiations, until they had been practically completed.

On the 30th September, 1879, the ward was 16 years 8 months and 19 days old.

The Collector says that the results of the year's management are very creditable to Mr. Nicholson, the manager of the estate; but the Collector himself is entitled to take credit also for the general goodness of the entire administration of this large property.

## No. 95.—NAND KUMAR PANDE'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 121; *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 190.)

Officer in charge, MR. G. S. D. DALE, *Collector.*

The demand of the year was Rs. 120-12-0. The twelve annas represents the quota of the "further rate" under Act III., 1878, recoverable by the estate from the cultivators. Rs. 120 were realized during the year, and the balance of twelve annas was subsequently recovered.

The expenditure of the year was enhanced by an excess payment of Rs. 2 on account of the land revenue, and Rs. 20 on the ceremony of investiture of the sacred thread. The ward was 11 years of age on the 26th July, 1879.

## No. 96.—GOPÁLPUR, No. I.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,303; *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 6,266.)

Officer in charge, MR. G. S. D. DALE, *Collector.*

The estate was leased for a period of five years ending with the revenue year, 1882-83. The lessee paid the amount of the lease in full for the year 1878-79.

At the close of 1877-78, there were due to the estate, on account of arrears of cash rents, and sayar, Rs. 526-6-9, of which Rs. 285-6-4 were collected, and Rs. 241-0-5 are still in balance, classed as

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Recoverable ... ... ... ... ... | 110 | 14 | 1 |
| Irrecoverable ... ... ... ... ... | 130 | 2 | 4 |
| Total ... | 241 | 0 | 5 |

An officer was specially deputed by the Collector to examine into and endeavour to realize these balances. Owing to the failure of two successive rabi harvests, it was found impossible for the tenants, owing the portion of the arrears above classed as "irrecoverable," to pay. Some of them had absconded, others are utter paupers, and the Junior Member has sanctioned the necessary remissions.

The year 1877-78 closed with the estate owing Rs. 5,800 to the Kantit estate, and Rs. 1,211-13-5 to the Gopálpur, No. II., estate. The Collector was able to pay Rs. 511-13-5 to the Gopálpur, No. II., estate, reducing the principal due to Rs. 700. Nothing was paid towards reduction of the principal of the debt to the Kantit estate, but the Collector was able to pay the interest, Rs. 362-11-4, falling due on both debts, to the 30th September, 1879.

In the budget for 1879-80 a sum of Rs. 300 is provided for payment on account of principal of the debt to the Gopálpur, No. II., estate, and Rs. 332 for the interest, falling due on both debts. The reason so small a sum is provided for payment of principal is that a sum of Rs. 120 is allotted for repairs of the bunds on the estate.

The cash balance, on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 122-10-7.

Owing to the lease of the estate the cost of management has been reduced from Rs. 177-13-0, actual expenditure of 1877-78, to Rs. 58-14-5, actual expenditure of 1878-79, and Rs. 36, estimated expenditure, in 1879-80.

The management was on the whole satisfactory.

---

## No. 79.—GOPALPUR, No. II.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,816; *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 351.)

Officer in charge, MR. G. S. D. DALE, *Collector.*

The notice of the Gopálpur, No. I., estate *supra* applies to this estate also. An officer was specially deputed by the Collector to examine into and endeavour to realize the arrears of previous years. Rs. 214-14-8 only could be collected, and Rs. 146-14-10 (of which Rs. 93-7-2 are irrecoverable owing to the absconding or poverty of tenants, and Rs. 53-7-8 recoverable) remained due.

The current demand was paid by the lessee in full, and the Collector was able to further reduce the debt to the Kantit estate by a payment of Rs. 800 on account of principal, and Rs. 65 interest to the 30th September, 1879, on which date there remained Rs. 500 due on account of principal.

On the other hand, the principal of the debt due from the Gopálpur, No. I., estate, was reduced by a payment to this estate of Rs. 511-13-5, the interest to the 30th September, 1879, having been paid in full, on which date the balance of the principal due was Rs. 700.

The eldest ward lives at Gopálpur, the younger two are being educated at the Mirzapur zila school, and the head-master reports that they continue to make fair progress. Their respective ages, on the 30th September, 1879, were

| | Years. | Months. | Days. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sat Narain Singh ... ... ... ... | 17 | 0 | 10 |
| Ram Narain Singh ... ... ... ... | 14 | 3 | 0 |
| Bhup Narain Singh ... ... ... ... | 13 | 4 | 5 |

In the budget for 1879-80, Rs. 80 are provided for repairs to embankments, and Rs. 300, the sum to be received from Gopalpur, No. I., for payment to the Kantit estate in further reduction of the principal of the debt.

Owing to the lease of the estate, the cost of management has been reduced from Rs. 130-3-0, actual expenditure of 1877-78, and Rs. 50-1-7, actual expenditure of 1878-79, to Rs. 34, estimated cost, in 1879-80.

The management calls for no remarks.

---

## No. 98.—TIKORI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 6,558; *on 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 5,601.)

Officer in charge, MR G. S D DALE, *Collector.*

The current demand on account of cash-rents, &c., amounted to Rs. 7,467-3-6 compared with Rs. 7,381-10-6 in 1877-78. In 1877-78 the collections equalled 93 per cent. of the current demand. The collections of the year under report were Rs. 6,558-15-4, less than 88 per cent. of the demand.

The recoverable arrears of cash-rents, &c., at the close of 1877-78, amounted to Rs. 602-15-10. Decrees were obtained for Rs. 187-12-6 transferred to head "debts," and Rs. 400-9-4 were realized, leaving Rs. 14-10-0 in balance. The recoverable arrears of the current demand are Rs. 900-0-8, and the total recoverable arrears on the 30th September, 1879, are thus Rs. 914-10-8.

The following explanation by the Collector accounts for the large arrears.

"This large balance is not due to any carelessness on the part of the sarbarakar, but to the fact that of all the estates under the Court of Wards' superintendence or direct management, those situated in pargana Kariat Sikhar have suffered most severely from the almost total failure of the rabi harvest. Irrigation is unknown in the pargana where wells will not stand, and to this portion of the district not a drop of rain was vouchsafed last Christmas; and the consequence was, when I visited the estate, I saw for myself the stunted and dwarfed fields of barley and wheat; and this failure of the spring crop following immediately the disastrous year 1877, I directed the sarbarakar to be lenient in cases where the cultivator was a tenant-at-will, and had hitherto paid punctually. These men had last year (1877) made good their promise to pay up their arrears, if their kharif harvest was a good one, and although the rains of 1877-78 were not as plentiful as could have been desired, still they were sufficient to enable the cultivator to reap a fair autumn crop, and nearly all the demand of outstanding balances for the previous year have, in consequence, been realized."

By a payment of Rs. 500 on account of principal and Rs. 5 interest, the claim of Mussammat Bhagirathi, sister-in-law of the ward, referred to in the notice of this estate at page 44 of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, was settled in full, and there is now nothing due from the estate.

The sum of Rs. 500 was provided in the budget for a well, but the Collector reports that the soil is such that he is unable to sink one.

The ward entered the Wards' Institute at Benares on the 20th July, 1877. He was 17 years old on the 4th May, 1879. The Collector reports that he is very desirous of taking part in the management of his estate; that he is decidedly intelligent and will look well after his own interests whenever he is permitted to do so; and that a couple more years at the institution will do him a deal of good.

This property is managed direct, through a manager, who is a relative of the ward. Although the current arrears were large, the management must be considered quite satisfactory.

---

## No. 99.—RAMGARH.

(*Normal income Rs.* 6,747 ; *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 6,344.)

Officer in charge, MR. G. S. D. DALE, *Collector.*

The four entire villages belonging to this estate in the Mirzapur district, continued to be managed by the Tahsildar of Chunar. The current demand was Rs. 7,185-6-4, as compared with Rs. 7,221-12-9 in 1877-78. In this demand is included the rent of the house in the Benares district, which fell from Rs. 728-13-3, in 1877-78, to Rs. 535-8-6, in 1878-79, two of the houses having been sold as stated in the notice of this estate, printed at page 44 of the Court's report for 1877-78. Rs. 6,746-8-8, equal to 94 per cent. of the demand, were realized, and Rs. 438-13-8, the whole of which is recoverable, remained in balance at the close of the year.

The demand on account of arrears of previous years was Rs. 332-1-10. Suits were instituted for the recovery of Rs. 194-5-2, and Rs. 158 9-8 were realized within the year, Rs. 133-13-11 of the arrears not sued for were also realized.

BENARES DIVISION.
MIRZAPUR DISTRICT—
*(concluded.)*

The debts at the commencement of the year due by the estate stood at Rs. 9,122. The Collector of Benares recovered Rs. 1,400-13-9, a debt due from Ganesh Prasad Dubey to the estate, alluded to in the notice in the report of 1877-78, besides the costs in the case. With this sum and the net profits of the villages in Mirzapur, the Collector of Benares was able to pay Rs. 2,225 on account of the principal of the debt to the native banker, and Rs. 441-12-0 on account of the interest that fell due. In the budget estimate of 1879-80 Rs. 1,182 are provided for the further reduction of the principal of the debts, and Rs. 237 on account of interest falling due. The debts due on the 30th September, 1879, amounted to Rs 6,897.

The elder ward, Lachhmi Narayan, attained his majority during the past year, and the younger ward, Gauri Shankar, is 19 years and two months old. The Collector of Benares has been directed to release Lachhmi Narayan's share of the estate.

---

BENARES DISTRICT.

## No. 100.—PIUR DANIELPUR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 2,000; *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 516.)

Officer in charge,
MR. R WALL,
*Collector.*

This estate was brought under the superintendence of the Court of Wards with effect from May, 1871. It is comprised of four villages and some groves and buildings in pargana Sheopur of the Benares district, and is owned by Dharm Chand and Shyam Chand.

Dharm Chand attained his majority on the 3rd August, 1878, when the younger ward was aged 19 years. The estate being undivided and the younger ward being both willing and able to look after his own affairs, the Board, on the application of the local officers, sanctioned the release of the estate in their order No. 34, dated 17th January, 1879.

The accounts embodied in the appendices are the closing accounts of the estate. Since the estate came under superintendence, debts amounting to Rs. 1,179, with which the estate was encumbered, have been paid, the expenses incurred in the marriage of the elder ward have been met, and a cash balance of Rs. 74-14-4 was handed over to the wards.

In notices of this estate in previous reports of the Court it was explained that the land is of very inferior quality, with, in some parts, beds of kankar beneath the surface and intersected with ravines. The drought of 1877 also very seriously affected the estate.

---

GHAZIPUR DISTRICT.

## No. 101.—SUNWANI.

(*Normal income Rs.* 35,975 ; *on the 30th September*, 1879, *to the* bad *Rs.* 5,46,623.)

Officer in charge,
MR. W. IRVINE,
*Officiating Collector.*

It is stated in the notice of this estate at page 45 of appendix A. of the Court's report for the year 1877-78, that in G. O. No. 454A., dated the 14th February, 1878, on the representations made by the Board in their letter No. 82, dated the 29th January, 1878, sanction to the sale of this estate had been accorded.

At pages 15, 16, and 17 of the Legal Remembrancer's report of the year ending the 31st March, 1879, full particulars are given of the law proceedings against the estate. The appeals to the High Court were all lost, but Rai Narain Dass has obtained a certificate to appeal to the Privy Council for one of his unguaranteed claims. The Commissioner writes :—" It remains to be seen whether the High Court will grant this. The application must come on very shortly for hearing. Even if granted, I doubt the appeal being prosecuted, as the poor man has quite lately been struck down with palsy and cannot, I fear, survive long. Babu Guru Dass Mitra will not prosecute the execution of his decree, which he has taken out, if the Court of Wards will join with him in an application for its postponement. I am inclined to recommend this course, which he asks for to protect himself against the

New Civil Procedure Code. But I will address your Board on the subject shortly. Should he however decline to adopt this course, application must be made to the Civil Judge here to allow execution of his special decree to be held in abeyance, until Babus Hanuman Parshad and Raghunath Parshad, the other guaranteed creditors, file their suits (which they have not yet done, trusting to us for payment), when, on our confession of judgment, they will get their decrees, and the whole property will be sold for what it may fetch in satisfaction of the decrees of the guaranteed creditors."

As the entire profits of the estate are not sufficient to pay the annual interest of the guaranteed debts, there is no option, and the estate must be sold.

Of the recoverable arrears of previous years the Collector realized Rs. 1,120-6-4, leaving a small uncollected balance of Rs. 30-12-3.

The current demand was Rs. 36,499-10-5, that for 1877-78 being Rs. 35,974-11-7. The collections amounted to Rs. 34,863-12-11, the collections in 1877-78 being Rs. 34,753-6-6. The entire recoverable arrears on the 30th September, 1879, were Rs. 1,426-9-0.

The law charges amounted to Rs. 8,483-3-4, the estimated expenditure being Rs. 5,000.

With the exception of a cash balance of Rs. 1,309-8-1 on the 30th September, 1879, the entire profits, Rs. 23,676-7-6, were paid to Babus Hanuman Parshad and Raghunath Parshad on account of the interest on their guaranteed claims.

The case is a most unsatisfactory one, but it has formed the subject of an elaborate correspondence, and Government are well aware of the facts.

As regards the management, which was direct, it has been, so far as can be judged, careful and intelligent.

---

## No. 102.—SAT NARAYAN PANDÉ'S ESTATE.

(*Normal income Rs.* 4,774 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 3,438.)

Officer in charge.
MR. W. IRVINE,
*Officiating Collector.*

It was stated in the notice of this estate, at page 45 appendix A. of the Court's report for 1877-78, that, the lease of the estate having fallen in, the Collector proposed managing direct in order to ascertain correctly the resources of the property. The experiment has proved most successful, the net income of the estate being considerably more than the lessee paid.

The gross demand of the year was Rs. 4,527-12-1 of which Rs. 4,473-10-7, or nearly 99 per cent., were realized leaving Rs. 59-1-7 in balance, of which Rs. 38-6-8 are recoverable, 10 annas nominal, and Rs. 20-0-11 irrecoverable.

The Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate was paid in full.

Rs. 350 were provided in the budget estimate of the year for planting out fruit-bearing trees and sinking a well; but owing to the delay in the Commissioner's office in submitting the accounts and budget estimate of the estate, the season for planting had passed before the Board's orders sanctioning the budget estimate was received by the Collector. Rs. 50 of the sum allotted were utilized in enclosing the area proposed to be planted, and the remainder of the allotment will be utilized in 1879-80.

The year closed with a cash balance of Rs. 899-15-0. The interest guaranteed on the shares of the nominal value of Rs. 2,500 held in the Dildarnagar Railway was received in full.

The ward was 17 years 9 months and 5 days old on the 30th September, 1879. He lives with his mother at Gházipur and is being educated at the zila school.

The management has been excellent, and the Collector's action in taking the property under direct management may be heartily approved.

BENARES DIVISION.
GHÁZIPUR DISTRICT.
—(*concluded*).

## No. 103.—KARIM-UD-DIN-PUR.

(*Normal income Rs.* 1,291 : *on the* 30*th September*, 1879, *to the good Rs.* 777.)

Officer in charge.

MR. W. IRVINE,
*Officiating Collector.*

The current demand was Rs. 1,290-15-1, compared with Rs. 1,235-4-7, the demand of 1877-78. The collections, Rs. 1,216-13-3, equalled 94 per cent. of the demand.

Of the recoverable arrears of previous years Rs. 92-15-6 were realized, and on the 30th September, 1879, the sum of the arrears that were considered recoverable was Rs. 102-8-2.

The Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate was paid in full. Another Rs. 200 was deposited in the Savings Bank and Rs. 7-9-0 obtained as interest, making the total sum invested Rs. 409-7-0.

The cash balance on the 30th September, 1879, was Rs. 265-6-6, and the budget estimate for 1879-80 provides for a further investment of Rs. 200 in the Savings Bank

The owner is a criminal lunatic, confined on account of the murder of his wife and child.

---

## APPENDIX B.

### WARDS INSTITUTION, BENARES.

At the close of the year 1877-78 the number of wards in the Institution was 12. During 1878-79 there were six admissions and three withdrawals, so that at its close there were 15 wards on the rolls.

The following statement exhibits the names, estates, and ages of the wards who were in the Institution during the past year :—

| Number. | Name of estate. | Age. Y. | Age. M. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Rája Bhupendra Bahadur Sinha of Kantit, Mirzapur, North-Western Provinces. | 16 | 8 | |
| 2 | Tikat Toral Narayan Sinha of Girdigawan, Hazaribagh, Bengal. | 19 | 10 | Withdrawn 13th November, 1878. |
| 3 | Bábu Khrishna Baksh Rai of Lohardugga, Lower Provinces. | 17 | 2 | |
| 4 | „ Govinda Prásad Sukul of Surkutea, Rajshahye, Lower Provinces. | 20 | 5 | |
| 5 | „ Ganga Narayan Sinha of Katras, Lower Provinces. | 17 | 0 | |
| 6 | „ Trigunandan Upadhya of Saran, Lower Provinces, | 17 | 11 | Ditto 29th December, 1878. |
| 7 | „ Aman Sinha Gotia of Gurha, Jabalpur, Central Provinces. | 18 | 6 | |
| 8 | „ Jagan Nath Prasad Pataria, of Sagur, Central Provinces. | 21 | 5 | Ditto 14th January, 1879. |
| 9 | „ Beni Madho Das of Mirzapur, North-Western Provinces. | 15 | 9 | |
| 10 | Mahárája Pratab Uday Nath Shah Deo of Chota Nagpur, Lower Provinces. | 13 | 6 | |
| 11 | Kunwar Jagat Mohan Nath Shah Deo of Chota Nagpur, Lower Provinces. | 13 | 5 | |
| 12 | Bábu Shiva Bhairo Prasad Misra of Chunar, North-Western Provinces. | 16 | 6 | |
| 13 | Sayyid Asgar Riza of Surjapur, Purneah, Lower Provinces. | 16 | 11 | Admitted 18th December, 1878. |
| 14 | „ Dilawar Riza of ditto ditto | 10 | 0 | Ditto ditto ditto. |
| 15 | Bábu Trailokia Nath Boral of Dinajpur, Lower Provinces. | 14 | 0 | Ditto 30th May, 1879. |
| 16 | „ Despati Sinha of Sahabad, Lower Provinces, | 13 | 2 | Ditto 16th July, 1879. |
| 17 | „ Raghunandan Prasad Sinha of ditto ... | 11 | 4 | Ditto 20th ditto. |
| 18 | „ Purunder Sinha, Central Provinces ... | 14 | 0 | Ditto 18th August, 1879. |

During the year under report the general charges amounted to Rs. 9,788-4-10, as compared with Rs. 11,543-8-10 of the previous year, and the individual charges were Rs. 9,675-1-3 against Rs. 12,602-0-1 in 1877-78.

The cost to each ward is still high, though the general charges have been reduced somewhat through a reduction of the establishment, sanctioned by G. O. No. 811, dated 14th April, 1879.

With the subjoined Book Circular No. $\frac{1}{X\text{-}28}$, dated 6th August, 1879 (E C., page 16-X., part II.), revised rules for the working of the Institution were published and sent by the local Government to the Governments of the Panjáb, Bengal, and Central Provinces, for circulation to each district officer. Since then the Board have received several applications for admission, and it is expected that now that the purpose of the Institution is generally known, the number of inmates will largely increase and the proportionate share of the general cost to each ward will be much less than it is at present.

*Book Circular No.* $\frac{1}{X\text{-}28}$, *dated Allahabad, the 6th August*, 1879.

THE Board of Revenue, with the sanction of Government, circulate for general information the following rules for admission to, and management of, the Wards Institution at Benares established by Government:—

## RULES.

### OBJECT AND CONSTITUTION.

1. The Wards Institution at Benares is established for the boarding and education of minors under the guardianship of the Court of Wards, North-Western Provinces, and of other boys, the applications for whose admission have been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, North-Western Provinces.

II.—The management of the Institutionis vested in a Superintendent, to be appointed by the Board of Revenue, subject to the control of a Visitor and Joint-Visitor subordinate to the Commissioner of the Benares Division, and the general supervision of the Board of Revenue in its capacity of Court of Wards. In educational matters reference will be made to the chief controlling authority under Government for Public Instruction in the North-Western Provinces.

III.—The Visitor and Joint-Visitor shall be appointed by Government. The former shall ordinarily be *ex-officio* the Principal of the Queen's College at Benares.

IV.—The following shall be the establishment attached to the Institution for a minimum of ten boys, subject to increase as the numbers rise :—

| Establishment. | Cost per annum. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | |
| House-rent ... ... ... ... ... | 2,400 | |
| One superintendent ... ... ... ... | *3,000 | * And Rs. 10 per mensem extra for every boy over 10 up to a maximum of Rs. 400 per mensem. |
| One assistant superintendent ... ... ... | 960 | |
| One maulvi ... ... ... ... ... | 300 | |
| Two pandits ... ... ... ... ... | 360 | |
| One jamadar ... ... ... ... ... | 120 | |
| Three bearers and godown-keepers ... ... ... | 180 | |
| Native doctor ... ... ... ... | 240 | |
| Guards and watchmen ... ... ... ... | 384 | |
| Garden ... ... ... ... ... | 360 | |
| Total ... | 8,304 per annum. | |

V.—To defray the general cost of the Institution the following scale of charges is laid down :—

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Income above | Rs. | 1,00,000 | | | per annum. | 10 | shares. |
| ,, | from | ,, | 1,00,000 | to Rs. | 75,000 ... | 9 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 75,000 | to ,, | 60,000 ... | 8 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 60,000 | to ,, | 50,000 ... | 7 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 50,000 | to ,, | 40,000 ... | 6 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 40,000 | to ,, | 30,000 ... | 5 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 30,000 | to ,, | 20,000 ... | 4 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 20,000 | to ,, | 10,000 ... | 3 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 10,000 | to ,, | 5,000 ... | 2 | ,, |
| ,, | ,, | ,, | 5,000 | to ,, | 2,500 ... | 1 | ,, |

Wards whose incomes are below Rs. 2,500 per annum will not be admitted. Besides these charges wards are required to defray their own personal expenses.

ADMISSION.

VI.—Wards and others can be admitted from any part of India with the approval of the Board of Revenue, North-Western Provinces, and subject to the general rules and regulations of the Institution. Applications for admission will be addressed to the Visitor, Wards Institution, Benares, or the Secretary, Board of Revenue. The officer in charge of the district in which the minor resides will be the medium of communication between the minor's guardian and the Visitor, on this and all other points of reference.

VII.—The ordinary age for admission shall be from 9 to 16 years.

VIII.—Every application for admission shall be accompanied by a nominal-roll in the form appended to these rules.

IX.—Each boy, when admitted, shall be sent in charge of an accredited agent for conveyance to Benares, who will immediately on arrival present him to the Superintendent of the Institution, who will report his arrival to the Visitor.

PRIVILEGES AND DISCIPLINE.

X.—Each boy shall have the right to bring with him, at the time of admission, an establishment consisting of two personal attendants and a cook.

Those boys for whom, owing to caste considerations, cooks cannot easily be procured in Benares, will be permitted to bring two cooks.

XI.—Servants of whatever description, whether attached to the Institution or on the private establishment of a boy, shall be under the control of the Superintendent, and liable to fine or dismissal at his discretion.

XII.—One horse, with the necessary servants, may be kept by each boy.

XIII.—Each boy shall be accommodated with a separate bed-room and kitchen, the drawing and reading rooms being common to all.

XIV.—No private tutor shall be allowed to attend a minor at the Institution except with the sanction of the Commissioner and Visitor, on the recommendation of the Superintendent.

XV.—From the moment of his admission, each boy shall be considered under the guardianship of the Superintendent, who will exercise entire control over him.

XVI.—Leave of absence from the Institution will be granted to a minor, when necessary, for a limited period, on application being made to the Visitor showing the necessity for such leave. Applications for leave will be sent by the district officer (*vide* Rule VI.), who will be requested to see that the boy returns punctually on the expiration of the leave.

XVII.—Where punishment is necessary, exercises and restraints of one kind or another shall be the ordinary instruments. To these may be added the forfeiture of indulgences.

XVIII.—Corporal punishment shall be resorted to only in aggravated cases, and with the special sanction of the Visitor.

XIX.—Each boy shall be vaccinated as soon as practicable after his admission, unless he has already undergone that operation.

XX.—No boy shall be allowed to go beyond the grounds of the Institution, or beyond such limits as the Superintendent, with the concurrence of the Visitor, may determine, without permission or unattended.

XXI.—Boys wishing to meet any friend or relation in the station must apply to the Superintendent for leave. A servant attached to the Institution will in these cases accompany.

ROUTINE.

XXII.—The boys shall rise from their beds at sun-rise, and be ready dressed for their morning exercise within thirty minutes after that time.

XXIII.—The morning exercise shall be taken by walking or by riding, or gymnastics or otherwise, as the Superintendent may arrange: provided always that the boys shall not be unattended or beyond supervision.

XXIV.—After the morning exercise, the boys shall assemble, at 7 A.M. in summer, and 7-30 A.M. in winter, to prepare their lessons, and continue to study in separate classes under the Superintendent, and the Persian and Hindi tutors, till 8-30 A.M., after which time they shall invariably, except in cases of sickness, bathe and perform their devotional services (each after his own fashion) and breakfast.

XXV.—At 10 A.M. the boys shall attend the College. Absence will be admitted only in cases of sickness properly certified by the Superintendent.

XXVI.—When the boys return from College, they shall be encouraged to spend their time in playing, riding, and gymnastic exercise.

XXVII.—One hour in the evening shall be devoted to what may be called, in the language of Mr. Stowe's system, "Gallery Training."

XXVIII.—The hour for the evening meal shall be fixed with due regard to the varying seasons of the year.

XXIX.—The hour for retirement to rest shall be similarly determined; and at that hour the Superintendent shall see that the house is properly secured and that each boy is in his bed.

XXX.—During the hottest part of the year, when the College opens early, an alteration shall be made in these arrangements. The morning exercise and the preparation of lessons shall be dispensed with. For the latter purpose two hours in the afternoon shall be set apart by the Superintendent.

XXXI.—A carriage shall be provided for the conveyance of the boys to and from the College, and, if funds will suffice, for the purpose of taking an airing also.

GENERAL CONDUCT.

XXXII.—There shall be no distinction of rank among the boys. All will be treated as gentlemen; but it must be impressed practically on their minds that regularity, obedience, morality, and diligence in the pursuit of knowledge, are the only attributes for which they will be respected.

XXXIII.—To this end, truth, honesty, and straightforwardness shall be first of all encouraged and diligence rewarded; while duplicity, cunning, mere surface polish of manners, and effeminacy shall be discountenanced in every possible way.

THE SUPERINTENDENT.

XXXIV.—To enforce and utilise the foregoing regulations will be the chief duty of the Superintendent.

XXXV.—He shall live in the Institution and take parental care of the boys. He can have the credit of success only when the boys look to him as a second father.

XXXVI.—He is on no account to leave the boys alone in the Institution. In cases of necessity he must delegate temporary authority to a properly qualified person. In any case of prolonged absence, the person so nominated is to be approved by the Visitor.

XXXVII.—He is to keep every boy's room furnished with a bed, two chairs, a table, clothes-horse, and such things. Cleanliness and comfort are to be regarded; show and luxury to be excluded.

XXXVIII.—He is strictly to refrain from offending any of the Ward's prejudices which relate to food and drink; and though prohibited from interference in the matter of religion, he is not to give in to the follies of individuals or the whims of families: such, for instance, as the idea that vaccination displeases *Sitla*, or that boys should not walk on foot because their parents may not have walked.

XXXIX.—He is to encourage a taste for manly exercise. Means shall be found to promote the practice of riding and swimming and the sports of cricket, archery, fives, quoits, &c., &c.

XL.—He is to allow no one to live within the precincts of the Institution who is not in some way connected with its interests: and he is to take care that all persons of loose habits or suspicious character find no admittance on any pretext whatsoever.

XLI.—He is to take care that the quality of the provisions is good and the supply regular.

RELATIONS BETWEEN THE VISITOR AND SUPERINTENDENT.

XLII.—The Superintendent shall act under the direction of the Visitor and report to him at once all matters of importance.

XLIII.—He shall keep a diary, to be inspected monthly by the Visitor, and an order book. To save the necessity of correspondence, the pages of the latter shall be divided into two columns: one for the reports of the Superintendent, the other for the remarks of the Visitor.

XLIV.—The Superintendent shall keep two account-books: one, headed "general charges," shall exhibit such items as the boys may have to pay in common for the maintenance of the Institution. These charges shall not exceed the sanctioned amount of Rs. 8,304 per annum. The other book shall have as many heads as there are boys, and shall exhibit the private expenses of each boy entered under the several heads.

XLV.—A monthly abstract of these accounts, prepared by the Superintendent and countersigned by the Visitor, shall be submitted, in the form of a bill, to the Commissioner of the Benares Division.

*Nominal-roll of a Ward of Court in the Provinces proposed to be sent to the Wards Institution at Benares.*

| Division. | District. | Name of ward and father's name. | Caste. | Income per annum. | | *Age on the of* 188 | | Certificate of moral character. | Further particulars (whether proprietor of any estate, &c.) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Years. | Months. | | |
| | | | | Rs. | a. p. | | | | |

*N.B.*—Wards whose income is below Rs. 2,500 per annum are not admitted to the Institution.

DATED

*The of* 188 .

*District Officer.*

# APPENDIX C.

## BENEVOLENT TRUSTS.

A list of all the trusts under the supervision of the Board is printed as statement XIII. to this report. Of these 25 are under the direct control of the Educational Department, the accounts of which have been furnished by the Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and will be found in statement XVII. The accounts of the remaining trusts are printed as statements XIV., XV., and XVI.

During the past year the Board's enquiry as to whether there were any unreported trusts was completed, with the result of the addition of two trusts to the Board's list, *viz.*, Rumna Gulzar Bagh in Bareilly and Munshi Durga Parshad's trust in the Allahabad district.

The founder of the Rumna Gulzar Bagh trust was one Munshi Waji-ud-din Haidar, who by a deed of gift dated 16th November, 1875, made over some shares in mahâls Rumna Gulzar Bagh in zila Farukhabad and Tulia in parganna Karor, in zila Bareilly, for the endowment of the female ward of the Bareilly dispensary. The property was leased by a late Civil Surgeon of Bareilly for 10 years, with effect from 1285 fasli, to the widow of the donor, who owns a share in the mahâls and is lambardar, at an annual rent of Rs. 400, exclusive of the Government revenue, &c., which is paid by the lessee. The Collector of Bareilly receives the rent and makes it over to the dispensary committee, who disburse the money for the purposes of the trust and account for it in their annual report, with which the Board have no concern.

The history of Durga Parshad's trust is briefly, as follows:—In October, 1862, Munshi Durga Parshad, pensioned sheristadar of the Judge's Court at Allahabad, petitioned Government that they would after his death take charge of certain funds, consisting of half the net profits of mauza Shekhupur Rasulpur in pargana Chail, and devote them to the maintenance of a tank, a sarai, two wells, and a shivala at Durgaganj, on the Grand Trunk Road, and a garden, two wells, a dharmsala, and shivala at Allahabad, and a shivala at Bulkarunpur in pargana Soraon, and the distribution of charity at the Tribene and at his garden at Allahabad.

He also prayed that the jama of the village might be permanently fixed at Rs. 1,000 per annum. In consideration of the services rendered by him during the mutinies, for which he would take no reward, the Government consented to take charge of the secular portion of the trust for the maintenance of which he assigned one-fourth of the net profits of the village. The jama was also permanently settled at Rs. 1,000 by Government of India No. 213, dated 7th May, 1863. Munshi Durga Parshad administered the funds till his death in 1870, when the trust was made over to the Local Funds Committee, who, finding that the funds were insufficient to meet the purposes of the trust, temporarily made over their entire management to the heir of the donor Lachmi Narayan, merely requiring a submission of the accounts by him. On the 12th February, 1873, the trust was formally made over to Lachmi Narayan in perpetuity. In doing this they exceeded their powers. With the sanction of Government conveyed in their No. 266, dated 14th February, 1880, the Board have obtained a deed signed by Lachmi Narayan, binding him during his lifetime to faithfully carry out the wishes of the donor in the administration of the trust.

After his death fresh arrangements will have to be made.

STATEMENT No. I.—ESTATES IN CHARGE OF, OR UNDER THE SUPERINTEND

| Serial number of estate. | District. | Name of estate. | Superintendence when assumed. | Proprietor. | Date of birth of minor. | Age of minor on the 30th September, 1879. Years. | Months. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| | | | | *Under Clause A., Section 194, Act XIX. of 1873 :—Female* | | | |
| 15 | Bijnor ... | Kiratpur .. | 15th April, 1879, | Musammat Hashmi Begam... ... | *Vide* under B Minors. | | |
| 30 | Sháhjahánpur, Hardoi (Oudh), Kheri (ditto), | Fakr-ud-din Khan ... | 25th Sept., 1878, | Ditto Ajab-ul-nisa ... | *Vide* under B. Minors. | | |
| 38 | Etáwah ... | Chakkarnagar ... | 4th April, 1876... | Thakurayan Baisni ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Ditto Sengar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 39 | Ditto ... | Bharch ... ... | 10th Novr., 1875, | Rani Baisni ... ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 51 | Jhánsi ... | Takhtauli Mahalwara, | 15th Sept., 1876, | Thakurayan Bari Dulaiya ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Ditto Nanhi Dulaiya ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 62 | Cawnpore ... | Pankigangaganj No. 11. | 13th April, 1876, | Musammat Ganesh Kunwar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 76 | Allahabad ... | Sarai Pitha, ... | 13th Feby, 1875, | Thakurayan Ganesh Kunwar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 77 | Ditto ... | Sarai Bharat ... | 25th March, 1875, | Ditto Gend Kunwar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 78 | Ditto ... | Gambhira ... | 16th March, 1877, | Ditto Gajraj Kunwar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Ditto Balraj Kunwar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 93 | Mirzapur ... | Agori Barhar ... | 24th April, 1871, | Rani Baid Saran Kunwar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 101 | Gházipur ... | Sunwani ... | 18th May, 1879, | Musammat Masuma Bibi . | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Ditto Saiyad-un-nisa Bibi, | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Total ... ... | | ... | ... |
| | | | | | | *Under Clause B:—* | |
| 1 | Muzaffarnagar, | Jaull ... ... | 16th Jany., 1871, | Muhammad Kasim ... | February, 1860 .. | 19 | 7 |
| 2 | Ditto ... Meerut ... Saháranpur ... | Sambalahra ... | 15th Jany., 1870, | Amir Hasan ... ... | Not a minor ... | | |
| | | | | Wazir Hasan ... ... | 6th April, 1861 ... | 18 | 6 |
| 3 | Meerut ... | Shaikhpura ... | 14th March, 1871, | Shaikh Muhammad Yar ... | July, 1862 ... | 17 | 2 |
| 4 | Ditto ... Bulandshahr, | Karam Ali Khan ... | 10th June, 1872, | Khurshed Ali Khan ... | December, 1871... | 7 | 10 |
| 5 | Meerut ... | Lawar ... ... | 23rd Decr., 1872, | Chandi Prasad ... ... | August, 1861 ... | 18 | 1 |
| | | | | Jawahira Prasad ... ... | February, 1864... | 15 | 7 |
| | | | | Har Prasad ... ... | August, 1866 ... | 13 | 1 |
| 6 | Ditto ... | Muradnagar ... | 26th June, 1876, | Hamid Ali Khan ... ... | September, 1870, | 9 | ... |
| | | | | Hadi Ali Khan ... ... | December 1872 ... | 6 | 9 |
| | | | | Sadik Ali Khan ... ... | May, 1876 ... | 3 | 4 |
| 7 | Ditto ... | Pirnagar ... | 31st Jany., 1877, | Narayan Singh ... ... | July, 1864 ... | 15 | 2 |
| | | | | Kharak Singh ... ... | July, 1866 ... | 13 | 2 |
| 8 | Ditto .. | Bhadauli ... | 4th Jany., 1878... | Shankar Sahai ... ... | June, 1861 ... | 18 | 3 |
| 9 | Bulandshahr, | Khurja ... | 18th Novr., 1868, | Hussain Ali Khan ... ... | July, 1859 ... | 20 | 3 |
| | | | | Musammat Rukiya ... | Not a minor | | |
| 10 | Ditto ... | Skinner ... | 18th Sept., 1869, | Richard R. Skinner ... | 11th October, 1858, | 20 | 11 |
| | | | | George C. E. Skinner ... | 21st May, 1860 ... | 19 | 4 |
| | | | | Alice G. Skinner ... | 5th Novr., 1861 . | 17 | 11 |
| | | | | Margaret C. Skinner ... | 14th Sept., 1863, | 16 | ... |
| 11 | Ditto ... | Jahangirabad ... | 4th August, 1871, | Muhammad Ishák Khán ... | 4th August, 1859, | 20 | 1 |
| 12 | Ditto ... | Belaun ... ... | 23rd May, 1879... | Aram Singh ... | June, 1870 ... | 9 | 4 |
| 13 | Ditto ... | Munda Khera ... | 17th May, 1879, | Abdullá Khán ... | June, 1866 ... | 13 | 4 |
| 14 | Bijnor ... Moradabad ... | Sherkot ... | 4th March, 1872, | Ranjit Singh ... | 30th July, 1865... | 14 | 2 |
| 15 | Bijnor ... | Kiratpur ... | 15th April, 1879, | Musammat Allá Begam ... | 18th April, 1862, | 17 | 5 |
| | | | | Ditto Kudsiya Begam ... | 30th Sept., 1863, | 16 | ... |
| | | | | Ditto Nafisa Begam ... | 27th Sept., 1866, | 13 | ... |
| | | | | Tawakkul Husain ... | 31st July, 1869 .. | 10 | 2 |
| | | | | Tabarruk Husain ... | 8th Decr., 1873... | 5 | 9 |
| 16 | Moradabád ... | Kishn Singh and Gokul Singh. | 18th Decr., 1861, | Kishn Singh ... | Not a minor | | |
| | | | | Gokul Singh ... | 20th Sept, 1860, | 19 | ... |
| 17 | Ditto ... | Bakht Singh and Indarjit Singh. | 3rd Decr., 1864... | Bakht Singh ... | Not a minor | | |
| | | | | Indarjit Singh ... | 12th Jany. 1863, | 16 | 8 |
| 18 | Ditto ... | Shankar Lal ... | 10th April, 1869, | Shankar Lal ... | 22nd July, 1864, | 15 | 2 |
| 19 | Ditto .. | Bishambhar Nath ... | 20th June, 1876, | Babu Bishambhar Nath ... | 18th Novr., 1867, | 11 | 10 |
| 24 | Ditto ... Bijnor ... | Budh Prakash ... | 11th Novr., 1878, | Budh Prakash ... | 10th Augt., 1871, | 8 | 1 |
| 25 | Moradabad ... | Shyam Sundar and Shyam Saran. | 8th Sept., 1879. | Shyam Sundar ... | 1st Novr., 1867, | 11 | 11 |
| | | | | Shyam Saran ... | 25th Decr., 1870, | 8 | 9 |

ENCE OF, THE COURT OF WARDS, DURING THE REVENUE YEAR 1878-79—*(Continued).*

| Number of Villages. | | | | | | Net income of proprietor during the year as detailed in statement No. IV. | *Receipts not included in income of the year shown in statement No. IV.* | | | Total receipts from all sources. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Revenue-paying.* | | | *Revenue-free.* | | | | | | | |
| Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches. | Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches. | | From State loans or loans from Court of Wards Estates. | On account of debts other than loans made by the State or from Court of Wards Estates. | Takávi advances recovered. | |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| *deemed by the Local Government incompetent to manage their estates.* | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 22 | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,970 7 3 | ... | ... | 56 10 0 | 36,074 3 7 |
| 48 | 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 15,088 5 1 | ... | 9 8 6 | 41 15 9 | 73,888 10 2 |
| 1 | ... | ... | 1 | ... | ... | 954 1 6 | ... | ... | ... | 2,090 15 0 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 280 2 0 | ... | ... | ... | 280 2 0 |
| ... | 33 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 115 11 9 | ... | 30 4 7 | 102 0 0 | 20,043 7 2 |
| 48 | 15 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 11 403 6 1 | ... | ... | ... | 48,430 12 8 |
| ... | 81 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,115 12 4 | ... | ... | ... | 25,788 13 10 |
| 280 | 8 | ... | 140 | ... | 1 | 49,329 5 7 | ... | 1,492 8 3 | 631 14 1 | 1,09,440 4 1 |
| ... | ... | ... | 1 | 13 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 36,290 13 7 |
| 399 | 149 | ... | 142 | 13 | 1 | 83,257 3 7 | ... | 1,532 5 4 | 832 7 10 | 3,52,328 2 1 |
| *Minors.* | | | | | | | | | | |
| ... | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 665 3 9 | ... | ... | ... | 1,838 1 4 |
| 2 | 8 | ... | 1 | 2 | ... | | | | | |
| 4 | 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 22,758 9 4 | ... | ... | ... | 37,470 5 4 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | ... | 3 | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 128 10 4 | ... | ... | ... | 237 8 0 |
| 1 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 18,374 10 4 | ... | 3,210 5 10 | 215 0 0 | 32,265 13 10 |
| 1 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 4 | 4 | ... | ... | ... | 1,427 9 5 | ... | ... | ... | 3,128 4 7 |
| ... | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 829 11 1 | ... | ... | ... | 1,112 15 3 |
| ... | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,246 8 5 | ... | 112 7 3 | ... | 2,408 2 10 |
| ... | 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,036 11 4 | ... | ... | ... | 2,366 5 0 |
| ... | 22 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,254 7 8 | ... | 900 0 0 | ... | 3,450 6 9 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 12,727 0 11 | ... | ... | ... | 12,868 0 11 |
| ... | 12 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,521 11 1 | ... | ... | ... | 9,584 7 9 |
| ... | 1 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 117 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | ... | 68,425 1 0 | ... | 250 10 3 | 1,989 15 0 | 1,64,067 13 11 |
| ... | ... | ... | 1 | 8 | 1 | | | | | |
| 3 | 14 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 345 5 0 | ... | ... | ... | 906 4 0 |
| ... | 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 107 9 11 | ... | ... | ... | 271 10 0 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 152 12 9 | ... | ... | ... | 312 11 0 |
| 10 | 4 | 9 | ... | ... | ... | 4,181 4 8 | ... | ... | ... | 14,584 4 0 |
| ... | 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 7,270 1 4 | ... | 1,071 12 6 | ... | 10,751 10 1 |
| 2 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 13 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge of, or under the superintendence*

| Serial number of estate. | District. | Name of estate. | Superintendence when assumed. | Proprietor. | Date of birth of minor. | Age of minor on the 30th September, 1879. Years. | Months. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| | | | | | | *Under Clause B:—* | |
| 27 | Bareilly ... | Deoria ... ... | 13th Feby., 1877, | Umrao Singh ... | 8th October, 1865, | 13 | 11 |
| 28 | Shâhjahânpur, Kheri (Oudh), | Narayanpur ... | 13th Feby., 1870, | Kunwar Jangi Singh ... | 6th Feby., 1873, | 6 | 7 |
| 30 | Shâhjahânpur, Hardoi (Oudh), Kheri (Oudh), | Fakr-ud-din Khan ... | 25th Septr., 1878, | Musammat Miskin-ul-nissa ... | Not a minor | | |
| | | | | Ditto Khatim-ul-nissa ... | 3rd Feby., 1865, | 14 | 7 |
| | | | | Ditto Soghra ... | 16th July, 1867, | 12 | 2 |
| | | | | Fida Husain Khan ... | 20th Septr., 1872, | 7 | ... |
| | | | | Ali Husain | 16th July, 1875, | 4 | 2 |
| 31 | Muttra ... | Hardeo Prasad ... | 7th May, 1870 ... | Hardeo Prasad ... | 3rd July, 1864 ... | 15 | 3 |
| 32 | Agra ... Mainpuri ... | Parna ... ... | 3rd Octr., 1874 ... | Diwan Ram Ratan Singh ... | 13th Jany., 1872, | 7 | 8 |
| 33 | Aligarh ... Muttra ... Agra ... Mainpuri ... Etah ... | Awa ... ... | 9th Novr., 1876 .. | Raja Chhatarpal Singh ... | 10th August, 1874, | 5 | 1 |
| 34 | Farukhabad... | Khimsipur ... | 3rd April, 1876 .. | Baldeo Prasad Singh ... | | 12 | ... |
| 37 | Ditto ... Mainpuri ... | Arjunpur ... | 27th August, 1877, | Kunwar Himanchal Singh ... | 5th October, 1866, | 13 | ... |
| 40 | Etáwah ... | Malhajani ... | 4th Novr., 1867... | Raja Prabal Pratap Singh .. | 8th August, 1868, | 12 | ... |
| 41 | Ditto ... | Bharthna ... | 4th May, 1875 .. | Jhandi Lal ... | | 19 | ... |
| | | | | Harbans Lal ... | | 17 | ... |
| 42 | Ditto ... | Sirsal Nawa ... | 13th Jany., 1876, | Lachmi Narayan ... | Not a minor | 21 | ... |
| | | | | Reoti Ram ... | | 20 | ... |
| | | | | Bansidhar ... | 13th March, 1863, | 15 | ... |
| | | | | Padm Singh ... | 12th April, 1865, | 14 | ... |
| 45 | Etah ... | Rani Damar ... | 20th August, 1872, | Kamran Shikoh Gardner ... | August, 1861 ... | 18 | 2 |
| 46 | Ditto ... | Raya ... ... | 12th Decr., 1874, | Musammat Aziz Khatun ... | October, 1865 ... | 14 | ... |
| 47 | Ditto ... | Azizpur ... | 17th April, 1876, | Ram Sahai ... | October, 1865 ... | 14 | ... |
| 49 | Ditto ... | Nagla Kisl ... | 1st Feby., 1878... | Girwar Lal ... | August, 1870 .. | 9 | 2 |
| 50 | Jalaun ... | Kukargawan ... | 26th Novr., 1876, | Nirand Singh ... | 11th Septr., 1870, | 9 | ... |
| 52 | Jhánsi ... | Katahra ... | 20th July, 1863 .. | Rani Paran Kunwar ... | ... | 13 | ... |
| 53 | Ditto ... | Chirgaon ... | 11th July, 1873... | Rao Dalip Singh ... | 19th Novr., 1866, | 12 | 10 |
| 54 | Lalitpur ... | Ram Charan ... | 6th August, 1867, | Ram Charan ... | ... | 15 | ... |
| 55 | Ditto ... | Rajwara ... | 20th June, 1872, | Rao Davi Singh ... | 6th Novr., 1859, | 19 | 11 |
| 56 | Ditto ... | Karora ... | 6th June, 1876 ... | Mahip Singh ... | ... | 10 | ... |
| 57 | Ditto ... | Kulna ... | 12th May, 1877... | Bahadur Singh ... | ... | 13 | ... |
| 60 | Cawnpore ... | Jajjiwanpur ... | 20th Sepr., 1870, | Kalyan Chand ... | 26th Octr., 1862, | 16 | 11 |
| 61 | Ditto ... | Barwa Khurd ... | 10th July, 1872... | Ram Prasad ... | 17th Feb., 1868, | 11 | 7 |
| 63 | Ditto ... | Rawatpur ... | 24th June, 1873, | Rawat Shiv Ratn Singh ... | 8th April, 1868, | 11 | 5 |
| 64 | Ditto ... | Akbarpur Sen ... | 16th March, 1875, | Raja Takht Singh ... | 15th Jan., 1867, | 12 | 8 |
| 67 | Fatehpur ... | Patti Shah ... | 18th April, 1876, | Mir Wajid Husain ... | 25th July, 1862, | 17 | 2 |
| 73 | Bánda ... | Makri ... | 14th July, 1877 .. | Bakhtawar ... | May, 1865 ... | 14 | 5 |
| | | | | Ganga ... | March, 1868 ... | 11 | 7 |
| | | | | Gokul ... | August, 1873 ... | 6 | 2 |
| | | | | Pola ... | October, 1875 ... | 4 | ... |
| 79 | Allahabad ... Mirzapur ... | Manda ... | 8th Decr., 1864... | Raja Ram Pratap Singh ... | 21st May, 1860 ... | 19 | 4 |
| 80 | Allahabad ... | Rai Pratap Chand .. | 27th June, 1872... | Rai Pratap Chand ... | 13th Jan., 1871, | 8 | 8 |
| 81 | Ditto ... | Rai Asapal Singh Bahadur. | 22nd Novr., 1871, | Jang Bahadur Singh ... | 3rd Aug., 1860, | 19 | 1 |
| | | | | Gaya Baksh Singh ... | 25th Feb., 1864, | 15 | 7 |
| | | | | Ram Bahadur Singh ... | 17th Decr., 1865, | 14 | 9 |
| 82 | Ditto ... | Shaikh Faiyaz Ali... | 13th June, 1878... | Mumtaz-ud-din ... | September, 1860, | 19 | 1 |
| | | | | Muhammad Ishmail ... | August 1871 ... | 8 | 2 |
| | | | | Abdul Kadir ... | June, 1877 ... | 2 | 4 |
| 83 | Ditto ... | Nangawan ... | 14th May, 1878 ... | Ibrahim Husain Khan ... | December, 1860, | 18 | 9 |
| 85 | Jaunpur ... Azamgarh ... | Bhagesa ... | 12th June, 1873, | Bisheshar Bakhsh Singh ... | 16th June, 1863, | 10 | 3 |
| 88 | Gorakhpur ... | Chaumukha ... | 29th August, 1879, | Nandan Chaubey ... | 20th July, 1868, | 11 | 2 |
| | | | | Sahabzad Chaubey ... | 27th Novr., 1872, | 6 | 10 |
| 89 | Basti ... | Mithwal ... | 27th Novr., 1874, | Ram Narayan Lal ... | 1st May, 1864 ... | 15 | 5 |
| | | | | Drig Narayan ... | 5th Novr., 1861, | 17 | 10 |
| | | | | Jang Bahadur ... | 2nd Decr., 1864, | 14 | 9 |
| | | | | Kamta Prasad ... | 27th June, 1859, | 20 | 3 |
| | | | | Brindeshwari Prasad ... | 2nd April, 1864, | 15 | 6 |
| | | | | Mahadeo Prasad ... | 7th Jan., 1871 ... | 8 | 9 |
| 90 | Ditto ... | Addia Prasad ... | 1st Novr., 1878... | Addia Prasad ... | 26th March, 1863, | 16 | 6 |
| 91 | Ditto ... | Khoria ... | 17th Janv., 1879, | Ajudhia Prasad ... | 4th Jan., 1868 ... | 11 | 9 |
| 94 | Mirzapur ... | Kantit ... | 14th March, 1863, | Raja Bhupendra Bahadur Singh, | 13th Jan., 1863 ... | 16 | 8 |
| 95 | Ditto ... | Nand Kunwar Pande, | 11th June, 1874, | Nand Kunwar Pande ... | 26th July, 1868 .. | 11 | 2 |
| 97 | Ditto ... | Gopalpur No. II ... | 24th Sepr., 1875. | Sat Narayan Singh ... | 21st Sepr., 1862, | 17 | ... |
| | | | | Ram Narayan Singh ... | 1st July, 1865 ... | 14 | 3 |
| | | | | Bhup Narayan Singh ... | 27th May, 1866 .. | 13 | 4 |

*of, the Court of Wards, during the revenue year* 1878-79 —(continued).

| Number of Villages. | | | | | | Net income of proprietor during the year as detailed in statement No. IV. | *Receipts not included in income of the year shown in statement No. IV.* | | | Total receipts from all sources. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Revenue-paying.* | | | *Revenue-free.* | | | | | | | |
| Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches. | Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches. | | From State loans or loans from Court of Wards Estates. | On account of debts other than loans made by the State or from Court of Wards Estates. | Takávi advances recovered. | |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| *Minors.*—(continued). | | | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 4 | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,013 12 0 |
| 13 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,708 15 9 | ... | ... | 252 13 3 | 17,831 3 11 |
| 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 1 | 4 | 3 | ... | ... | 3 | 3,628 14 1 | ... | 771 7 3 | ... | 8,299 0 9 |
| ... | 10 | ... | ... | 1 | ... | | | | | |
| 5 | 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 62 0 5 | ... | ... | ... | 110 8 9 |
| 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,423 7 3 | ... | 9 0 0 | 75 8 0 | 12,279 8 10 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 83 | 8 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | 1,79,209 4 11 | ... | 1,939 2 0 | 3,308 10 10 | 7,41,287 15 5 |
| 5 | 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 191 | 38 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 9 | 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 56 | 9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 3 | 13 | ... | 1 | ... | 3 | 7,485 7 3 | ... | 14 5 9 | 75 0 0 | 14,533 10 1 |
| 4 | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3,095 4 0 | ... | ... | 119 4 0 | 18,940 13 3 |
| 1 | 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,884 8 11 | ... | 20 4 0 | ... | 11,795 2 7 |
| 2 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 708 11 5 | 13,000 0 0 | ... | ... | 20,306 10 1 |
| ... | 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 861 0 4 | ... | ... | ... | 14,532 10 10 |
| 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 368 4 11 | ... | ... | ... | 1,918 9 0 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 14 3 0 | ... | ... | ... | 33 15 6 |
| 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 231 1 7 | ... | 662 14 9 | ... | 2,1[illegible]6 15 6 |
| 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,647 6 8 | ... | ... | 166 8 0 | 5,907 15 0 |
| 3 | 2 | ... | 6 | ... | ... | 5,898 3 6 | ... | 1,376 10 7 | 859 2 7 | 13,627 10 6 |
| 5 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,945 4 0 | ... | 24 8 0 | 20 0 0 | 9,109 6 6 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 104 8 4 | ... | 165 13 9 | ... | 343 13 9 |
| 5 | ... | ... | 2 | ... | ... | 2,094 7 1 | ... | 56 0 0 | 103 0 0 | 4,822 11 2 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 49 11 4 | ... | ... | ... | 75 8 10 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | ... | 35 0 0 |
| 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 457 11 9 | ... | 29 0 0 | 50 13 0 | 1,455 3 8 |
| 2 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,347 10 2 | ... | ... | 155 12 0 | 3,558 11 9 |
| 22 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 22,328 4 11 | ... | 20 0 0 | 10 9 0 | 60,714 3 6 |
| 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,465 1 4 | ... | ... | 273 11 0 | 6,583 1 2 |
| ... | 22 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5,500 0 0 | ... | ... | 9,704 8 10 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 16 10 3 | ... | ... | ... | 36 2 11 |
| 217 | 19 | 2 | 28 | ... | ... | 90,030 2 10 | ... | 719 0 0 | 225 0 0 | 2,09,113 3 0 |
| 1 | 1 | .. | 3 | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 59 | 340 | 11 | ... | ... | ... | 34,219 10 8 | ... | 19,997 13 5 | 270 0 0 | 1,52,565 6 2 |
| 5 | 153 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | 6,226 11 7 | ... | ... | ... | 29,525 7 11 |
| ... | 142 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3,938 9 8 | ... | 489 2 3 | ... | 40,309 11 5 |
| 7 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,973 15 6 | ... | ... | 248 0 0 | 2,511 15 0 |
| ... | 21 | 6 | ... | ... | ... | 1,319 15 2 | ... | 334 0 0 | ... | 2,305 8 5 |
| ... | 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 27 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,394 1 11 | ... | ... | ... | 4,793 14 6 |
| ... | 34 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 30,506 8 10 | ... | 1,705 1 4 | 922 3 6 | 41,064 1 9 |
| 1 | 8 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | 12 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 216 5 4 | ... | ... | ... | 572 10 10 |
| 299 | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,09,815 9 0 | ... | 820 15 4 | 603 15 0 | 2,27,253 15 8 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 61 13 0 | ... | ... | ... | 120 0 0 |
| 1 | 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 671 7 3 | ... | 528 13 5 | ... | 1,838 4 7 |

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge of, or under the superintendence*

| Serial number of estate. | District. | Name of estate. | Superintendence when assumed. | Proprietor. | Date of birth of minor. | Age of minor on the 30th September, 1879. Years. | Months. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| | | | | | | *Under Clause B:—* | |
| 98 | Mirzapur ... | Tikori ... | 18th Jan., 1877 ... | Shiv Bhairon Prasad ... | 4th May, 1862 ... | 17 | 5 |
| 99 | Ditto ... | Ramgarh ... | 12th April, 1877, | Lachmi Narayan ... | Not a minor | ... | ... |
| | | | | Gauri Shankar ... | August, 1860 | 19 | 2 |
| 100 | Benares ... | Pisur Danielpur ... | 29th May, 1871... | Shyam Chand ... | 23rd July, 1857... | 22 | 2 |
| | | | | Dharam Chand ... | 28th April, 1859... | 20 | 4 |
| 102 | Ghazipur ... | Sat Narayan Pande, | 20th June, 1868, | Sat Narayan Pande ... | 25th December, 1861. | 17 | 9 |
| | | | | | Total ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | | | *Under Clause D:—* | |
| 20 | Bulandshahr, Moradabad ... | Munawwar Ali Khan, | 5th Novr., 1862... | Munawwar Ali Khan ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 21 | Bijnor ... Moradabad ... | Abdul Wahid ... | 22nd Jan., 1869 .. | Abdul Wahid ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 26 | Budaun ... | Alapur ... | 20th April, 1876, | Hulasi Brahman ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 35 | Farukhabad .. | Kudsiya Begam ... | 9th May, 1866 ... | Kudsiya Begam ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 65 | Cawnpur ... | Muminpur Ratgaon, | 30th Novr., 1864, | Alam Ali Khan ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 68 | Fatehpur ... Allahabad ... | Ahmadpur Kusumbha, | 21st Sept., 1876, | Dilsukh Rai ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 84 | Hamirpur ... | Musammat Makhania, | 21st Augt., 1872, | Musammat Makhania ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 103 | Ghazipur ... | Karim-ud-dinpur ... | 5th July, 1876 ... | Ganesh Sonar ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | | Total ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | *Under Clause E:—Persons otherwise rendered incapable* | | | |
| 58 | Lalitpur ... | Dharpura—Gidhaura, | 14th Oct., 1876... | Dhaukal Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 66 | Cawnpore ... | Ghagwa Bhabhi ... | 13th July, 1865, | Shiv Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | | Total ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | *Under Clause G:—Persons declared by the Local Government, on* | | | |
| 22 | Kumaun ... Moradabad ... | Kunwar Bhim Singh, | 21st Septr., 1876 .. | Kunwar Bhim Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 28 | Shahjahanpur, Kheri (Oudh), | Narayanpur ... | 11th Feby., 1870 .. | Kunwar Sultan Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | " Bhajan Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 29 | Shahjahanpur... Bareilly ... | Nahal ... | 27th Feby., 1875... | Rao Jit Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Rani Tankan ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 37 | Farukhabad .. Mainpuri ... | Arjunpur ... | 27th August, 1877, | Kunwar Gurdyal Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | " Chhatar Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | " Yudisthra Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 41 | Etáwah ... | Bharthna ... | 4th May, 1875 ... | Bans Gopal ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 43 | Ditto ... | Uncha ... | 2nd May, 1877 ... | Rup Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Baldeo Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | Jaswant Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 44 | Ditto ... | Sunasi ... | 17th May, 1876 ... | Kunj Bihari Lal ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 48 | Etah ... Mainpuri ... | Rampur ... | 13th Septr., 1873 | Raja Ram Chandra Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 59 | Cawnpore ... | Pankiganganj No. 1 | 20th July, 1875 ... | Raja Dhiraj Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 67 | Fatehpur ... | Patti Shah .. | 18th April, 1876... | Musammat Shams-un-nisa ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | " Imtiyaz-un-nisa ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | " Nyaz-un-nisa ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | " Ahad-un-nisa ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 69 | Ditto ... | Ata Hussain Khan ... | 29th July, 1875 ... | Ata Husain Khan ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 70 | Ditto ... | Khan Bibi ... | Ditto ... | Abdul Rahman Khan ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 71 | Ditto ... Banda ... | Asothar ... | 22nd July, 1875... | Raja Lakshman Prasad Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 72 | Fatehpur ... | Biudaur ... | 1st June, 1877 ... | Nawab Ahmad Husain Khan ... | ... | ... | ... |

*of, the Court of Wards, during the revenue year* 1878-79.—(continued).

| Number of Villages. | | | | | | Net income of proprietor during the year as detailed in statement No. IV. | *Receipts not included in income of the year shown in statement No. IV.* | | | Total receipts from all sources. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Revenue-paying.* | | | *Revenue-free.* | | | | | | | |
| Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches. | Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches | | From State loans or loans from Court of Wards Estates. | On account of debts other than loans made by the State or from Court of Wards Estates. | Takávi advances recovered. | |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| *Minors.*—(concluded). | | | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | 1,148 6 8 | ... | 209 9 0 | ... | 7,297 12 11 |
| 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 981 14 5 | ... | 1,576 2 6 | ... | 8,706 15 2 |
| 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 314 4 4 | ... | ... | ... | 1,527 1 2 |
| 7 | 1 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | 2,134 2 1 | ... | ... | ... | 4,580 1 9 |
| 1,248 | 1,063 | 47 | 43 | 17 | 3 | 6,78,442 13 8 | 18,500 0 0 | 37,014 15 2 | 9,944 13 2 | 20,13,735 8 2 |
| *Lunatics.* | | | | | | | | | | |
| ... | 5 | ... | ... | 3 | ... | 7,323 14 0 | ... | 3,204 0 0 | 250 0 0 | 19,134 12 8 |
| 4 | 19 | ... | ... | 1 | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 204 8 8 | ... | ... | ... | 286 13 9 |
| ... | 2 | ... | ... | 1 | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 90 1 3 | ... | ... | ... | 168 3 0 |
| ... | ... | ... | 2 | ... | 6 | 4,742 1 2 | ... | ... | ... | 5,341 4 4 |
| 2 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,322 10 7 | ... | ... | ... | 5,956 13 1 |
| ... | 21 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 474 15 0 | ... | ... | ... | 500 0 0 |
| ... | 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | ... | 2 | ... | ... | ... | 116 2 5 | ... | ... | ... | 206 0 0 |
| ... | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 336 6 9 | ... | ... | ... | 1,343 10 3 |
| 6 | 61 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 14,610 6 10 | ... | 3,204 0 0 | 250 0 0 | 32,937 9 1 |
| *by physical defects or infirmities from managing their estates.* | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1 176 3 0 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 110 5 8 | ... | ... | ... | 761 7 1 |
| 2 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 110 5 8 | ... | ... | ... | 1,937 10 1 |
| *their own application, to be disqualified from managing their estates.* | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,262 2 7 | ... | ... | ... | 4,247 5 6 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| *Vide* | under B | minors. | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,424 14 11 | ... | ... | 429 14 9 | 24,646 12 10 |
| 19 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| *Vide* | under B. | minors | | | | | | | | |
| *Vide* | under B. | minors. | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 224 14 7 | ... | 16 0 0 | ... | 9,709 2 5 |
| 1 | 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,818 11 5 | ... | ... | ... | 8,471 6 7 |
| 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5,174 6 5 | ... | 100 0 0 | 120 8 0 | 10,466 3 8 |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 367 7 11 | ... | ... | ... | 2,483 9 6 |
| *Vide* | under B. | minors. | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,918 1 0 | ... | ... | ... | 7,304 13 0 |
| 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,659 4 4 | ... | ... | ... | 4,777 2 3 |
| 12 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 11,649 4 11 | ... | ... | 145 8 0 | 34,070 15 0 |
| 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 2 | 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,249 12 4 | ... | ... | ... | 12,445 0 0 |

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge of, or under the superintendence*

| Serial number of estate. | District. | Name of estate. | Superintendence when assumed. | Proprietor. | Date of birth of minor. | Age of minor on the 30th September, 1879. Years. | Months. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| | | | | *Under Clause G:—Persons declared by the Local Government, on their own* | | | |
| 74 | Bánda ... | Imdad Ali Beg ... | 27th November, 1878. | Mirza Mihr Ali Beg ...<br>" Inyat Ali Beg ...<br>Musammat Akbari Begam ...<br>" Asgari Begam ... | ...<br>...<br>...<br>... | ...<br>...<br>...<br>... | ...<br>...<br>...<br>... |
| 75 | Ditto ... | Kirwi ... | 6th May, 1879 ... | Rao Balwant Rao ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 86 | Jaunpur ...<br>Azamgarh ...<br>Mirzapur ...<br>Benares ... | Dube ... | 28th Aug., 1878, | Raja Harihar Datt Dube ...<br>Babu Shankar Datt Dube ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 87 | Gorakhpur ...<br>Azamgarh ...<br>Saran (Lower Provinces). | Majhauli ... | 22nd November, 1871. | Raja Uday Narayan Mal ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 88 | Gorakhpur ... | Chaumukha ... | 29th Aug., 1879, | Shiudisl Chaubé ...<br>Srinath Chaubé ... | ...<br>... | ...<br>... | ...<br>... |
| 89 | Basti ... | Mithwal ... | 27th Novr., 1874, | Awadh Narayan ...<br>Samarth Lal ...<br>Nawal Rai ...<br>Lalji ...<br>Jwala Prasad ...<br>Mathura Prasad ... | ...<br>...<br>...<br>...<br>...<br>... | ...<br>...<br>...<br>...<br>...<br>... | ...<br>...<br>...<br>...<br>...<br>... |
| 92 | Gorakhpur ...<br>Azamgarh ... | Dubari ... | 24th Decr., 1876, | Mrs. A. M. Venables ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 96 | Mirzapur ... | Gopalpur No. I. ... | 24th Septr., 1875, | Brindeshwari Prasad Singh ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | | Total ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | *Encumbered estates managed by, but not subject* | | | |
| 23 | Tarai ...<br>Moradabad ... | Kazi Muhammad Abbas. | 27th Octr., 1875, | Kazi Muhammad Abbas ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 36 | Muttra ...<br>Mainpuri ... | Kunj Bihari Lal ... | 12th June, 1869, | Sri Narayan ...<br>Chand Bihari ... | ...<br>... | ...<br>... | ...<br>... |
| | | | | | Total ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | | GRAND TOTAL ... | ... | ... |

*of, the Court of Wards, during the revenue year* 1878-79.—(concluded).

| Number of Villages. | | | | | | Net Income of proprietor during the year as detailed in statement No. IV. | *Receipts not included in income of the year shown in statement No IV.* | | | Total receipts from all sources. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Revenue-paying.* | | | *Revenue-free.* | | | | | | | |
| Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches. | Whole villages. | Shares. | Patches. | | From State loans or loans from Court of Wards Estates. | On account of debts other than loans made by the State or from Court of Wards Estates. | Takávi advances recovered. | |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| *application, to be disqualified from managing their estates.—(concluded).* | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 2 | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 2 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 234 | 62 | 8 | ... | ... | ... | 18,111 3 7 | ... | 3,06,461 8 3 | ... | 5,66,077 3 3 |
| 95 | 17 | 5 | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 16 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 39 | ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 84 | 5 | 1 | ... | ... | ... | 48,693 0 4 | ... | ... | ... | 1,43,798 11 11 |
| 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 40 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| *Vide* under B. minors. | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Vide* under B. minors. | | | | | | | | | | |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 11,751 15 6 | ... | ... | ... | 17,522 15 3 |
| ... | 33 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 775 8 5 | ... | ... | ... | 1,738 13 11 |
| 577 | 149 | 16 | .. | ... | ... | 1,10,081 1 3 | ... | 3,06,580 8 3 | 695 14 9 | 8,47,760 3 1 |
| *to the jurisdiction of, the Court of Wards.* | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,932 9 1 | ... | ... | ... | 10,936 4 3 |
| 4 | 8 | 10 | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| ... | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,479 4 9 | ... | ... | ... | 8,328 6 10 |
| ... | 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | | |
| 5 | 15 | 10 | ... | ... | ... | 3,411 13 10 | ... | ... | ... | 19,264 11 1 |
| 2,237 | 1,438 | 75 | 187 | 35 | 10 | 8,39,913 12 10 | 18,500 0 0 | 8,43,331 12 9 | 11,723 3 9 | 32,67,963 11 7 |

STATEMENT No. II.—*Assets and liabilities of the estates on the 30th Sep*

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate. | Name of estate. | Amount held in Government securities. | Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property. | Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property. | Takávi advances. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| MEERUT. | Muzaffarnagar ... | 1 | Jauli ... | 779 15 5 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 2 | Sámbalahra | .. | ... | ... | ... |
| | Meerut ... | 3 | Shaikhpura | 152 8 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 4 | Karam Ali Khan | 39,500 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 5 | Lawar | ... | 3,000 0 0 | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 6 | Muradnagar | ... | ... | ... | .. |
| | Ditto ... | 7 | Pirnagar | 1,500 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 8 | Bhadauli | 1,000 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Bulandshahr ... | 9 | Khurja | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 10 | Skinner | 50,500 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 11 | Jahangirabad | 13,000 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 12 | Belaun | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 13 | Munda Khera | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | Total ... | 1,06,432 7 6 | 3,000 0 0 | ... | ... |
| ROHILKHAND. | Bijnor ... | 14 | Sherkot | ... | 2,475 9 6 | ... | 161 12 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 15 | Kiratpur | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Moradabad ... | 16 | Kishn Singh and Gokul Singh | 520 8 5 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 17 | Bakht Singh and Indarjit Singh | 383 10 5 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 18 | Shankar Lal | 149 11 1 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 19 | Bishambhar Nath | .. | 6,210 1 6 | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 20 | Munawwar Ali Khan | 8,600 0 0 | 20,146 0 0 | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 21 | Abdul Wahid | 70 9 9 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 22 | Kunwar Bhim Singh | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 23 | Kazi Muhammad Abbas | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto .. | 24 | Budh Prakash | ... | 9,431 12 8 | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 25 | Shyam Sundar and Shyam Saran | ... | 116 2 9 | ... | ... |
| | Budaun ... | 26 | Alapur | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Bareilly ... | 27 | Deoria | ... | .. | ... | ... |
| | Sháhjahánpur ... | 28 | Narayanpur | ... | ... | ... | 280 15 6 |
| | Ditto ... | 29 | Nahal | ... | ... | ... | 407 7 6 |
| | Ditto ... | 30 | Fakr-ud-din Khan | ... | 44,867 11 7 | ... | 50 0 0 |
| | | | Total ... | 9,724 7 8 | 83,247 6 0 | ... | 900 8 0 |
| AGRA. | Muttra ... | 31 | Hardeo Prasad | 325 10 8 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Agra ... ... | 32 | Parna | ... | 45 0 0 | 56 11 0 | 23 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 33 | Awa ... | 2,00,000 0 0 | 68,866 12 0 | 35,200 2 0 | 10,408 9 6 |
| | Farukhabad ... | 34 | Khimsipur | . | 172 0 3 | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 35 | Kudsiya Begam | 4,500 0 0 | 200 0 0 | ... | ... |
| | Mainpuri ... | 36 | Kunj Bihari Lal | ... | . | ... | .. |
| | Ditto ... | 37 | Arjunpur | ... | 400 0 0 | ... | 33 0 0 |
| | Etáwah ... | 38 | Chakkarnagar | ... | ... | ... | 136 6 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 39 | Bhareh | ... | 55,476 1 4 | ... | 945 8 6 |
| | Ditto ... | 40 | Malhajani | ... | ... | 79 4 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 41 | Bharthna | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 42 | Sirsai Nawa | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 43 | Uncha | ... | ... | 43 0 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 44 | Sunasi | ... | ... | ... | 25 0 0 |
| | Etah ... | 45 | Rani Damar | ... | ... | ... | 26 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 46 | Raya | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 47 | Azizpur | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 48 | Rampur | ... | ... | ... | 158 13 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 49 | Nagla Kisi | ... | 5,557 3 3 | 1,454 8 3 | ... |
| | | | Total ... | 2,04,825 10 8 | 1,30,717 0 10 | 36,833 9 3 | 11,751 5 9 |
| JHANSI. | Jalaun ... | 50 | Kukargawan | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Jhánsi ... | 51 | Takhtauli Mahalwara | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 52 | Katahra | 5,000 0 0 | 9,210 1 0 | ... | 1,602 7 3 |
| | Ditto ... | 53 | Chirgaon | ... | 2,583 14 10 | 0 12 6 | 80 0 0 |
| | Lalitpur ... | 54 | Ram Charan | 10,500 0 0 | 1,204 4 9 | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 55 | Rajwara | ... | 35 0 6 | ... | 104 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 56 | Karora | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 57 | Kulna | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 58 | Dharpura-Gidhaura | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | Total ... | 15,500 0 0 | 13,030 5 1 | 0 12 6 | 1,786 7 3 |

*tember, 1879, exclusive of the value of landed property, stock and houses.*

| sets. | | | Liabilities. | | | | Balance. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recoverable arrears of rent and nayar. | Cash balance in hand at the close of the year. | Total. | Amount due on account of Government loans. | Due on account of Court of Wards loans. | Other debts. | Total. | Surplus. | Deficit. |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Rs. a. p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| ... | 118 9 3 | 898 8 9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 898 8 9 | ... |
| 324 7 8 | 2,528 6 5 | 2,852 14 1 | ... | ... | 30,500 0 0 | 30,500 0 0 | ... | 27,647 1 11 |
| .. | 37 14 11 | 190 6 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 190 6 11 | ... |
| 902 1 1 | 7,964 14 10 | 48,366 15 11 | ... | ... | .. | .. | 48,366 15 11 | ... |
| 153 12 6 | 187 0 10 | 3,340 13 4 | ... | ... | .. | .. | 3,340 13 4 | .. |
| ... | 145 14 6 | 145 14 6 | ... | ... | 5 554 0 0 | 5,554 0 0 | ... | 5,408 1 6 |
| 244 0 8 | 1,684 12 2 | 3,628 12 10 | ... | . | .. | .. | 3 628 12 10 | ... |
| ... | 449 14 11 | 1,449 14 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,449 14 11 | ... |
| 511 15 6 | 527 2 2 | 1,039 1 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,039 1 8 | ... |
| ... | 9.292 8 4 | 59,792 8 4 | | ... | ... | ... | 59.792 8 4 | ... |
| 2,309 4 10 | 3,942 15 11 | 19,252 4 9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 19,252 4 9 | ... |
| ... | ... | | ... | ... | ... | ... | | ... |
| ... | 1,259 3 0 | 1,259 3 0 | ... | ... | 1,200 0 0 | 1,200 0 0 | 59 3 0 | ... |
| 4,445 10 3 | 28,339 5 3 | 1,42,217 7 0 | ... | ... | 37,254 0 0 | 37,254 0 0 | 1,38,018 10 5 | 33,055 3. 5 |
| 34,301 0 10 | 91,209 7 3 | 1,28,147 13 7 | ... | ... | 7 8 9 | 7 8 9 | 1,28,140 4 10 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 25,268 13 7 | 25,268 13 7 | ... | 25,268 13 7 |
| ... | 13 3 5 | 533 11 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 533 11 10 | ... |
| ... | 2 8 11 | 386 3 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 386 3 4 | ... |
| ... | 15 13 3 | 165 8 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 165 8 4 | ... |
| ... | 468 9 6 | 6,678 11 0 | ... | 20,000 0 0 | 5,750 0 0 | 25,750 0 0 | ... | 19,071 5 0 |
| 1,313 15 6 | 10,266 13 2 | 40,326 12 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 40,326 12 8 | ... |
| ... | 26 9 1 | 97 2 10 | ... | ... | 506 11 0 | 506 11 0 | ... | 409 8 2 |
| 3,865 0 6 | 161 10 9 | 4,026 11 3 | ... | ... | 15,527 15 0 | 15,527 15 0 | ... | 11,501 3 9 |
| 8,185 2 8 | 2,085 0 3 | 10,270 2 11 | ... | ... | 21,570 12 6 | 21,570 12 6 | ... | 11,300 9 7 |
| 47 2 2 | 2,317 0 10 | 11,795 15. 8 | ... | ... | 2,895 6 6 | 2,895 6 6 | 8,900 9 2 | ... |
| ... | ... | 116 2 9 | ... | ... | 1,914 4 0 | 1,914 4 0 | ... | 1,998 1 3 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 795 6 6 | 9 2 0 | 804 8 6 | ... | ... | 12,169 0 0 | 12,169 0 0 | ... | 11,364 7 6 |
| 580 9 0 | 715 10 2 | 1,577 2 8 | .. | ... | 18,184 6 4 | 18,184 6 4 | ... | 16,607 3 8 |
| 2,223 14 6 | 364 10 4 | 2,996 0 4 | 59,000 8 10 | ... | 500 0 0 | 59,500 8 10 | ... | 56,504 8 6 |
| 1,677 11 11 | 2,433 1 10 | 49,028 9 4 | ... | ... | 60,279 13 11 | 60,279 13 11 | ... | 11,251 4 7 |
| 52,989 15 .7 | 1,10,089 4 9 | 2,56,951 5 0 | 59,000 8 10 | 20,000 0 0 | 1,64,574 11 7 | 2,43,575 4 5 | 1,78,453 2 2 | 1,65,077 1 7 |
| ... | 46 10 11 | 372 5 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 372 5 7 | ... |
| 2,520 5 6 | 1,647 9 7 | 4,292 10 1 | 20,260 3 2 | ... | 3,971 0 0 | 24,231 3 2 | ... | 19,938 9 1 |
| 1,62,007 14 1 | 37,926 3 5 | 5,14,404 9 0 | ... | ... | 21,431 0 10 | 21,431 0 10 | 4,92,973 8 2 | ... |
| 4,619 9 9 | 10,384 11 11 | 15,176 5 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 15,176 5 11 | ... |
| 135 2 1 | 8,325 13 9 | 13,160 15 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 13,160 15 10 | ... |
| 482 12 1 | 90 12 9 | 573 8 10 | 16,413 1 2 | ... | ... | 16,413 1 2 | ... | 15,839 8 4 |
| 1,703 4 9 | 431 5 11 | 2,567 10 8 | 42,267 10 5 | ... | 8,682 9 5 | 50,950 3 10 | ... | 48,382 9 2 |
| 3,972 12 7 | 368 9 3 | 4,477 11 10 | ... | 55,465 8 5 | 27,858 12 0 | 83,324 4 5 | ... | 78,046 8 7 |
| 2,436 12 4 | 8,920 14 2 | 67,779 4 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 67,779 4 4 | ... |
| 79 0 6 | 3,355 7 4 | 3,513 11 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3,513 11 10 | ... |
| 203 14 2 | 631 8 10 | 835 7 0 | ... | 13,022 12 8 | .. | 13,022 12 8 | ... | 12,187 5 8 |
| 1,205 8 6 | 157 8 7 | 1,363 1 1 | ... | ... | 27,314 10 2 | 27,314 10 2 | ... | 25,951 9 1 |
| 587 12 3 | 109 14 2 | 740 10 5 | ... | 9,800 0 0 | 17,000 0 0 | 26,800 0 0 | ... | 26,059 5 7 |
| 357 10 9 | 133 14 8 | 516 9 5 | ... | ... | 18,232 10 6 | 18,232 10 6 | ... | 17,716 1 1 |
| 789 15 6 | 1,910 4 1 | 2,726 3 7 | ... | ... | 80,047 4 8 | 80,047 4 8 | ... | 77,321 1 1 |
| ... | 2 8 0 | 2 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2 8 0 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 351 11 6 | 178 15 11 | 689 9 2 | 15,201 1 0 | ... | 551 0 0 | 15,752 1 0 | ... | 15,062 7 10 |
| 289 6 0 | 756 12 1 | 8,057 13 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 8,057 13 7 | ... |
| 1,81,743 8 4 | 75,379 9 4 | 6,41,250 12 2 | 94,141 15 9 | 78,288 5 1 | 2,05,088 15 7 | 3,77,519 4 5 | 6,01,036 9 3 | 3,37,305 1 6 |
| 188 14 6 | 613 4 2 | 802 2 8 | ... | ... | 11,606 9 3 | 11,606 9 3 | ... | 10,804 6 7 |
| ... | 136 9 3 | 136 9 3 | ... | ... | 3,001 15 7 | 3,001 15 7 | ... | 2,865 6 4 |
| 1,183 9 4 | 7,452 7 2 | 24,448 8 9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 24,448 8 9 | ... |
| 439 15 7 | 462 7 0 | 3,567 1 11 | ... | ... | 433 0 0 | 433 0 0 | 3,134 1 11 | ... |
| ... | 1,498 14 1 | 13,200 2 10 | ... | ... | ... | .. | 13,200 2 10 | ... |
| 2 0 0 | 1,662 11 5 | 1,743 11 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,743 11 11 | ... |
| ... | 15 12 2 | 15 12 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 15 12 2 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 0 15 0 | 12 8 10 | 13 7 10 | ... | ... | 1,563 3 9 | 1,563 3 9 | ... | 1,549 11 11 |
| 1,815 6 5 | 11,794 10 1 | 43,927 9 4 | ... | ... | 16,604 12 7 | 16,604 12 7 | 42,542 5 7 | 15,219 8 10 |

STATEMENT No. II.—*Assets and liabilities of the estates on the 30th September,*

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate | Name of estate. | Amount held in Government securities. | Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property. | Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property. | Takávi advances. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| ALLAHABAD | Cawnpore ... | 59 | Pankigangaganj No. I. ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 60 | Jagjiwanpur ... ... ... | 500 0 0 | ... | 21 10 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 61 | Barwa khurd ... ... ... | 3,000 0 0 | ... | ... | 40 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 62 | Pankigangaganj No. II. ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 63 | Rawatpur ... ... ... | 9,900 0 0 | ... | 19 0 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 64 | Akbarpur Sen ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 65 | Muminpur Ratgaon ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 66 | Ghagwa Bhabhi ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Fatehpur ... | 67 | Patti Shah ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 68 | Ahmadpur Kusumbha ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 69 | Ata Husain Khan ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 70 | Khan Bibi ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 71 | Asothar ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | 887 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 72 | Bindaur ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Banda ... | 73 | Makri ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 74 | Imdad Ali Beg ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 75 | Kirwi ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Allahabad ... | 76 | Sarai Pitha ... ... ... | ... | 794 8 5 | ... | 200 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 77 | Sarai Bharat ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 78 | Gambhira ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 79 | Manda ... ... ... | ... | 5,194 2 8 | 97 12 11 | 143 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 80 | Rai Pratap Chand ... ... | 21,000 0 0 | 2,49,377 11 9 | 37,301 10 1 | 30 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 81 | Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 82 | Shaikh Faiyaz Ali ... ... | ... | 680 10 11 | 67 7 10 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 83 | Nangawan ... ... ... | ... | 273 4 7 | ... | ... |
| | Hamírpur ... | 84 | Musammat Makhania ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Jaunpur ... | 85 | Bhagesa ... ... ... | 4,000 0 0 | 2,504 11 11 | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 86 | Dube ... ... ... | ... | 5,365 5 9 | ... | ... |
| | | | Total ... | 38,400 0 0 | 2,64,190 8 0 | 37,507 8 10 | 1,300 0 0 |
| BENARES. | Gorakhpur ... | 87 | Majhauli ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 88 | Chaumukha ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Basti ... | 89 | Mithwal ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 90 | Addia Prasad ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 91 | Khoria ... ... ... | ... | ... | 1,298 3 3 | ... |
| | Azamgarh ... | 92 | Dubari ... ... ... | ... | 1,483 0 0 | ... | ... |
| | Mirzapur ... | 93 | Agori Barhar ... ... ... | 1,38,500 0 0 | 58,042 3 2 | ... | 1,853 9 3 |
| | Ditto ... | 94 | Kantit ... ... ... | 3,67,000 0 0 | 38,779 0 8 | ... | 67 14 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 95 | Nand Kunwar Pande ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 96 | Gopalpur No. I. ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 97 | Gopalpur No. II. ... ... ... | ... | 700 0 0 | 28 8 5 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 98 | Tikori ... ... ... | ... | 3,161 0 3 | 517 13 11 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 99 | Ramgarh ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Benares ... | 100 | Pisur Danielpur ... ... ... | ... | 321 10 3 | 194 0 0 | ... |
| | Gházipur ... | 101 | Sunwani ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 102 | Satnarayan Pande ... ... | 2,500 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 103 | Karim-ud-dinpur ... ... ... | 409 7 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | Total ... | 5,08,409 7 0 | 1,02,486 14 4 | 2,038 9 7 | 2,030 8 0 |
| | | | GRAND TOTAL ... | 8,83,292 0 10 | 5,96,672 2 3 | 76,380 8 2 | 17,768 8 0 |

1879, *exclusive of the value of landed property, stock and houses*—(concluded).

| sets. | | | | Liabilities. | | | | Balance. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recoverable arrears of rent and sayar. | Cash balance in hand at the close of the year. | Total. | | Amount due on account of Government loans. | Due on account of Court of Wards loans. | Other debts | Total. | Surplus. | Deficit. |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Rs a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 274 5 6 | 150 9 3 | 424 14 9 | | 8,404 12 8 | ... | ... | 8,404 12 8 | ... | 7,979 13 11 |
| ... | 853 13 2 | 1,375 7 2 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,375 7 2 | ... |
| 271 4 3 | 3,020 11 7 | 6,331 15 10 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 6,331 15 10 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 1,614 15 1 | 27,723 14 8 | 39,257 13 9 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 39,257 13 9 | ... |
| 118 5 2 | 734 10 6 | 852 15 8 | | ... | ... | 14,946 10 0 | 14,946 10 0 | ... | 14,093 10 4 |
| ... | 874 6 10 | 874 6 10 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 874 6 10 | ... |
| ... | 147 7 1 | 147 7 1 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 147 7 1 | ... |
| ... | 122 5 2 | 122 5 2 | | ... | 5,194 2 9 | ... | 5,194 2 9 | ... | 5,071 13 7 |
| ... | 1,225 6 9 | 1,225 6 9 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,225 6 9 | ... |
| 425 8 8 | 515 1 7 | 940 10 3 | | ... | 6,176 10 5 | ... | 6,176 10 5 | ... | 5,236 0 2 |
| 171 10 6 | 490 14 9 | 666 9 3 | | ... | 1,196 10 3 | ... | 1,196 10 3 | ... | 530 1 0 |
| 4,408 10 8 | 5,289 12 6 | 10,585 7 2 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 10,585 7 2 | ... |
| 971 11 0 | 813 7 10 | 1,785 2 10 | | ... | 15,115 11 5 | 2,176 14 0 | 17,292 9 5 | ... | 15,507 6 7 |
| ... | 16 14 4 | 16 14 4 | | ... | ... | 4 5 0 | 4 5 0 | 12 9 4 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | 10,800 0 0 | 10,800 0 0 | ... | 10,800 0 0 |
| ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | 22,863 8 3 | 22 863 8 3 | ... | 22,863 8 3 |
| 147 1 4 | 601 13 8 | 1,743 7 5 | | ... | ... | 36,506 3 0 | 36,506 3 0 | ... | 34,762 11 7 |
| 559 4 3 | 1,013 4 8 | 1,572 8 11 | | ... | ... | 1,34,047 1 2 | 1,34,047 1 2 | ... | 1,29,474 8 3 |
| 139 6 3 | 1,528 8 1 | 1,667 14 4 | | ... | 65,322 14 4 | ... | 65,322 14 4 | ... | 63,655 0 0 |
| 739 11 0 | 80,771 0 10 | 86,945 11 5 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 86,945 11 5 | ... |
| 1,414 4 1 | 81,237 5 0 | 3,90,366 14 11 | | ... | ... | 1,441 2 9 | 1,441 2 9 | 3,88,919 12 2 | ... |
| ... | 157 15 2 | 157 15 2 | | 23,367 7 6 | ... | ... | 23,367 7 6 | ... | 23,209 8 4 |
| 878 13 4 | 1,803 4 0 | 3,430 4 1 | | ... | ... | 1,13,378 11 6 | 1,13,378 11 6 | ... | 1,09,948 7 5 |
| ... | 186 10 9 | 459 15 4 | | ... | ... | 2,751 0 7 | 2,751 0 7 | ... | 2,291 1 3 |
| ... | 44 9 2 | 44 9 2 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 44 9 2 | ... |
| ... | 990 5 2 | 7,495 1 1 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 7,495 1 1 | ... |
| 61,995 6 3 | 2,135 0 0 | 69,405 12 0 | | ... | ... | 6,45,721 4 10 | 6,45,721 4 10 | ... | 5,76,315 8 10 |
| 74,040 5 4 | 2,12,453 4 6 | 6,27,891 10 8 | | 31,772 4 2 | 93,006 1 2 | 9,81,636 13 1 | 11,06,415 2 5 | 5,43,215 11 9 | 10,21,739 3 6 |
| 14,362 13 4 | 2,645 7 10 | 17,008 5 2 | | 5,38,290 0 5 | ... | ... | 5,38,290 0 5 | ... | 5,21,281 11 3 |
| ... | 1,394 1 11 | 1,394 1 11 | | ... | ... | 43,416 0 0 | 43,416 0 0 | ... | 42,021 14 1 |
| 2,549 6 4 | 2,170 1 4 | 4,828 7 8 | | ... | ... | 62,022 7 4 | 62,022 7 4 | ... | 57,193 15 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 41 3 6 | 37 4 2 | 1,376 10 11 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,376 10 11 | ... |
| 2,269 15 2 | 2,604 5 10 | 6,357 5 0 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 6,357 5 0 | ... |
| 7,691 11 0 | 78,626 9 6 | 2,84,714 0 11 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,84,714 0 11 | ... |
| 20,270 3 0 | 1,01,304 3 8 | 5,27,421 6 1 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5,27,421 6 1 | ... |
| 0 12 0 | 189 5 0 | 190 1 0 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 190 1 0 | ... |
| 110 14 1 | 122 10 7 | 233 8 8 | | ... | 6,500 0 0 | ... | 6,500 0 0 | ... | 6,266 7 4 |
| 53 7 8 | 68 10 11 | 850 11 0 | | ... | 500 0 0 | ... | 500 0 0 | 350 11 0 | ... |
| 914 10 8 | 1,007 2 7 | 5,600 11 5 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5,600 11 5 | ... |
| 439 2 8 | 113 5 10 | 552 8 6 | | ... | ... | 6,897 0 0 | 6,897 0 0 | ... | 6,344 7 6 |
| ... | ... | 515 10 3 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 515 10 3 | ... |
| 1,426 9 0 | 1,309 8 1 | 2,736 1 1 | | ... | ... | 5,49,358 13 7 | 5,49,358 13 7 | ... | 5,46,622 12 6 |
| 38 6 8 | 899 15 0 | 3,438 5 8 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3,438 5 8 | ... |
| 102 8 2 | 265 6 6 | 777 5 8 | | ... | ... | ... | ... | 777 5 8 | ... |
| 50,271 11 3 | 1,92,758 2 9 | 8,57,995 4 11 | | 5,38,290 0 5 | 7,000 0 0 | 6,61,694 4 11 | 12,06,984 5 4 | 8,30,742 3 11 | 11,79,731 4 4 |
| 3,65,306 9 2 | 6,50,814 4 8 | 25,70,284 1 1 | | 7,23,204 13 2 | 1,98,294 6 3 | 20,65,853 9 9 | 29,87,352 13 2 | 23,34,008 11 1 | 27,51,127 7 2 |

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate. | Name of estate. | Demand. Arrears. | Demand. Current. | Demand. Total. | Col Arrears. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| Meerut. | Muzaffarnagar ... | 1 | Jauli ... ... ... | 85 9 8 | 1,755 1 9 | 1,840 11 5 | 50 13 3 |
| | Ditto .. | 2 | Sambalahra ... .. ... | 1,119 14 6 | 19,067 14 5 | 20,187 12 11 | 839 10 0 |
| | Meerut ... | 3 | Shaikhpura ... ... ... | ... | 235 0 0 | 235 0 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 4 | Karam Ali Khan ... ... | 685 8 0 | 22,102 10 3 | 22,788 2 3 | 283 15 3 |
| | Ditto ... | 5 | Lawar ... ... ... | 184 2 1 | 2,897 14 6 | 3,082 0 7 | 160 10 4 |
| | Ditto ... | 6 | Muradnagar ... ... ... | ... | 1,000 0 0 | 1,000 0 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 7 | Pirnagar ... ... .. | 238 4 5 | 2,228 9 11 | 2,466 14 4 | 202 7 7 |
| | Ditto ... | 8 | Bhadauli ... ... ... | | 2 334 7 0 | 2,334 7 0 | ... |
| | Bulandshahr ... | 9 | Khúrja ... ... ... | 1,196 2 3 | 1,822 0 0 | 3,018 2 3 | 684 6 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 10 | Skinner ... ... ... | .. | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 11 | Jahangírabad .. ... | 1,950 1 7 | 9,438 9 1 | 11,388 10 8 | 586 11 2 |
| | Ditto ... | 12 | Belaun ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 13 | Munda Khera ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | Total ... | 5,459 10 6 | 62,882 2 11 | 68,341 13 5 | 2,808 10 4 |
| Rohilkhand. | Bijnor ... .. | 14 | Sherkot ... ... ... | 53 288 14 8 | 1,33,479 12 2 | 1,86,768 10 10 | 21,899 6 8 |
| | Ditto ... | 15 | Kiratpur ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Moradabad ... | 16 | Kishn Singh and Gokul Singh ... | ... | 887 7 0 | 887 7 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 17 | Bakht Singh and Indarjit Singh ... | ... | 258 0 0 | 258 0 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 18 | Shankar Lal ... ... ... | ... | 310 13 0 | 310 13 0 | ... |
| | Ditto . | 19 | Bishambhar Nath ... ... | ... | 14,283 10 5 | 14,283 10 5 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 20 | Munawwar Ali Khan ... ... | 1,094 10 10 | 12,197 7 11 | 13,292 2 9 | 1,069 4 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 21 | Abdul Wahid ... ... | ... | 286 4 0 | 286 4 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 22 | Kunwar Bhim Singh ... ... | 4,124 4 8 | 3,985 15 3 | 8,110 3 6 | 259 3 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 23 | Kazi Muhammad Abbas ... ... | 3,898 0 4 | 15,222 4 7 | 19,120 4 11 | 1,937 8 6 |
| | Ditto ... | 24 | Budh Prakash ... ... | ... | 4,078 4 3 | 4,078 4 3 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 25 | Shyam Sunder and Shyam Saran ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Budaun ... | 26 | Alapur ... ... ... | . | 168 3 0 | 168 3 0 | ... |
| | Bareilly ... | 27 | Deoria ... ... ... | 767 15 9 | 2,181 8 9 | 2,949 8 6 | 342 0 3 |
| | Shahjahánpur ... | 28 | Narayanpur ... ... ... | 1,466 2 9 | 13,923 9 5 | 15,389 12 2 | 1,138 10 10 |
| | Ditto ... | 29 | Nahal ... ... ... | 3,454 15 8 | 21,243 14 3 | 24,698 13 11 | 2,386 9 3 |
| | Ditto ... | 30 | Fakr-ud-din Khan ... ... | 260 5 5 | 6,246 2 7 | 6,506 8 0 | 59 5 3 |
| | | | Total ... | 68 355 5 8 | 2,28 753 4 7 | 2,97,108 10 3 | 29,092 [illegible] 6 |
| Agra. | Muttra ... | 31 | Hardeo Prasad ... ... | 4 13 6 | 91 15 9 | 96 13 3 | 4 13 6 |
| | Agra ... ... | 32 | Parna ... ... ... | 4,420 14 0 | 11,647 12 0 | 16,068 10 0 | 911 7 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 33 | Awa ... ... ... | 2,26,630 10 0 | 6,09,458 15 3 | 8,36,089 9 3 | 29,669 15 10 |
| | Farukhabad ... | 34 | Khimsipur ... ... ... | 5,689 0 3 | 13,867 5 9 | 19.556 6 0 | 1,259 10 7 |
| | Ditto ... | 35 | Kudsiya Begam ... ... | 652 10 3 | 4,497 4 0 | 5,149 14 3 | 595 14 9 |
| | Mainpuri ... | 36 | Kunj Bihari Lal ... ... | 2,334 4 9 | 8,284 9 10 | 10,618 14 7 | 677 12 2 |
| | Ditto ... | 37 | Arjunpur ... ... ... | 1,618 7 10 | 18 489 6 6 | 20,107 14 4 | 810 3 2 |
| | Etáwah ... | 38 | Chakkarnagar ... ... | 5,108 1 1 | 32,000 11 9 | 37,108 12 10 | 3,018 3 2 |
| | Ditto ... | 39 | Bhareh ... ... ... | 11,071 13 11 | 59,419 1 5 | 70,490 15 4 | 8,551 5 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 40 | Malhajani ... ... ... | 550 8 2 | 10,580 15 3 | 11,131 7 5 | 330 10 2 |
| | Ditto ... | 41 | Bharthna ... ... ... | 423 8 11 | 5 295 13 11 | 5,719 6 10 | 306 10 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 42 | Sirsai Nawa ... ... ... | 2,260 1 4 | 10,688 4 3 | 12,948 5 7 | 773 0 8 |
| | Ditto ... | 43 | Uncha ... ... ... | 1,310 15 0 | 9,367 0 5 | 10,677 15 5 | 215 10 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 44 | Sunasi ... ... ... | 1,317 9 6 | 7,609 0 2 | 8,926 9 8 | 384 12 11 |
| | Etah ... | 45 | Rani Damar ... ... ... | 1,161 13 0 | 2 051 7 9 | 3,213 4 9 | 270 7 6 |
| | Ditto ... | 46 | Raya ... ... ... | 3 11 0 | 33 15 6 | 37 10 6 | 3 11 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 47 | Azizpur ... ... ... | ... | ... | .. | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 48 | Rampur ... ... ... | 3,099 5 2 | 9 158 4 10 | 12,257 10 0 | 802 7 11 |
| | Ditto ... | 49 | Nagla Kisi ... ... ... | 484 7 1 | 1,249 13 3 | 1,734 4 4 | 267 15 5 |
| | | | Total ... | 2,68,142 10 9 | 8,13,791 13 7 | 10,81,934 8 4 | 48,854 12 3 |
| Jhánsi. | Jalaun ... | 50 | Kukargawan... ... ... | 824 4 0 | 5,133 10 6 | 5,957 14 6 | 601 0 0 |
| | Jhánsi ... | 51 | Takhtauli Mahalwara ... ... | 108 6 10 | 1,996 3 7 | 2,104 10 5 | 68 3 5 |
| | Ditto . | 52 | Katahra ... ... ... | 588 13 8 | 10,236 3 0 | 10,825 0 8 | 379 10 11 |
| | Ditto ... | 53 | Chirgaon ... ... ... | 970 14 5 | 6,993 10 7 | 7,964 9 0 | 160 10 0 |
| | Lalitpur ... | 54 | Ram Charan ... ... ... | 13 12 0 | 40 0 0 | 53 12 0 | 13 12 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 55 | Rajwara ... ... ... | 320 1 3 | 4,100 15 3 | 4,421 0 6 | 279 12 2 |
| | Ditto ... | 56 | Karora ... ... ... | ... | 70 4 10 | 70 4 10 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 57 | Kulna ... ... ... | ... | 35 0 0 | 35 0 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 58 | Dharpura-Gidhaura ... ... | 0 15 0 | 1,176 3 0 | 1,177 2 0 | ... |
| | | | Total ... | 2,827 3 2 | 29,782 2 9 | 32,609 5 11 | 1,503 0 6 |

*and balance of the several estates for the revenue year* 1878-79.

| *lections.* | | *Balance.* | | | | *Percentage of collections to demand.* | | | Percentage of irrecoverable balance to total demand. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Current. | Total. | Recoverable. | Doubtful. | Irrecoverable. | Total. | Arrears. | Current. | Total. | |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a. p. | | | | |
| 1,732 12 0 | 1,783 9 3 | ... | ... | 57 2 2 | 57 2 2 | 59 | 99 | 97 | 3·09 |
| 18,963 1 6 | 19,802 11 6 | 180 0 8 | ... | 205 0 9 | 385 1 5 | 75 | 99 | 98 | 1·01 |
| 235 0 0 | 235 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 21,669 11 9 | 21,953 11 0 | 736 2 3 | ... | 98 5 0 | 834 7 3 | 42 | 98 | 96 | ·43 |
| 2,793 13 9 | 2,954 8 1 | 127 8 6 | ... | ... | 127 8 6 | 87 | 96 | 96 | ... |
| 1,000 0 0 | 1,000 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 2,005 3 9 | 2,207 11 4 | 244 0 8 | ... | 15 2 4 | 259 3 0 | 85 | 90 | 89 | ·6 |
| 2,334 7 0 | 2,334 7 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 1,821 12 0 | 2,506 2 9 | 511 15 6 | ... | ... | 511 15 6 | 58 | 100 | 83 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 8,010 1 1 | 8,596 12 3 | 2,295 10 6 | 496 3 11 | ... | 2,791 14 5 | 30 | 85 | 75 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 60,565 14 10 | 63,374 9 2 | 4,095 6 1 | 496 3 11 | 375 10 3 | 4,967 4 3 | 51 | 96 | 93 | ·35 |
| 1,30,506 13 4 | 1,52,406 4 0 | 34,280 15 4 | ... | 81 7 6 | 34,362 6 10 | 41 | 98 | 82 | ·04 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 887 7 0 | 887 7 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 258 0 0 | 258 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 310 13 0 | 310 13 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 14,283 10 5 | 14,283 10 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 10,964 6 1 | 12,033 10 1 | 1,233 1 10 | 24 15 4 | 0 7 6 | 1,258 8 8 | 98 | 89 | 90 | ... |
| 286 4 0 | 286 4 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 3,985 15 3 | 4,245 3 0 | 3,865 0 6 | ... | ... | 3,865 0 6 | 6 | 100 | 53 | ... |
| 8,997 9 9 | 10,935 2 3 | 8,185 2 8 | ... | ... | 8,185 2 8 | 50 | 59 | 57 | ... |
| 4,031 2 1 | 4,031 2 1 | 47 2 2 | ... | ... | 47 2 2 | ... | 99 | 99 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 168 3 0 | 168 3 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 1,596 4 9 | 1,938 5 0 | 756 6 6 | ... | 254 13 0 | 1,011 3 6 | 44 | 73 | 65 | 8·64 |
| 13,660 0 7 | 14,798 11 5 | 478 7 9 | ... | 112 0 0 | 591 0 9 | 77 | 98 | 96 | ·73 |
| 19,850 10 10 | 22,237 4 1 | 1,890 1 0 | ... | 571 8 10 | 2,461 9 10 | 69 | 93 | 90 | 2·31 |
| 4,678 3 8 | 4,737 8 11 | 1,651 13 6 | ... | 117 1 7 | 1,768 15 1 | 23 | 74 | 72 | 1·78 |
| 2,14,465 7 9 | 2,43,557 8 3 | 52,388 3 3 | 24 15 4 | 1,137 15 5 | 53,551 2 0 | 43 | 94 | 82 | ·38 |
| 91 15 9 | 96 13 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 10,754 6 3 | 11,665 13 3 | 2,435 6 6 | 1,863 5 9 | 104 0 6 | 4,402 12 9 | 21 | 92 | 73 | ·65 |
| 5,39,865 0 0 | 5,69,534 15 10 | 1,44,933 12 8 | 94,306 2 2 | 26,814 10 7 | 2,66,554 9 5 | 13 | 89 | 68 | 3·21 |
| 12,659 15 4 | 13,919 9 11 | 4,600 6 9 | ... | 1,036 5 4 | 5,636 12 1 | 22 | 91 | 71 | 5·29 |
| 4,393 0 11 | 4,988 15 8 | 135 2 1 | ... | 25 12 6 | 160 14 7 | 91 | 98 | 97 | ·5 |
| 7,154 11 8 | 7,832 7 10 | 442 2 1 | 2,344 4 8 | ... | 2,786 6 9 | 29 | 86 | 74 | ... |
| 17,442 3 1 | 18,252 6 3 | 1,674 4 3 | 29 6 4 | 151 13 6 | 1,855 8 1 | 50 | 94 | 91 | ·75 |
| 30,084 9 11 | 33,102 13 1 | 3,912 5 10 | 93 9 11 | ... | 4,005 15 9 | 59 | 94 | 89 | ... |
| 58,798 13 4 | 67,350 2 4 | 2,386 3 10 | 426 10 4 | 327 14 10 | 3,140 13 0 | 77 | 99 | 96 | ·46 |
| 10,546 15 9 | 10 877 9 11 | 79 0 6 | 41 3 5 | 133 9 7 | 253 13 6 | 60 | 99 | 97 | 1·19 |
| 5,287 13 11 | 5,594 8 8 | 82 14 2 | 34 0 0 | 8 0 0 | 124 14 2 | 72 | 99 | 98 | ·14 |
| 10,260 9 3 | 11,033 9 11 | 1,171 15 7 | 741 3 10 | 1 8 3 | 1,914 11 8 | 34 | 96 | 85 | ... |
| 9,219 3 5 | 9,434 14 2 | 533 7 6 | ... | 709 9 9 | 1,243 1 3 | 16 | 98 | 88 | 6 65 |
| 7,568 0 2 | 7,952 13 1 | 308 2 9 | ... | 665 9 10 | 973 12 7 | 29 | 99 | 89 | 7·46 |
| 1,530 15 6 | 1,801 7 0 | 676 0 6 | ... | 735 13 3 | 1,411 13 9 | 23 | 74 | 56 | 22·59 |
| 30 4 6 | 33 15 6 | 3 11 0 | ... | ... | 3 11 0 | 100 | 89 | 89 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 7,655 6 2 | 8,457 14 1 | 2,437 10 10 | ... | 1,362 1 1 | 3,799 11 11 | 26 | 83 | 69 | 11·11 |
| 1,133 6 1 | 1,401 5 6 | 289 6 0 | ... | 43 8 10 | 332 14 10 | 56 | 91 | 81 | 2·51 |
| 7,34,477 7 0 | 7,83,332 3 3 | 1,66,102 0 10 | 1,06,379 14 5 | 32,120 5 10 | 2,98,602 5 1 | 18 | 90 | 72 | 2·97 |
| 5,038 4 0 | 5,639 4 9 | 188 14 6 | ... | 129 12 0 | 318 10 6 | 73 | 98 | 95 | 2·18 |
| 1,995 11 7 | 2,063 15 0 | ... | 19 3 3 | 21 8 2 | 40 11 5 | 63 | 100 | 98 | 1 |
| 8 907 3 8 | 9,286 14 7 | 1,183 9 4 | ... | 354 8 9 | 1,538 2 1 | 64 | 87 | 86 | 3·28 |
| 6,789 11 0 | 6,950 5 0 | 439 15 7 | 100 4 9 | 473 15 8 | 1,014 4 0 | 16 | 98 | 87 | 0·51 |
| 40 0 0 | 53 12 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 4,100 15 8 | 4,380 11 5 | 2 0 0 | 38 5 1 | ... | 40 5 1 | 88 | 100 | 99 | ... |
| 70 4 10 | 70 4 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 35 0 0 | 35 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 1,176 3 0 | 1,176 3 0 | 0 15 0 | ... | ... | 0 15 0 | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 28,153 5 4 | 29,656 5 10 | 1,815 6 5 | 157 13 1 | 979 12 7 | 2,953 0 1 | 53 | 95 | 91 | ·3·01 |

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections and*

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate. | Name of district. | Demand. Arrears. | Demand. Current. | Demand. Total. | Col Arrears. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p |
| Allahabad. | Cawnpore ... | 59 | Pankiganganganj No. I. ... ... | 250 14 0 | 2,598 12 4 | 2,849 10 4 | 107 11 7 |
| | Ditto ... | 60 | Jagjiwanpur ... ... ... | 44 2 2 | 1,057 4 5 | 1,101 6 7 | 44 2 2 |
| | Ditto ... | 61 | Barwa khurd... ... ... | 673 0 9 | 2,487 2 7 | 3,160 3 4 | 501 5 5 |
| | Ditto ... | 62 | Pankiganganganj No. II. ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 63 | Rawatpur ... ... ... | 5,516 3 4 | 47,555 4 5 | 53,071 7 9 | 3,168 13 11 |
| | Ditto ... | 64 | Akbarpur Sen ... ... | 1,555 12 7 | 5,832 2 8 | 7,387 15 3 | 696 5 8 |
| | Ditto ... | 65 | Muminpur Ratgaon ... ... | ... | 4,846 13 4 | 4,846 13 4 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 66 | Ghagwa Bhabhi ... ... | ... | 623 5 9 | 623 5 9 | ... |
| | Fatehpur ... | 67 | Patti Shah ... ... ... | ... | 4,142 8 0 | 4,142 8 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 68 | Ahmadpur Kusumbha ... ... | ... | 500 0 0 | 500 0 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 69 | Ata Husain Khan ... ... | 165 15 7 | 7,254 8 1 | 7,420 7 8 | 121 1 6 |
| | Ditto ... | 70 | Khan Bibi ... ... | 148 12 9 | 4,794 3 9 | 4,943 0 6 | 102 6 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 71 | Asothar ... ... ... | 2,910 8 6 | 25,202 14 1 | 28,113 6 7 | 566 15 7 |
| | Ditto ... | 72 | Bindaur ... ... ... | 2,080 9 8 | 12,701 1 6 | 14,781 11 2 | 401 10 9 |
| | Bánda ... | 73 | Makri ... ... ... | 2 2 10 | 33 12 1 | 35 14 11 | 2 2 10 |
| | Ditto ... | 74 | Imdad Ali Beg ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 75 | Kirwi ... ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Allahabad ... | 76 | Sarai Pitha ... ... ... | 205 6 8 | 19,554 0 8 | 19,759 7 4 | 173 10 6 |
| | Ditto ... | 77 | Sarai Bharat... ... ... | 2,055 14 4 | 46,031 9 2 | 48,087 7 6 | 1,472 3 10 |
| | Ditto ... | 78 | Gambhira ... ... ... | 1,275 7 6 | 23,067 2 3 | 24,342 9 9 | 949 1 8 |
| | Ditto ... | 79 | Manda ... ... ... | 218 8 4 | 1,93,476 2 9 | 1,93,694 11 1 | 198 0 8 |
| | Ditto ... | 80 | Rai Pratap Chand .. .. | 2,068 12 4 | 1,28 608 15 7 | 1,30,677 11 11 | 1,333 10 7 |
| | Ditto ... | 81 | Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh ... | ... | 29,337 8 9 | 29,337 8 9 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 82 | Shaikh Faiyaz Ali ... ... | 1,935 13 5 | 34,709 12 0 | 36,645 9 5 | 1,574 6 1 |
| | Ditto ... | 83 | Nangawan ... ... ... | ... | 1,391 15 6 | 1,391 15 6 | ... |
| | Hamírpur ... | 84 | Musammat Makhania ... ... | ... | 206 0 0 | 206 0 0 | ... |
| | Jaunpur ... | 85 | Bhagesa ... ... ... | 347 14 0 | 1,099 2 11 | 1,447 0 11 | 347 14 0 |
| | Ditto ... | 86 | Dube ... ... ... | 79,408 8 0 | 2,59,427 2 10 | 3,38 835 10 10 | 10,724 7 9 |
| | | | Total ... | 1,00,864 6 9 | 8,56,539 0 5 | 9,57,403 7 2 | 22,486 3 3 |
| Benares. | Gorakhpur ... | 87 | Majhauli ... ... ... | 17,796 12 6 | 1,21,569 10 9 | 1,39,366 7 3 | 9,599 13 10 |
| | Ditto ... | 88 | Chaumukha ... ... ... | 78 15 6 | 4,511 2 0 | 4,590 1 6 | 78 15 6 |
| | Basti ... | 89 | Mithwal ... ... ... | 4,060 8 2 | 14,942 3 0 | 19,002 11 2 | 3,459 10 11 |
| | Ditto ... | 90 | Addia Prasad ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 91 | Khoria ... ... ... | ... | 586 5 4 | 586 5 4 | ... |
| | Azamgarh ... | 92 | Dubari ... ... ... | 1,495 9 11 | 16,968 2 10 | 18,463 12 9 | 594 6 8 |
| | Mirzapur ... | 93 | Agori Barhar ... ... | 4,278 9 0 | 92,120 9 9 | 96,399 2 9 | 1,767 5 9 |
| | Ditto ... | 94 | Kantit ... ... ... | 20,227 15 11 | 1,99,783 9 6 | 2,20,011 9 5 | 10,319 5 10 |
| | Ditto ... | 95 | Nand Kunwar Pande ... ... | ... | 120 12 0 | 120 12 0 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 96 | Gopalpur No. I. ... ... | 488 11 2 | 1,323 15 10 | 1,812 11 0 | 273 2 10 |
| | Ditto ... | 97 | Gopalpur No. II. ... ... | 340 9 1 | 934 2 11 | 1,274 12 0 | 207 2 3 |
| | Ditto ... | 98 | Tikori ... ... ... | 415 3 4 | 7,400 9 3 | 7,815 12 7 | 400 9 4 |
| | Ditto ... | 99 | Ramgarh ... ... ... | 137 12 8 | 6,480 2 4 | 6,617 15 0 | 133 13 11 |
| | Benares ... | 100 | Pisur Daniel pur ... ... | 444 5 7 | 1,024 9 1 | 1,468 14 8 | 444 5 7 |
| | Gházipur ... | 101 | Sunwani ... ... ... | 1,052 1 1 | 36,115 8 5 | 37,167 9 6 | 1,027 4 10 |
| | Ditto ... | 102 | Satnarayan Pande ... ... | ... | 4,188 9 2 | 4,188 9 2 | ... |
| | Ditto ... | 103 | Karim-ud-dinpur ... ... | 155 2 11 | 1,264 9 1 | 1,419 12 0 | 92 15 6 |
| | | | Total ... | 50,972 4 10 | 5,09,334 9 3 | 5,60,306 14 1 | 28,399 0 9 |
| | | | GRAND TOTAL ... | 4,96,621 9 8 | 25,01,083 1 6 | 29,97,704 11 2 | 1,83,143 11 7 |

*balance of the several estates for the revenue year* 1878-79—(concluded).

| *lections.* | | *Balance.* | | | | *Percentage of collections to demand.* | | | Percentage of irrecoverable balance to total demand. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Current. | Total. | Recoverable. | Doubtful. | Irrecoverable. | Total. | Arrears. | Current. | Total. | |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | | | | |
| 2,321 11 3 | 2,429 6 10 | 221 10 6 | 198 9 0 | ... | 420 3 6 | 43 | 89 | 85 | ... |
| 1,057 4 5 | 1,101 6 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 2,256 2 0 | 2,757 7 5 | 238 6 0 | 23 0 0 | 141 5 11 | 402 11 11 | 74 | 91 | 87 | 4·46 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 46,223 13 6 | 49,392 11 5 | 1,523 4 2 | 883 12 10 | 1,321 11 4 | 3,678 12 4 | 58 | 97 | 93 | 2·49 |
| 4,956 14 0 | 5,653 3 8 | 108 1 2 | 1,284 2 5 | 342 8 0 | 1,734 11 7 | 45 | +85 | 77 | 4·63 |
| 4,846 13 4 | 4,846 13 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 623 5 9 | 623 5 9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 4,142 8 0 | 4,142 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 500 0 0 | 500 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 7,035 1 3 | 7,156 2 9 | 240 14 11 | 17 5 0 | 6 1 0 | 264 4 11 | 73 | 97 | 97 | ·09 |
| 4,640 6 6 | 4,742 13 3 | 166 14 6 | ... | 33 4 9 | 200 3 3 | 69 | 97 | 96 | ·66 |
| 23,692 11 4 | 24,229 10 11 | 3,883 11 8 | | ... | 3,883 11 8 | 19 | 94 | 86 | ... |
| 11,842 7 3 | 12,244 2 0 | 893 3 0 | 1,197 1 2 | 447 5 0 | 2,537 9 2 | 19 | 93 | 83 | 3 02 |
| 33 12 1 | 35 14 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | 100 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 19,427 10 9 | 19,601 5 3 | 142 1 4 | 16 0 9 | ... | 158 2 1 | 85 | 99 | 99 | ... |
| 45,382 7 10 | 46,854 11 8 | 559 4 3 | 494 4 4 | 179 3 3 | 1,232 11 10 | 72 | 98 | 97 | ·37 |
| 22,709 11 8 | 23,658 13 4 | 139 6 3 | 97 13 6 | 446 8 8 | 683 12 5 | 75 | 98 | 97 | 1·83 |
| 1,92,756 15 5 | 1,92,955 0 1 | 739 11 0 | ... | | 739 11 0 | 90 | 99 | 99 | ... |
| 1,26,373 14 5 | 1,27,707 9 0 | 1,350 14 4 | 1,001 8 2 | 618 8 5 | 2,970 2 11 | 64 | 98 | 98 | ·46 |
| 29,337 3 9 | 29,337 3 9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 33,515 15 4 | 35,090 5 5 | 778 14 0 | 349 13 2 | 426 8 10 | 1,555 4 0 | 81 | 97 | 91 | 1·16 |
| 1,391 15 6 | 1,391 15 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 206 0 0 | 206 0 0 | ... | ... | .. | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 1,099 2 11 | 1,447 0 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 2,23,386 12 1 | 2,34,111 3 10 | 58,034 3 1 | 28,782 1 3 | 17,908 2 8 | 1,04,724 7 0 | 14 | 86 | 69 | 5 32 |
| 8,09,730 12 4 | 8,32,216 15 7 | 69,019 12 2 | 34,295 7 7 | 21,871 3 10 | 1,25,186 7 7 | 22 | 94 | 87 | 2·29 |
| 1,18,842 11 7 | 1,23,442 9 5 | 14,305 13 4 | 802 15 3 | 815 1 3 | 15,923 13 10 | 54 | 94 | 81 | ·51 |
| 4,511 2 0 | 4,590 1 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 12,491 1 3 | 15,950 12 2 | 2,549 6 4 | 502 8 8 | ... | 3,051 15 0 | 85 | 84 | 84 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | .. | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 545 1 10 | 545 1 10 | 41 3 6 | ... | ... | 41 3 6 | ... | 93 | 93 | ... |
| 14,954 12 7 | 15,549 3 3 | 2,000 8 6 | 546 9 0 | 367 7 3 | 2,914 9 6 | 39 | 88 | 84 | 1·99 |
| 88,398 9 3 | 90,165 15 0 | 6,226 4 0 | ... | 6 15 9 | 6,233 3 9 | 41 | 96 | 93 | ... |
| 1,88,115 9 6 | 1,93,434 15 4 | 19,527 7 0 | ... | 2,049 3 1 | 21,576 10 1 | 51 | 94 | 90 | ·93 |
| 120 0 0 | 120 0 0 | 0 12 0 | ... | ... | 0 12 0 | ... | 99 | 99 | ... |
| 1,323 15 10 | 1,597 2 8 | 103 12 5 | ... | 111 11 11 | 215 8 4 | 56 | 100 | 73 | 6·18 |
| 934 2 11 | 1,141 5 2 | 51 9 3 | ... | 81 13 7 | 133 6 10 | 61 | 100 | 81 | 6 43 |
| 6,492 5 1 | 6,892 14 5 | 914 10 8 | ... | 8 3 6 | 922 14 2 | 96 | 88 | 88 | ·01 |
| 6,041 4 8 | 6,175 2 7 | 439 2 8 | ... | 3 9 9 | 442 12 5 | 97 | 93 | 93 | ·05 |
| 1,024 9 1 | 1,468 14 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 100 | 100 | ... |
| 34,605 14 11 | 35,633 3 9 | 1,305 2 0 | 63 3 9 | 166 0 0 | 1,534 5 9 | 98 | 96 | 96 | ·45 |
| 4,130 1 7 | 4,130 1 7 | 38 6 8 | ... | 20 0 11 | 58 7 7 | ... | 99 | 99 | ·48 |
| 1,190 7 3 | 1,283 6 9 | 102 8 2 | ... | 33 13 1 | 136 5 2 | 60 | 92 | 90 | 2 39 |
| 4,78,721 13 4 | 5,07,120 14 1 | 47,606 10 6 | 1,915 5 5 | 3,664 0 1 | 53,186 0 0 | 56 | 94 | 91 | ·65 |
| 23,26,114 12 7 | 24,59,258 8 2 | 3,41,027 7 3 | 1,37,269 11 9 | 60,149 0 0 | 5,38,446 3 0 | 27 | 93 | 82 | 2·01 |

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the net profits or*

| Division | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate. | Receipts exclusive of debts, loans and advances recovered. Rental of revenue paying land. | Rental of revenue free land. | Total rental. | Interest on cash investments or mortgages. | Miscellaneous income. | Grand Total. | Government revenue |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| MEERUT. | Jauli ... ... | 1 | 1,783 9 3 | ... | 1,783 9 3 | 17 0 9 | 37 7 4 | 1,838 1 4 | 863 6 10 |
| | Sambalahra ... ... | 2 | 18,422 11 6 | 1,380 0 0 | 19,802 11 6 | ... | 17,667 9 10 | 37,470 5 4 | 9,441 13 8 |
| | Shaikhpura ... ... | 3 | 235 0 0 | ... | 235 0 0 | 2 8 0 | ... | 237 8 0 | 86 2 0 |
| | Karam Ali Khan ... | 4 | 21,953 11 0 | ... | 21,953 11 0 | 2,563 12 0 | 4,323 1 0 | 28,840 8 0 | 8,289 8 3 |
| | Lawar ... .. | 5 | 2,954 8 1 | ... | 2,954 8 1 | ... | 173 12 6 | 3,128 4 7 | 1,277 8 6 |
| | Muradnagar ... ... | 6 | 1,000 0 0 | ... | 1,000 0 0 | ... | 112 15 3 | 1,112 15 3 | ... |
| | Pirnagar ... ... | 7 | 2,207 11 4 | ... | 2,207 11 4 | 60 0 0 | 28 0 3 | 2,295 11 7 | 876 13 6 |
| | Bhadauli ... ... | 8 | 2,334 7 0 | ... | 2,334 7 0 | 30 0 0 | 1 14 0 | 2,366 5 0 | 944 0 8 |
| | Khurja ... ... | 9 | 2,506 2 9 | ... | 2,506 2 9 | ... | 44 4 0 | 2,550 6 9 | ... |
| | Skinner ... ... | 10 | ... | ... | ... | 2,171 14 0 | 10,696 2 11 | 12,868 0 11 | ... |
| | Jahangirabad ... ... | 11 | 8,596 12 3 | ... | 8,596 12 3 | 585 0 0 | 402 11 6 | 9,584 7 9 | 3,373 0 0 |
| | Belaun ... | 12 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Munda Khera ... ... | 13 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Total ... ... | ... | 61,994 9 2 | 1,380 0 0 | 63,374 9 2 | 5,430 2 9 | 33,487 14 7 | 1,02,292 10 6 | 25,152 5 5 |
| ROHILKHAND. | Sherkot ... ... | 14 | 1,47,192 5 0 | 5,213 15 0 | 1,52,406 4 0 | ... | 9,421 0 8 | 1,61,827 4 8 | 64,373 10 2 |
| | Kiratpur ... ... | 15 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Kishn Singh and Gokul Singh. | 16 | 887 7 0 | ... | 887 7 0 | 18 13 0 | ... | 906 4 0 | 500 0 0 |
| | Bakht Singh and Indarjit Singh. | 17 | 258 0 0 | ... | 258 0 0 | 13 10 0 | ... | 271 10 0 | 143 8 0 |
| | Shankar Lal ... ... | 18 | 310 13 0 | ... | 310 13 0 | 1 14 0 | ... | 312 11 0 | 138 0 0 |
| | Bishambhar Nath ... | 19 | 14,283 10 5 | ... | 14,283 10 5 | ... | 300 9 7 | 14,584 4 0 | 7,267 0 0 |
| | Munawwar Ali Khan ... | 20 | 11,747 6 1 | 286 4 0 | 12,033 10 1 | 1,983 5 7 | 1,663 13 0 | 15,680 12 8 | 6,700 12 6 |
| | Abdul Wahid ... ... | 21 | 286 4 0 | ... | 286 4 0 | 0 9 9 | ... | 286 13 9 | 55 14 10 |
| | Kunwar Bhim Singh ... | 22 | 4,245 3 0 | ... | 4,245 3 0 | ... | 2 2 6 | 4,247 5 6 | 1,394 9 0 |
| | Kazi Muhammad Abbas .. | 23 | 10,935 2 3 | ... | 10,935 2 3 | ... | 1 2 0 | 10,936 4 3 | 7,896 8 1 |
| | Budh Prakash ... ... | 24 | 4,031 2 1 | ... | 4,031 2 1 | ... | 5,648 11 6 | 9,679 13 7 | 1,919 11 5 |
| | Shyam Sundar and Shyam Saran. | 25 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Alapur ... ... | 26 | 168 3 0 | ... | 168 3 0 | ... | ... | 168 3 0 | 67 1 0 |
| | Deoria ... ... | 27 | 1,938 5 0 | ... | 1,938 5 0 | ... | 75 7 0 | 2,013 12 0 | 2,225 14 5 |
| | Narayanpur ... ... | 28 | 14,798 11 5 | ... | 14,798 11 5 | ... | 2,279 11 3 | 17,078 6 8 | 8,046 0 0 |
| | Nahal ... ... | 29 | 22,237 4 1 | ... | 22,237 4 1 | ... | 1,979 10 0 | 24,216 14 1 | 14,581 0 0 |
| | Fakr-ud-din Khan ... | 30 | 4,515 4 11 | 222 4 0 | 4,737 8 11 | ... | 3,390 0 7 | 8,127 9 6 | 3,152 11 11 |
| | Total ... ... | ... | 2,37,835 1 3 | 5,722 7 0 | 2,43,557 8 3 | 2,018 4 4 | 24,762 4 1 | 2,70,338 0 10 | 1,18,462 0 5 |
| AGRA. | Hardeo Prasad ... ... | 31 | 96 13 3 | ... | 96 13 3 | 11 11 6 | 2 0 0 | 110 8 9 | 40 14 6 |
| | Parna ... ... | 32 | 11,665 13 3 | ... | 11,665 13 3 | ... | 529 3 7 | 12,195 0 10 | 4,356 8 0 |
| | Awa ... ... | 33 | 5,69,534 15 10 | ... | 5,69 534 15 10 | 4,019 13 6 | 1,62,435 5 3 | 7,35,990 2 7 | 3,20,335 9 10 |
| | Khimsipur ... ... | 34 | 12,468 13 8 | 1,450 12 3 | 13,919 9 11 | ... | 524 10 5 | 14,444 4 4 | 4,449 8 9 |
| | Kudsiya Begam ... | 35 | ... | 4,988 15 8 | 4,988 15 8 | 180 0 0 | 172 4 8 | 5,341 4 4 | ... |
| | Kunj Bihari Lal ... | 36 | 7,832 7 10 | ... | 7,832 7 10 | ... | 496 15 0 | 8,328 6 19 | 4,943 0 1 |
| | Arjunpur ... ... | 37 | 18,252 6 3 | ... | 18,252 6 3 | ... | 569 3 0 | 18,821 9 3 | 9,081 15 2 |
| | Chakkarnagar ... ... | 38 | 33,102 13 1 | ... | 33,102 13 1 | ... | 2,914 12 6 | 36,017 9 7 | 19,525 9 11 |
| | Bhareh ... ... | 39 | 67,350 2 4 | ... | 67,350 2 4 | 5,702 5 5 | 784 10 2 | 73,837 1 11 | 44,088 4 0 |
| | Malhajani ... ... | 40 | 10,877 9 11 | ... | 10,877 9 11 | ... | 897 4 8 | 11,774 14 7 | 5,576 0 0 |
| | Bharthna ... ... | 41 | 5,594 8 8 | ... | 5,594 8 8 | ... | 1,712 1 5 | 7,306 10 1 | 3,113 0 0 |
| | Sirsai Nawa ... ... | 42 | 11,033 9 11 | ... | 11,033 9 11 | ... | 3,499 0 11 | 14,532 10 10 | 6,489 14 10 |
| | Uncha ... ... | 43 | 9,434 14 2 | ... | 9,434 14 2 | ... | 258 4 3 | 9,693 2 5 | 5,331 8 0 |
| | Sunasi ... ... | 44 | 7,952 13 1 | ... | 7,952 13 1 | ... | 518 9 6 | 8,471 6 7 | 4,283 15 8 |
| | Rani Damar ... ... | 45 | 1,801 7 0 | ... | 1,801 7 0 | ... | 117 2 0 | 1,918 9 0 | 1,099 1 4 |
| | Raya ... ... | 46 | 33 15 6 | ... | 33 15 6 | ... | ... | 33 15 6 | 17 3 0 |
| | Azizpur ... ... | 47 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Rampur ... ... | 48 | 8,457 14 1 | ... | 8,457 14 1 | ... | 1,787 13 7 | 10,245 11 8 | 2,738 0 2 |
| | Nagla Kisi ... ... | 49 | 1,401 5 6 | ... | 1,401 5 6 | ... | 52 11 3 | 1,454 0 9 | 701 10 6 |
| | Total ... ... | ... | 7,76,892 7 4 | 6,439 11 11 | 7,83,332 3 3 | 9,913 14 5 | 1,77,271 0 2 | 9,70,517 1 10 | 4,45,171 11 9 |

*income derived from each estate during the revenue year* 1878-79.

| Expenditure chargeable to income or profits. | | | | | | | Net profits or income of the year. | Percentage of revenue to rental receipts in column 4. | Percentage of cost of management to receipts in column 9 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cesses. | Patwári rate | Owner's rate | Occupiers' rate. | Cost of management. | Miscellaneous charges including law expenses, ordinary repairs and interest on debts and loans. | Total. | | | |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | | |
| 94 8 6 | 49 15 3 | .. | 22 1 0 | 123 0 0 | 19 14 0 | 1,172 13 7 | 665 3 9 | 48 | 6 69 |
| 1,005 10 9 | 482 11 6 | ... | 44 10 1 | 601 3 7 | 3,045 10 5 | 14,711 12 0 | 22,758 9 4 | 51 | 1 6 |
| 9 9 5 | 3 8 9 | ... | ... | .... | 9 9 6 | 108 13 8 | 128 10 4 | 36 | ... |
| 921 3 0 | 320 2 9 | ... | ... | 770 10 5 | 164 5 3 | 10,465 13 8 | 18,374 10 4 | 38 | 2·68 |
| 140 3 0 | 42 10 0 | ... | ... | 131 1 6 | 109 4 3 | 1,700 11 3 | 1,427 9 4 | 43 | 4 19 |
| ... | ... | ... | .. | 4 0 0 | 279 4 2 | 283 4 2 | 829 11 1 | ... | 0 30 |
| 37 6 0 | 14 12 0 | 9 14 10 | 15 0 4 | 90 0 0 | 5 4 6 | 1,049 3 2 | 1,246 8 5 | 39 | 3 93 |
| 104 12 6 | 43 14 6 | ... | ... | 42 0 0 | 194 14 0 | 1,329 9 8 | 1,036 11 4 | 41 | 1.31 |
| ... | . | .. | ... | 42 0 0 | 253 15 1 | 295 15 1 | 2,254 7 8 | .. | 1 65 |
| . | ... | ... | ... | 85 0 0 | 56 0 0 | 141 0 0 | 12,727 0 11 | ... | 6·6 |
| 378 5 3 | 202 4 0 | ... | ... | 615 0 0 | 494 3 5 | 5,062 12 8 | 4,521 11 1 | 39 | 6·33 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 2,784 10 5 | 1,159 14 9 | 9 14 10 | 81 11 5 | 2,503 15 6 | 4,632 4 7 | 36,321 12 11 | 65,970 13 7 | 41 | 2 44 |
| 7,230 7 11 | 4,022 0 6 | .... | .. | 5,713 4 6 | 12,062 12 6 | 93,402 3 8 | 68,425 1 0 | 44 | 3 53 |
| ... | .. | ... | ... | .. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 53 12 0 | ... | ... | ... | 6 15 6 | 0 3 6 | 560 15 0 | 345 5 0 | 56 | ·77 |
| 17 14 0 | ... | ... | ... | 2 9 0 | 0 1 1 | 164 0 1 | 107 9 11 | 55 | ·74 |
| 16 11 0 | . | ... | ... | 5 2 0 | 0 1 3 | 159 14 3 | 152 12 9 | 44 | 1 59 |
| 810 2 8 | 0 3 0 | . | ... | 143 2 0 | 2,182 7 8 | 10,402 15 4 | 4,181 4 8 | 51 | ·98 |
| 786 2 9 | 9 8 0 | ... | ... | 312 14 4 | 547 9 1 | 8,356 14 8 | 7,323 14 0 | 57 | 1·99 |
| 14 11 0 | ... | ... | ... | 10 4 0 | 1 12 3 | 82 10 1 | 204 3 8 | 20 | 3·48 |
| 164 5 9 | ... | ... | ... | 35 8 6 | 391 0 8 | 1,985 2 11 | 2,262 2 7 | 33 | ·82 |
| 864 3 0 | 43 12 9 | ... | ... | 172 9 2 | 26 15 2 | 9,003 11 2 | 1,932 9 1 | 72 | 1 67 |
| 209 9 8 | 59 0 11 | . | ... | 81 12 6 | 139 9 9 | 2,409 12 3 | 7,270 1 4 | 47 | ·85 |
| ... | .. | . | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 6 13 0 | 4 0 6 | ... | ... | .. | 0 3 3 | 78 1 9 | 90 1 3 | 40 | ... |
| 202 6 0 | 113 14 4 | ... | .. | 174 0 0 | 159 10 2 | 2,875 12 11 | ... | 100 | 8 63 |
| 760 10 0 | 587 15 0 | . | ... | 1,073 5 10 | 1,951 8 1 | 12,369 6 11 | 4,708 15 9 | 54 | 6 28 |
| 1,309 13 3 | 719 1 0 | ... | ... | 1,443 7 0 | 1,738 9 11 | 19,791 15 2 | 4,424 14 11 | 65 | 5 96 |
| 254 11 10 | 165 12 8 | ... | ... | 362 0 3 | 563 6 9 | 4,498 11 5 | 3,628 14 1 | 69 | 4 45 |
| 12,702 5 10 | 5,675 4 8 | ... | .... | 9,536 9 7 | 19,765 15 1 | 1,66,142 3 7 | 1,05,057 14 0 | 50 | 3 52 |
| 4 7 3 | 3 2 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 48 8 4 | 62 0 5 | 42 | ... |
| 490 4 0 | 170 0 0 | .. | ... | 457 3 5 | 2,297 10 2 | 7,771 9 7 | 4,423 7 3 | 38 | 3 75 |
| 36 244 14 3 | 14,230 13 0 | 2,741 8 11 | 69,865 9 11 | 30,522 3 0 | 74,340 2 9 | 5,56,780 13 8 | 1,79,209 4 11 | 58 | 4.15 |
| 575 2 1 | 260 1 4 | ... | ... | 636 0 0 | 1,038 0 11 | 6,958 13 1 | 7,485 7 3 | 36 | 4·4 |
| 147 6 5 | 67 0 0 | ... | ... | 291 0 0 | 93 12 9 | 599 3 2 | 4,742 1 2 | ... | 5 45 |
| 473 8 10 | 217 15 8 | ... | ... | 212 0 0 | 1,002 9 6 | 6,849 2 1 | 1,479 4 9 | 63 | 2.56 |
| 1,003 15 11 | 446 9 8 | ... | ... | 891 5 11 | 4 302 6 7 | 15,726 5 3 | 3,095 4 0 | 49 | 4 73 |
| 2,062 4 9 | 635 2 11 | 1 10 2 | 2,023 5 6 | 1,781 8 8 | 8,017 8 5 | 34,047 2 4 | 1,970 7 3 | 59 | 4·94 |
| 4,745 12 0 | 1,507 8 0 | ... | ... | 4,061 1 4 | 4,316 3 6 | 58,748 12 10 | 15,088 5 1 | 65 | 5·49 |
| 618 0 0 | 182 0 0 | ... | ... | 483 14 8 | 30 7 0 | 6,390 5 8 | 4,884 8 11 | 51 | 4 12 |
| 342 0 0 | 99 10 0 | | 1,439 6 3 | 362 14 9 | 1,240 15 8 | 6,597 14 8 | 708 11 5 | 55 | 4 97 |
| 666 9 2 | 195 5 11 | .. | 1,987 4 4 | 676 7 8 | 3,656 0 7 | 13,671 10 6 | 861 0 4 | 39 | 4·65 |
| 571 2 0 | 179 12 0 | ... | ... | 380 6 10 | 3,005 7 0 | 9,468 3 10 | 224 14 7 | 56 | 3 92 |
| 387 3 4 | 112 14 8 | ... | .. | 480 0 8 | 1,388 8 10 | 6,652 11 2 | 1,818 11 5 | 54 | 5 66 |
| 110 0 0 | 58 2 9 | ... | ... | 130 9 0 | 153 0 0 | 1,550 4 1 | 368 4 11 | 61 | 6 74 |
| 1 11 6 | 0 14 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 19 12 6 | 14 3 0 | 50 | ... |
| ... | | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 519 8 6 | 249 10 9 | ... | ... | 384 0 0 | 1,180 1 10 | 5,071 5 3 | 5,174 6 5 | 32 | 3·74 |
| 75 15 7 | 41 12 0 | ... | ... | 69 0 0 | 334 9 1 | 1,222 15 2 | 231 1 7 | 50 | 4 75 |
| 49,039 13 7 | 18,658 7 3 | 2,713 3 1 | 74,815 10 0 | 41,819 2 11 | 1,06,427 8 7 | 7,38,675 9 2 | 2,31,841 8 8 | 57 | 4 31 |

*Statement No. IV. showing the net profits or*

| Division. | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate. | Receipts exclusive of debts, loans and advances recovered: Rental of revenue paying land. | Rental of revenue free land. | Total rental | Interest on cash investments or mortgages | Miscellaneous income. | Grand Total. | Government revenue. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | Rs. a. p | Rs. a p | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a p. |
| Jhansi. | Kukargawan ... | 50 | 5,639 4 0 | 1,035 7 6 | 5 639 4 0 | ... | 102 3 0 | 5,741 7 0 | 2,599 8 0 |
| | Takhtauli Mahalwara. | 51 | 1,028 7 6 | 6,706 8 3 | 2,063 15 0 | ... | 27 0 0 | 2,090 15 0 | 581 15 0 |
| | Katahra ... | 52 | 2,580 6 4 | ... | 9,286 14 7 | 433 2 2 | 1,671 12 7 | 11,391 13 4 | 2,144 7 0 |
| | Chirgaon ... | 53 | 6,950 5 0 | | 6,950 5 0 | | 2,114 9 6 | 9,064 14 6 | 5,870 1 1 |
| | Ram Charan ... | 54 | 53 12 0 | 1,313 6 1 | 53 12 0 | 120 0 0 | 4 4 0 | 178 0 0 | 24 0 0 |
| | Rajwara ... | 55 | 3,067 5 4 | ... | 4,388 11 5 | ... | 282 15 9 | 4,663 11 2 | 1,703 0 0 |
| | Karora .. | 56 | 70 4 10 | ... | 70 4 10 | ... | 5 4 0 | 75 8 10 | 19 14 6 |
| | Kulna ... | 57 | 35 0 0 | ... | 35 0 0 | ... | ... | 35 0 0 | 30 8 0 |
| | Dharpura-Gidhaura, | 58 | 1,176 3 0 | | 1,176 3 0 | ... | ... | 1,176 3 0 | 884 4 0 |
| | Total ... | . | 20,601 0 0 | 9,055 5 10 | 29,656 5 10 | 533 2 2 | 4,208 0 10 | 34,417 8 10 | 13,857 9 7 |
| Allahabad. | Pankiganganj No. I. | 59 | 2,429 6 10 | ... | 2,129 6 10 | ... | 54 2 8 | 2,483 9 6 | 1,400 0 0 |
| | Jagjiwanpur ... | 60 | 1,101 6 7 | .. | 1,101 6 7 | | 274 0 1 | 1,375 6 8 | 550 0 0 |
| | Barwa Khurd ... | 61 | 2,757 7 5 | .. | 2.757 7 5 | . | 645 8 4 | 3,402 15 9 | 1,138 0 0 |
| | Parkiganganj No II. | 62 | ... | . | 49,392 7 5 | . | 280 2 0 | 280 2 0 | ... |
| | Rawatpur ... | 63 | 49,392 11 5 | ... | 49,392 11 5 | ... | 11,290 15 1 | 60,683 10 6 | 23,177 10 6 |
| | Akbarpur Sen ... | 64 | 5,653 3 8 | ... | 5,653 3 8 | ... | 656 2 6 | 6,309 6 2 | 3 260 0 0 |
| | Muminpur Ratgaon, | 65 | 4 846 13 4 | ... | 4,846 13 4 | ... | 1,109 15 9 | 5,956 13 1 | 2,959 5 10 |
| | Ghagwa Bhabhi | 66 | 623 5 9 | .. | 623 .5 9 | ... | 138 1 4 | 761 7 1 | 415 5 4 |
| | Patti Shah ... | 67 | 4,142 8 0 | | 4,142 8 0 | ... | 62 0 10 | 4,204 8 10 | 1,813 8 6 |
| | Ahmadpur Kusumbha. | 68 | 500 0 0 | ... | 500 0 0 | . | ... | 500 0 0 | ... |
| | Ata Husain Khan... | 69 | 7,156 2 9 | .. | 7,156 2 9 | ... | 148 10 3 | 7,304 13 0 | 3,940 0 0 |
| | Khan Bibi ... | 70 | 4,742 13 3 | ... | 4,742 13 3 | ... | 34 5 0 | 4,777 2 3 | 2,400 0 0 |
| | Asothar ... | 71 | 24,229 10 11 | ... | 24,229 10 11 | ... | 9,695 12 1 | 33,925 7 0 | 16,605 0 0 |
| | Bindaur . | 72 | 12,244 2 0 | .. | 12,244 2 0 | ... | 200 14 0 | 12,445 0 0 | 7,405 0 0 |
| | Makri ... | 73 | 35 14 11 | | 35 14 11 | ... | 0 4 0 | 36 2 11 | 18 5 2 |
| | Imdad Ali Beg ... | 74 | ... | | | ... | ... | ... | . . |
| | Kirwi ... | 75 | . | | | ... | | .. | ... |
| | Sarai Pitha . | 76 | 19,601 5 3 | .. | 19,601 5 3 | ... | 309 13 4 | 19,911 2 7 | 9,847 0 0 |
| | Sarai Bharat | 77 | 46,854 11 8 | .. | 46,854 11 8 | | 1,576 1 0 | 48,430 12 8 | 25,739 3 7 |
| | Gambhira ... | 78 | 23,658 13 4 | ... | 23,658 13 4 | .. | 2,130 0 6 | 25,788 13 10 | 13,088 .1 0 |
| | Manda . .. | 79 | 1,73,715 0 1 | 19,240 0 0 | 1,92 955 0 1 | | 15,214 2 11 | 2,08,169 3 0 | 92,423 14 7 |
| | Rai Pratap Chand, | 80 | 1,27,707 9 0 | . | 1,27,707 9 0 | 840 0 0 | 3,749 15 9 | 1,32,297 8 9 | 75,287 3 11 |
| | Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh | 81 | 29,337 3 9 | . | 29,337 3 9 | | 188 4 2 | 29,525 7 11 | 18,159 8 7 |
| | Shaikh Faiyaz Ali, | 82 | 35,090 5 5 | ... | 35,090 5 5 | | 4,730 3 9 | 39,820 9 2 | 19,425 13 4 |
| | Naugawan . | 83 | 1,391 15 6 | ... | 1,391 15 6 | ... | 871 15 6 | 2,263 15 0 | 15 4 3 |
| | Musammat Makhania. | 84 | 206 0 0 | ... | 206 0 0 | ... | .. | 206 0 0 | 73 0 0 |
| | Bhagesa | 85 | 1,447 0 11 | ... | 1,447 0 11 | 206 0 0 | 318 7 6 | 1,971 8 5 | |
| | Dube .. | 86 | 2,34,111 3 10 | ... | 2,34,111 3 10 | | 25,501 7 2 | 2,59,612 10 0 | 1,63,620 12 10 |
| | Total .. | . | 8,12,976 15 7 | 19,240 0 0 | 8,32,216 15 7 | 1,046 0 0 | 79,181 5 6 | 9,12,444 5 1 | 4,82,832 1 5 |
| Benares. | Majhauli ... | 87 | 1,23,442 9 5 | . | 1,23,442 9 5 | ... | 20,356 2 6 | 1,43,798 11 11 | 39,338 11 8 |
| | Chaumukha ... | 88 | 4,590 1 6 | . | 4,590 1 6 | .. | 203 13 0 | 4,793 14 6 | 2,670 6 11 |
| | Mithwal ... | 89 | 15,950 12 2 | ... | 15,950 12 2 | ... | 22,486 0 0 | 38,436 12 2 | 5,820 12 6 |
| | Addia Prasad ... | 90 | ... | ... | | ... | . | ... | ... |
| | Khoria ... | 91 | 545 1 10 | ... | 545 1 10 | . | 27 9 0 | 572 10 10 | 167 0 6 |
| | Dubari ... | 92 | 15,545 3 3 | ... | 15,549 3 3 | ... | 1,973 12 0 | 17,522 15 3 | 2,725 4 6 |
| | Agori Barhar . | 93 | 69,520 10 0 | 20,645 5 0 | 90,165 15 0 | 4,993 6 0 | 12,156 8 9 | 1,07,315 13 9 | 36,837 5 1 |
| | Kantit ... | 94 | 1,98,434 15 4 | | 1,98,434 15 5 | 14,103 12 0 | 13,290 6 0 | 2,25,829 1 4 | 70,080 9 2 |
| | Nand Kunwar Pande. | 95 | 120 0 0 | | 120 0 0 | ... | . | 120 0 0 | 45 0 0 |
| | Gopalpur No. I ... | 96 | 1,597 2 8 | | 1,597 2 8 | .. | 141 11 3 | 1,738 13 11 | 412 11 0 |
| | Gopalpur No. II. ... | 97 | 1,141 5 2 | | 1,141 5 2 | | 168 2 0 | 1,309 7 2 | 358 14 0 |
| | Tikori ... | 98 | 6,892 14 5 | | 6,892 14 5 | | 195 5 6 | 7,088 3 11 | 4,563 9 10 |
| | Ramgarh | 99 | 6,175 2 7 | | 6,175 2 7 | ... | 955 10 1 | 7,130 12 8 | 4,410 0 0 |
| | Pisur Danielpur ... | 100 | 1,468 14 8 | | 1,468 14 8 | . | 58 2 6 | 1,527 1 2 | 968 7 6 |
| | Sunwani . ... | 101 | ... | 35,633 3 9 | 35,633 3 9 | ... | 657 9 10 | 36,290 13 7 | 62 0 0 |
| | Satnarayan Pande, | 102 | 4,130 1 7 | . | 4,130 1 7 | 100 0 0 | 350 0 2 | 4,580 1 9 | 1,549 15 1 |
| | Karim-ud dinpur ... | 103 | 1,283 6 9 | ... | 1,283 6 9 | 7 9 0 | 52 10 6 | 1,343 10 3 | 758 4 0 |
| | Total ... | . | 4,50,842 5 4 | 56,278 8 9 | 5,07,120 14 1 | 19,204 11 0 | 73,073 7 1 | 5,90,399 0 2 | 1,70,768 15 9 |
| | Grand Total ... | .. | 23,61,142 6 8 | 98,116 1 6 | 24,59,258 8 2 | 38,166 2 8 | 3,91,984 0 3 | 28,89,408 11 1 | 12,56,244 0 1 |

*income derived from each estate during the revenue year* 1878-79.—(concluded.)

| Expenditure chargeable to income or profits. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cesses. | Patwári rate. | Owner's rate. | Occupier's rate. | Cost of management. | Miscellaneous charges including law expenses, ordinary repairs and interest on debts and loans. | Total. | Net profits or income of the year. | Percentage of revenue to rental receipts in column 6. | Percentage of cost of management to receipts in column 9. |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | | |
| 278 13 0 | 151 14 0 | ... | ... | 340 0 0 | 723 13 4 | 4,094 0 4 | 1,647 6 8 | 46 | 5·92 |
| 197 13 0 | 110 0 0 | ... | ... | 142 0 6 | 105 1 0 | 1,136 13 6 | 954 1 6 | 56 | 6·79 |
| 840 1 6 | 469 0 0 | ... | ... | 1,110 8 10 | 929 8 6 | 5,493 9 10 | 5,898 3 6 | 83 | 9·74 |
| 583 11 8 | 251 9 6 | ... | ... | 216 8 0 | 197 12 3 | 7,119 10 6 | 1,945 4 0 | 84 | 2·38 |
| 2 4 0 | .. | ... | ... | 37 2 8 | 10 1 0 | 73 7 8 | 104 8 4 | 44 | 20·79 |
| 307 0 6 | 154 8 0 | .. | ... | 327 4 0 | 77 7 7 | 2,569 4 1 | 2,094 7 1 | 55 | 7 01 |
| 1 15 6 | 1 15 6 | ... | ... | ... | 2 0 0 | 25 13 6 | 49 11 4 | 28 | ... |
| 3 0 0 | 1 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 35 0 0 | ... | 87 | ... |
| 154 12 0 | 65 0 0 | ... | ... | 69 1 7 | 9 9 0 | 1,182 10 7 | ... | 77 | 5·87 |
| 2,369 7 2 | 1,205 7 0 | ... | ... | 2,242 9 7 | 2,055 4 8 | 21,730 6 0 | 12,693 10 5 | 67 | 6·51 |
| 157 8 0 | 70 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 488 9 7 | 2,116 1 7 | 367 7 11 | 58 | ... |
| 62 9 7 | 27 8 0 | ... | 172 15 0 | 81 11 1 | 22 15 3 | 917 10 11 | 457 11 9 | 49 | 5·97 |
| 136 5 6 | 56 14 7 | ... | 386 2 5 | 309 10 8 | 23 4 7 | 2,055 5 7 | 1,347 10 2 | 41 | 9 11 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 280 2 0 | ... | ... |
| 2,618 10 6 | 1,047 0 0 | .. | 6,920 4 2 | 3,241 3 5 | 1,350 9 0 | 38,355 5 7 | 22,328 4 11 | 47 | 5·34 |
| 366 12 0 | 162 8 0 | ... | 259 7 8 | 160 9 9 | 634 15 0 | 4,844 4 10 | 1 465 1 4 | 58 | 2·54 |
| 327 4 10 | 151 9 0 | ... | 987 5 0 | 144 5 4 | 64 4 6 | 4,634 2 6 | 1,322 10 7 | 61 | 2·42 |
| 46 10 2 | 23 0 0 | ... | 130 7 10 | 32 14 9 | 2 11 4 | 651 1 5 | 110 5 8 | 66 | 4 33 |
| 199 11 9 | 105 3 6 | ... | ... | 237 0 0 | 2 073 1 0 | 4,428 8 9 | ... | 44 | 5 64 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 25 0 0 | 0 1 0 | 25 1 0 | 474 15 0 | ... | 5 0 |
| 433 6 4 | 183 0 3 | ... | ... | 384 8 8 | 445 12 9 | 5,386 12 0 | 1,918 1 0 | 55 | 5 26 |
| 264 1 6 | 111 6 8 | ... | ... | 190 4 4 | 152 1 5 | 3,117 13 11 | 1,659 4 4 | 51 | 3 98 |
| 1,510 7 6 | 666 10 0 | ... | ... | 1,553 12 0 | 1,940 4 1 | 22,276 2 1 | 11,649 4 11 | 68 | 4 58 |
| 815 2 1 | 325 10 7 | ... | ... | 551 9 7 | 2,097 13 5 | 11,195 3 8 | 1,249 12 4 | 60 | 4 43 |
| 0 15 0 | 0 3 3 | ... | ... | ... | 0 1 3 | 19 8 8 | 16 10 3 | 59 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | .. | .. |
| 1,083 10 7 | 492 5 7 | ... | ... | 1,180 14 6 | 7,191 8 2 | 19,795 6 10 | 115 11 9 | 50 | 5 98 |
| 2,859 6 9 | 1,285 11 10 | .. | ... | 1,515 6 9 | 5,627 9 8 | 37,027 6 7 | 11,403 6 1 | 55 | 3 12 |
| 1,447 9 11 | 654 4 4 | ... | ... | 1,192 1 7 | 5,291 0 8 | 21,673 1 6 | 4,115 12 4 | 55 | 4·62 |
| 11,374 11 4 | 6,190 8 11 | ... | ... | 3,682 15 5 | 4,466 13 11 | 1,18,139 0 2 | 90,030 2 10 | 53 | 3 69 |
| 8,393 1 5 | 3,678 14 5 | ... | ... | 7,683 8 6 | 3,035 1 10 | 98 077 14 1 | 34.219 10 8 | 59 | 5·8 |
| 2,002 8 1 | 907 7 0 | ... | ... | 396 0 0 | 1,833 4 8 | 23,298 12 4 | 6,226 11 7 | 62 | 1·34 |
| 2,149 2 3 | 990 13 1 | ... | ... | 1,697 0 4 | 11.549 2 6 | 35,881 15 6 | 3,938 9 8 | 55 | 4·26 |
| 45 6 1 | ... | ... | ... | 6 0 0 | 223 5 2 | 289 15 6 | 1,973 15 6 | ·1 | ·26 |
| 8 0 6 | 3 15 1 | ... | ... | ... | 4 14 0 | 89 13 7 | 116 2 5 | 35 | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 6 0 0 | 645 9 3 | 651 9 3 | 1 319 15 2 | ... | ·3 |
| 19,145 5 6 | 9,322 9 8 | ... | ... | 16,311 1 3 | 33,101 14 2 | 241,501 2 5 | 18,111 8 7 | 69 | 6 23 |
| 55,448 7 2 | 26,456 11 1 | ... | 8,856 10 1 | 40,583 9 11 | 82,271 12 7 | 6,96,449 4 3 | 2,16,219 0 9 | 59 | 4·44 |
| 4,322 9 11 | 1,936 10 9 | ... | ... | 7,361 14 10 | 42,145 12 7 | 95.105 11 7 | 48,693 0 4 | 32 | 5 12 |
| 322 3 0 | 158 0 4 | ... | ... | 188 12 4 | 65 6 0 | 3,399 12 7 | 1,394 1 11 | 58 | 3 82 |
| 646 3 4 | 355 12 6 | ... | .. | 816 0 0 | 291 7 0 | 7,930 3 4 | 30,506 8 10 | 37 | 2·11 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | . | ... | ... | ... |
| 19 4 7 | 10 3 6 | ... | ... | 11 10 8 | 148 2 3 | 356 5 6 | 216 5 4 | 31 | 2 09 |
| 850 9 8 | 404 2 6 | ... | ... | 1,426 0 0 | 364 15 1 | 5,770 15 9 | 11,751 15 6 | ... | ... |
| 2,635 15 11 | 3,961 13 3 | ... | ... | 7,791 15 9 | 6,759 6 2 | 57,986 8 2 | 49,329 5 7 | 53 | 7·27 |
| 9,704 15 1 | 7,044 11 6 | ... | ... | 15,604 0 0 | 13,579 4 7 | 1,16,013 8 4 | 1,09,815 9 0 | 35 | 6 99 |
| 7 9 0 | 4 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1 10 0 | 58 3 0 | 61 13 0 | 37 | ... |
| 9 0 4 | 47 9 5 | ... | ... | 58 14 5 | 435 2 4 | 963 5 6 | 775 8 5 | 26 | 3 39 |
| 7 8 9 | 33 0 7 | ... | ... | 50 1 7 | 188 7 0 | 637 15 11 | 671 7 3 | 31 | 3 82 |
| 409 1 11 | 212 13 0 | ... | ... | 367 0 0 | 387 4 0 | 5,939 13 3 | 1 148 6 8 | 66 | 5 18 |
| 343 10 6 | 198 0 0 | ... | ... | 291 0 0 | 906 3 9 | 6,148 14 3 | 981 14 5 | 71 | 4 08 |
| 86 9 7 | 72 6 9 | ... | ... | 60 0 0 | 25 5 0 | 1,212 12 10 | 314 4 4 | 66 | 3·92 |
| 1,549 4 6 | 1,135 8 0 | ... | ... | 1,577 0 0 | 33,926 12 7 | 38,250 9 1 | .. | ... | 4 34 |
| 208 1 3 | 119 13 8 | ... | ... | 360 8 8 | 207 9 0 | 2,445 15 8 | 2,134 2 1 | 38 | 7 86 |
| 70 14 4 | 44 10 0 | ... | ... | 104 0 0 | 29 7 3 | 1,007 3 6 | 336 6 9 | 59 | 7 81 |
| 21,193 9 8 | 15,739 3 9 | ... | ... | 36,063 14 3 | 99,462 2 1 | 3,43,227 14 3 | 2,58,130 13 5 | 38 | 6 02 |
| 1,43,535 5 10 | 68,895 0 6 | 2,753 1 11 | 83,753 15 6 | 1,32,749 13 9 | 3,14,615 0 | 20,02,547 2 2 | 8,89,913 12 10 | 53 | 4 59 |

STATEMENT No. V.—*Personal and other expenditure not chargeable*

| Division. | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate. | Net profits or income of the year as shown in column 18 in statement No. IV. | Personal Expenses. Ordinary. | Extraordinary. | Total. | Percentage to net profits or income. | Educational charges. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3. | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| MEERUT. | Jauli ... ... ... | 1 | 665 3 9 | 360 0 0 | 43 7 6 | 403 7 6 | 61 | 18 8 0 |
| | Sambalahra ... ... | 2 | 22,758 9 4 | 3,940 0 0 | 1,315 13 0 | 5,255 13 0 | 23 | 21 9 0 |
| | Shaikhpura ... ... | 3 | 128 10 4 | 72 0 0 | ... | 72 0 0 | 56 | ... |
| | Karam Ali Khan ... ... | 4 | 18,374 10 4 | 4,210 0 0 | 3,030 4 0 | 7,240 4 0 | 39 | ... |
| | Lawar ... ... ... | 5 | 1,427 9 4 | 300 0 0 | 200 0 0 | 500 0 0 | 35 | ... |
| | Muradnagar ... ... | 6 | 829 11 1 | 275 0 0 | ... | 275 0 0 | 33 | ... |
| | Pirnagar ... ... ... | 7 | 1,246 8 5 | 240 0 0 | ... | 240 0 0 | 20 | ... |
| | Bhadauli ... ... ... | 8 | 1,036 11 4 | 240 0 0 | ... | 240 0 0 | 23 | ... |
| | Khurja ... ... ... | 9 | 2,254 7 8 | 300 0 0 | ... | 300 0 0 | 13 | ... |
| | Skinner ... ... ... | 10 | 12,727 0 11 | 1,098 1 2 | 750 0 0 | 1,848 1 2 | 14 | 2,987 4 0 |
| | Jahangirabad ... ... | 11 | 4,521 11 1 | 1,159 5 0 | 3,000 0 0 | 4,159 5 0 | 100 | 9 0 0 |
| | Belaun ... ... ... | 12 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Munda Khera ... ... | 13 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 65,970 13 7 | 12,194 6 2 | 8,339 8 6 | 20,533 14 8 | 46 | 3,036 5 0 |
| ROHILKHAND. | Sherkot ... ... ... | 14 | 68,425 1 0 | 7,460 0 0 | 2,873 14 8 | 10,333 14 8 | 15 | ... |
| | Kiratpur ... ... ... | 15 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Kishn Singh and Gokul Singh ... | 16 | 345 5 0 | 153 11 6 | 159 14 9 | 313 10 3 | 82 | ... |
| | Bakht Singh and Indarjit Singh ... | 17 | 107 9 11 | 66 8 0 | 30 0 0 | 96 8 0 | 89 | ... |
| | Shankar Lal ... ... | 18 | 152 12 9 | 74 13 0 | ... | 74 13 0 | 49 | ... |
| | Bishambhar Nath ... ... | 19 | 4,181 4 8 | 1,080 0 0 | 93 4 6 | 1,173 4 6 | 28 | 13 5 |
| | Munawwar Ali Khan ... ... | 20 | 7,328 14 0 | 600 0 0 | 164 13 6 | 764 13 6 | 10 | ... |
| | Abdul Wahid ... ... | 21 | 204 3 8 | 180 0 0 | ... | 180 0 0 | 88 | ... |
| | Kunwar Bhim Singh .. ... | 22 | 2,262 2 7 | 2,176 7 6 | ... | 2,176 7 6 | 96 | ... |
| | Kazi Muhammad Abbas ... | 23 | 1,932 9 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Budh Prakash ... ... | 24 | 7,270 1 4 | 343 5 5 | 6 9 0 | 349 14 5 | 5 | ... |
| | Shyam Sundar and Shyam Saran ... | 25 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Alapur ... .. ... | 26 | 90 1 3 | 90 1 3 | ... | 90 1 3 | 100 | ... |
| | Deoria ... ... ... | 27 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Narayanpur ... ... | 28 | 4,708 15 9 | 825 0 0 | 180 0 0 | 1,005 0 0 | 21 | ... |
| | Nahal ... ... ... | 29 | 4,424 14 11 | 800 0 0 | 450 0 0 | 1,250 0 0 | 28 | 188 2 6 |
| | Fakr-ud-din Khan ... ... | 30 | 3,628 14 1 | 656 0 0 | ... | 656 0 0 | 18 | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 105,057 14 0 | 14,505 14 8 | 3,958 8 5 | 18,464 7 1 | 17 | 201 7 10 |
| AGRA | Hardeo Prasad ... ... | 31 | 62 0 5 | 35 0 0 | ... | 35 0 0 | 56 | ... |
| | Parna .. ... ... | 32 | 4,423 7 3 | 616 8 0 | 1,183 2 0 | 1,799 10 0 | 41 | 8 0 0 |
| | Awa ... ... ... | 33 | 1,79,209 4 14 | 71,771 11 9 | 27,040 12 6 | 98,812 11 3 | 55 | 200 2 3 |
| | Khimsipur ... ... ... | 34 | 7,485 7 3 | 1,900 0 0 | 1,189 7 7 | 3,089 7 7 | 41 | 97 0 0 |
| | Kudsiya Begam ... ... | 35 | 4,742 1 2 | 756 9 3 | ... | 756 9 3 | 16 | ... |
| | Kunj Bihari Lal ... ... | 36 | 1,479 4 9 | 540 0 0 | 20 0 0 | 560 0 0 | 39 | ... |
| | Arjunpur ... ... | 37 | 3,095 4 0 | 1,000 0 0 | ... | 1,000 0 0 | 32 | ... |
| | Chakkarnagar ... ... | 38 | 1,970 7 3 | 2,100 0 0 | 98 14 6 | 2,198 14 6 | 106 | ... |
| | Bhareh ... ... | 39 | 15,088 5 1 | 13,000 0 0 | 300 0 0 | 13,300 0 0 | 88 | ... |
| | Malhajani ... ... | 40 | 4 884 8 11 | 1,610 0 0 | 274 9 0 | 1,884 9 0 | 38 | 14 0 0 |
| | Bharthna ... ... | 41 | 708 11 5 | 450 0 0 | ... | 450 0 0 | 63 | ... |
| | Sirsai Nawa ... ... | 42 | 861 0 4 | 750 0 0 | ... | 750 0 0 | 87 | ... |
| | Uncha ... ... | 43 | 224 14 7 | 360 0 0 | ... | 360 0 0 | 100 | ... |
| | Sunasi ... ... | 44 | 1,818 11 5 | 1,200 0 0 | ... | 1,200 0 0 | 66 | ... |
| | Rani Damar ... ... | 45 | 368 4 11 | 260 0 0 | ... | 260 0 0 | 71 | ... |
| | Raya ... ... | 46 | 14 3 0 | 11 11 0 | ... | 11 11 0 | 82 | ... |
| | Azizpur ... ... | 47 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Rampur ... ... | 48 | 5,174 6 5 | 844 4 0 | 5 0 0 | 849 4 0 | 16 | ... |
| | Nagla Kisi ... ... | 49 | 231 1 7 | 324 4 0 | 5 0 0 | 329 4 0 | 100 | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 2,31,841 8 8 | 97,530 0 0 | 30,117 0 7 | 1,27,647 0 7 | 55 | 319 2 3 |
| JHANSI. | Kukargawan ... ... | 50 | 1,647 6 8 | 180 0 0 | ... | 180 0 0 | 11 | 4 0 0 |
| | Takhtauli Mahalwara ... ... | 51 | 954 1 6 | 480 0 0 | ... | 480 0 0 | 50 | ... |
| | Katahra ... ... | 52 | 5,898 3 6 | 2 738 0 0 | 571 0 0 | 3,309 0 0 | 56 | 883 6 3 |
| | Chirgaon ... ... | 53 | 1,945 4 0 | 1,760 0 0 | 159 0 0 | 1,919 0 0 | 98 | 105 6 7 |
| | Ram Charan ... ... | 54 | 104 8 4 | 88 8 6 | ... | 88 8 6 | 84 | ... |
| | Rajwara ... ... | 55 | 2,094 7 1 | 379 6 0 | ... | 379 6 0 | 18 | ... |
| | Karora ... ... | 56 | 49 11 4 | 43 9 10 | ... | 43 9 10 | 88 | ... |
| | Kulna ... ... | 57 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Dhurpura-Gidhaura ... ... | 58 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 12,693 10 5 | 5,669 8 4 | 730 0 0 | 6,399 8 4 | 50 | 992 12 10 |

*to profits in Statement No. 4.*

| Miscellaneous disbursements. | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Works of improvement. | Takavi advances. | Repayment of principal of loans made by Court of wards or Government. | Repayment of principal of other debts. | Purchase of Government securities. | Purchase of landed property and houses. | Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise. | Total personal expenditure and miscellaneous disbursements. | Total expenditure of estate during the year. |
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs a. p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 277 1 0 | ... | ... | ... | 505 1 0 | ... | ... | 1,204 1 6 | 2,376 15 1 |
| ... | ... | ... | 19,175 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 24,452 6 0 | 39,164 2 0 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 102 8 0 | ... | ... | 174 8 0 | 283 5 8 |
| 1,895 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 7,000 0 0 | ... | 16,125 12 0 | 26,591 9 8 |
| ... | ... | 1,000 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 3,000 0 0 | 4,500 0 0 | 6,200 11 3 |
| ... | ... | ... | 420 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 695 0 0 | 978 4 2 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 240 0 0 | 1,289 3 2 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 470 10 0 | ... | ... | 710 10 0 | 2,040 3 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | 900 0 0 | ... | 1,442 8 3 | ... | 2,642 8 3 | 2,038 7 4 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,835 5 2 | 4,976 5 2 |
| 311 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4,479 13 0 | 9,542 9 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 2474 1 0 | ... | 1,000 0 0 | 20,495 0 0 | 1,078 3 0 | 8,442 8 3 | 3,000 0 0 | 60,059 15 11 | 96,381 12 10 |
| 1,055 12 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 11,989 11 2 | 1,05,301 14 10 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 18 13 0 | ... | ... | 332 7 3 | 893 6 3 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 28 10 0 | ... | ... | 125 2 0 | 289 2 1 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 81 14 0 | ... | ... | 156 11 0 | 316 9 3 |
| ... | ... | 3,000 0 0 | 195 14 6 | ... | ... | ... | 4,382 8 4 | 14,785 7 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 6,000 0 0 | 138 7 0 | ... | 6,903 4 6 | 15,260 3 2 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | 70 9 9 | ... | ... | 250 9 9 | 333 3 10 |
| ... | ... | ... | 1,042 15 0 | ... | ... | ... | 3,219 6 6 | 5,204 9 5 |
| ... | ... | ... | 1.200 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1,200 0 0 | 10,203 11 2 |
| ... | ... | ... | 5,674 14 7 | ... | ... | ... | 6,024 13 0 | 8,434 9 3 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 90 1 3 | 168 3 0 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,875 12 11 |
| ... | 408 0 0 | ... | 4,960 10 11 | ... | ... | ... | 6 373 10 11 | 18,743 1 10 |
| 374 11 0 | 400 0 0 | 4,429 14 0 | .. | ... | ... | ... | 6 642 11 6 | 20,434 10 8 |
| ... | 50 0 0 | ... | 1,261 3 6 | ... | ... | ... | 1,967 8 6 | 6,465 14 11 |
| 2,080 7 6 | 858 0 0 | 7,429 14 0 | 14,335 10 6 | 6,199 14 9 | 138 7 0 | ... | 49.658 4 8 | 2,15,800 8 3 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 35 0 0 | 83 8 4 |
| ... | ... | 1,162 7 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,970 1 5 | 10,741 11 0 |
| 3,149 0 5 | 4,119 8 0 | ... | 1,343 11 3 | 1,25,000 0 0 | 200 0 0 | 14,500 0 0 | 2,47,325 1 2 | 8,04,105 14 10 |
| 42 0 0 | 75 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3,303 7 7 | 10,262 4 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 756 9 3 | 1,355 12 5 |
| ... | ... | 834 5 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,394 5 10 | 8.243 7 11 |
| ... | ... | 1,891 7 8 | 1,240 5 11 | ... | 20 0 0 | ... | 4,151 13 7 | 19,878 2 10 |
| 103 15 4 | ... | 9 8 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,312 6 4 | 36,359 8 8 |
| 441 10 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 13,741 10 6 | 72,490 7 4 |
| ... | ... | .. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,898 9 0 | 8,788 14 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | 13,000 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1,3450 0 0 | 20,047 14 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | 259 8 1 | ... | ... | ... | 1,009 8 1 | 14,681 2 7 |
| ... | ... | .200 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 560 0 0 | 10,028 3 10 |
| ... | ... | ... | 549 7 9 | ... | ... | ... | 1,749 7 9 | 8,402 2 11 |
| ... | ... | 100 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 360 0 0 | 1,910 4 1 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 11 11 0 | 31 7 6 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| ... | ... | 5,676 12 9 | 200 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 6,726 0 9 | 11,797 6 0 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 329 4 0 | 1,552 3 2 |
| 3,736 10 3 | 4,194 8 0 | 9,874 10 2 | 16,593 1 0 | 1,25,000 0 0 | 220 0 0 | 14,500 0 0 | 3,02,085 0 3 | 10,40,760 9 5 |
| .. | 140 0 0 | ... | 994 2 1 | ... | ... | ... | 1,318 2 1 | 5,412 2 5 |
| ... | . | ... | 490 0 0 | ... | .. | ... | 970 0 0 | 2,106 13 6 |
| ... | 403 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | .. | 250 0 0 | 4,845 6 3 | 10,339 0 1 |
| ... | ... | . | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,021 6 7 | 9,144 1 1 |
| ... | ... | . | . | ... | ... | ... | 88 8 6 | 162 0 2 |
| ... | ... | ... | 1,606 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1,985 14 0 | 4,555 2 1 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 43 9 10 | 69 7 4 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | .. | 35 0 0 |
| ... | ... | .. | .. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,182 10 7 |
| ... | 543 0 0 | ... | 3,090 10 1 | ... | ... | 250 0 0 | 11,275 15 3 | 33,006 53 |

*Statement No. V.— Personal and other expenditure*

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate. | Net profits or income during the year as shown in column 18 in statement No. IV. | Personal expenses: Ordinary. | Personal expenses: Extra-ordinary. | Personal expenses: Total. | Percentage to net profits or income. | Educational charges. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | | Rs. a. p. |
| ALLAHABAD. | Pankigangaganj, No. I. ... | 59 | 367 7 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Jagjiwanpur ... ... | 60 | 457 11 9 | 255 0 0 | 3 0 0 | 258 0 0 | 56 | ... |
| | Barwa Khurd ... ... | 61 | 1,347 10 2 | ... | 9 0 0 | 9 0 0 | ... | ... |
| | Pankiganganganj No. II ... | 62 | 280 2 0 | 280 2 0 | ... | 280 2 0 | 100 | ... |
| | Rawatpur ... ... ... | 63 | 22,328 4 11 | 6,233 4 2 | 410 6 5 | 6,643 10 7 | 29 | ... |
| | Akbarpur Son ... ... | 64 | 1,465 1 4 | 390 0 0 | ... | 390 0 0 | 27 | ... |
| | Muminpur Ratgaon ... ... | 65 | 1,322 10 7 | 900 0 0 | ... | 900 0 0 | 68 | ... |
| | Ghagwa Bhabhi ... ... | 66 | 110 5 8 | 72 0 0 | ... | 72 0 0 | 65 | ... |
| | Patti Shah ... ... | 67 | ... | 310 0 0 | ... | 310 0 0 | ... | ... |
| | Ahmadpur Kusumbha... ... | 68 | 474 15 0 | 80 0 0 | ... | 80 0 0 | 17 | 17 11 10 |
| | Ata Husain Khan ... ... | 69 | 1,918 1 0 | 400 0 0 | ... | 400 0 0 | 21 | ... |
| | Khan Bibi ... ... | 70 | 1,659 4 4 | 312 0 0 | ... | 312 0 0 | 19 | ... |
| | Asothar ... ... ... | 71 | 11 649 4 11 | 3,600 0 0 | ... | 3,600 0 0 | 31 | ... |
| | Bindaur ... ... ... | 72 | 1,249 12 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Makri .. ... ... | 73 | 16 10 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Imdad Ali Beg ... ... | 74 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Kirwi ... ... ... | 75 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Sarai Pitha ... ... | 76 | 115 11 9 | 1,080 0 0 | 25 0 0 | 1,105 0 0 | 100 | ... |
| | Sarai Bharat ... ... | 77 | 11,403 6 1 | 1,080 0 0 | 50 0 0 | 1,130 0 0 | 9 | ... |
| | Gambhira ... ... ... | 78 | 4,115 12 4 | 996 0 0 | ... | 996 0 0 | 24 | ... |
| | Manda ... ... ... | 79 | 90 030 2 10 | 12,587 7 8 | 2,700 0 0 | 15,237 7 8 | 17 | 760 0 0 |
| | Rai Pratap Chand .. ... | 80 | 34,219 10 8 | 5,313 14 11 | 2,581 6 3 | 7,895 5 2 | 23 | 225 7 4 |
| | Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh ... | 81 | 6,226 11 7 | 2,100 0 0 | 257 0 0 | 2,357 0 0 | 38 | ... |
| | Shaikh Faiyaz Ali ... ... | 82 | 3,938 9 8 | 1,352 1 4 | 576 7 9 | 1,928 9 1 | 46 | ... |
| | Nangawan ... ... | 83 | 1,973 15 6 | 550 0 0 | 600 0 0 | 1,150 0 6 | 59 | ... |
| | Musammat Makhania ... ... | 84 | 116 2 5 | 86 0 0 | ... | 86 0 0 | 74 | ... |
| | Bhagesa ... ... ... | 85 | 1,319 15 2 | 253 8 0 | 250 0 0 | 503 8 0 | 38 | ... |
| | Dube ... ... ... | 86 | 18,111 8 7 | 9,578 0 0 | ... | 9,578 0 0 | 53 | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 2,16,219 0 9 | 47,759 6 1 | 7,462 4 5 | 55.221 10 6 | 25 | 1,003 3 2 |
| BENARES. | Majhauli ... ... ... | 87 | 48,693 0 4 | 9,000 0 0 | 2,477 3 6 | 11,477 3 6 | 24 | ... |
| | Chaumukha ... ... | 88 | 1,394 1 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Mithwal ... ... ... | 89 | 30,506 8 10 | 2,302 0 0 | 270 0 0 | 2,572 0 0 | 8 | 86 15 6 |
| | Addia Parshad ... ... | 90 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Khoria ... ... ... | 91 | 216 5 4 | 100 0 0 | 79 1 2 | 179 1 2 | 83 | ... |
| | Dubari ... ... ... | 92 | 11,751 15 8 | 10,100 8 0 | ... | 10,100 8 0 | 86 | ... |
| | Agori Barhar ... ... | 93 | 49,329 5 7 | 24,106 10 6 | 243 2 6 | 24,349 13 0 | 49 | ... |
| | Kantit ... ... ... | 94 | 1,09,815 9 0 | 32,832 10 2 | 30,415 12 1 | 63,248 6 3 | 58 | 2,675 0 0 |
| | Nand Kunwar Pande ... ... | 95 | 61 13 0 | 39 0 0 | 27 0 0 | 66 0 0 | 100 | ... |
| | Gopalpur No. I ... ... | 96 | 775 8 5 | 146 0 0 | 50 0 0 | 196 0 0 | 25 | ... |
| | Gopalpur No. II ... .. | 97 | 671 7 3 | 128 0 0 | 121 0 0 | 249 0 0 | 37 | 169 11 6 |
| | Tikori .. . ... | 98 | 1,148 6 8 | 717 3 10 | 90 0 0 | 807 3 10 | 70 | 645 0 0 |
| | Ramgarh ... ... ... | 99 | 981 14 5 | 624 0 0 | ... | 624 0 0 | 64 | ... |
| | Pisur Danielpur .. ... | 100 | 314 4 4 | 312 13 6 | ... | 312 13 6 | 80 | 12 5 0 |
| | Sunwani ... .. ... | 101 | ... | 3,000 0 0 | ... | 3,000 0 0 | ... | ... |
| | Satnarayan Pande ... ... | 102 | 2,134 2 1 | 1,080 0 0 | 180 0 0 | 1,260 0 0 | 59 | 120 0 0 |
| | Karim-ud-dinpur ... ... | 103 | 336 6 9 | 120 0 0 | ... | 120 0 0 | 36 | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 2,58,130 13 5 | 84,608 14 0 | 33,953 3 3 | 1,18,562 1 3 | 46 | 3,709 0 0 |
| | GRAND TOTAL ... | ... | 8,89,913 12 10 | 2,62,268 1 3 | 84,560 9 2 | 3,46,828 10 5 | 39 | 9,261 15 0 |

*not chargeable to profits in statement No. IV.*—(concluded).

| Miscellaneous disbursements | | | | | | | Total personal expenditure and miscellaneous disbursements | Total expenditure of estate during the year. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Works of improvement | Takavi advances | Repayment of principal of loans made by Court of Wards or Government. | Repayment of principal of other debts | Purchase of Government securities | Purchase of landed property and houses | Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise. | | |
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. |
| ... | | 242 9 5 | . | ... | ... | ... | 242 9 5 | 2,358 11 0 |
| .. | | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 258 0 0 | 1,175 10 11 |
| . | 40 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 49 0 0 | 2,104 5 7 |
| ... | ... | | | ... | ... | ... | 280 2 0 | 280 2 0 |
| ... | | | . | ... | ... | .. . | 6,643 10 7 | 44,999 0 2 |
| ... | 200 0 0 | ... | 1,075 0 0 | .. | | . | 1,665 0 0 | 6,509 4 10 |
| 300 0 0 | | | . . | ... | ... | ... | 1,200 0 0 | 5,834 2 6 |
| | . | . | . | ... | .. | ... | 72 0 0 | 728 1 5 |
| ... | .... | 322 13 8 | 4,776 1 0 | ... | ... | ... | 5,408 14 8 | 9,837 7 5 |
| ... | ... | .. | ... | .. | | ... | 97 11 10 | 122 12 10 |
| ... | ... | 1,518 3 3 | .. | ... | | . | 1,918 3 3 | 7,304 15 3 |
| . | .. . | 1,267 13 10 | .. | ... | . | ... | 1,579 13 10 | 14,697 11 9 |
| ... | 1,103 0 0 | ... | 4,590 0 0 | ... | .. | ... | 9,293 0 0 | 31,569 2 1 |
| ... | ... | 1,468 15 3 | ... | | | . | 1,468 15 3 | 12,664 2 11 |
| ... | ... | ... , . | . | ... | ... | .. | | 19 8 8 |
| ... | ... | | ... | ... | .. | . | .. | ... |
| ... | . | ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | |
| . | 200 0 0 | ... | .. | ... | .. | ... | 1,305 0 0 | 21,100 6 10 |
| 440 0 0 | .. | | 9,237 5 0 | ... | 741 0 0 | ... | 11,548 5 0 | 43,575 11 7 |
| ... | | 2,290 8 4 | . | ... | .. | ... | 3,286 8 4 | 24,959 9 10 |
| 2,461 0 0 | | ... | 275 12 0 | ... | 2,856 6 0 | 5,500 0 0 | 27,090 9 8 | 1,45,229 9 10 |
| 5,887 8 9 | 30 0 0 | ... | 131 0 3 | ... | 53 9 0 | 5,978 0 0 | 20,200 14 6 | 1,18,278 12 7 |
| | . | 3,909 10 10 | | ... | ... | | 6,266 10 10 | 29,565 7 2 |
| 955 10 0 | . | .. | 983 12 9 | ... | .. | ... | 3,867 15 10 | 39,749 15 4 |
| | .. | ... | 637 4 9 | | 248 0 0 | ... | 2,035 4 9 | 2,325 4 3 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | . | ... | ... | 86 0 0 | 175 13 7 |
| . | ... | | 700 0 0 | . | ... | ... | 1,203 8 0 | 1,855 1 3 |
| | ... | ... | 3,12,863 0 10 | ... | ... | ... | 3,22,441 0 10 | 5,63,942 3 3 |
| 10,044 2 9 | 1,573 0 0 | 11,020 10 7 | 3,35,269 4 7 | ... | 3,898 15 0 | 11,478 0 0 | 4,29,508 14 7 | 11,25,958 2 10 |
| 92 8 6 | ... | 33,359 15 3 | . | | 1,117 13 3 | ... | 46,047 8 6 | 1,41,153 4 1 |
| ... | ... | ... | .. | ... | | | ... | 3,399 12 7 |
| ... | | .. | 29,570 15 7 | ... | ... | ... | 32,229 15 1 | 40,160 2 5 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | | ... | ... | .. | |
| ... | | ... | ... | ... | ... | . | 179 1 2 | 535 6 8 |
| 261 1 10 | .. | ... | 1,005 12 2 | | ... | ... | 11 367 6 0 | 17,138 5 9 |
| 4,269 14 2 | . | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 28,619 11 2 | 86,606 3 4 |
| 15,720 9 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 983 2 9 | ... | 82,627 2 10 | 1,98,640 11 2 |
| ... | ... | . | ... | ... | ... | ... | 66 0 0 | 124 3 0 |
| ... | | 511 13 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 707 13 5 | 1,671 2 11 |
| ... | ... | 800 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,218 11 6 | 1,856 11 5 |
| 250 0 0 | ... | ... | 500 0 0 | | | ... | 2,202 3 10 | 8,142 1 1 |
| ... | ... | ... | 2,225 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 2,849 0 0 | 8 997 14 8 |
| ... | ... | ... | . | ... | ... | | 325 2 6 | 1,537 15 4 |
| . | . | .. | ... | ... | | | 3,000 0 0 | 41,250 9 1 |
| 50 0 0 | ... | ... | | | .. | | 1,430 0 0 | 3,375 15 8 |
| | ... | ... | ... | 200 0 0 | | | 320 0 0 | 1,327 3 6 |
| 20,644 2 4 | ... | 34,671 12 8 | 33,301 11 9 | 200 0 0 | 2,101 0 0 | | 2,13,189 12 0 | 5,56,417 10 8 |
| 38,929 7 10 | 7,168 8 0 | 63,996 15 5 | 4,23,085 5 11 | 1,32,478 1 9 | 14,800 14 3 | 29,228 0 0 | 10,65,777 14 8 | 30,68,325 0 10 |

STATEMENT No. VI — *List of improvements undertaken and wholly or partially completed during the revenue year* 1878-79.

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate | Name of estate. | Description of work undertaken. | Amount originally sanctioned for the work. | Expended up to the commencement of the year. | Expended during the year. | Balance required to complete the work. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| MEERUT | Muzaffarnagar | 1 | Jauli | Repairs to family residence at Jauli | 350 0 0 | ... | 277 1 0 | ... |
| | Meerut | 4 | Karam Ali Khan | Construction of three masonry wells | 2,000 0 0 | ... | 1,885 8 0 | ... |
| | Bulandshahr | 11 | Jahangirabad | Repairs to wells | 311 8 0 | ... | 311 8 0 | 94 0 0 |
| | | | | Do. to houses | 837 10 3 | ... | 1,347 1 9 | ... |
| ROHILKHAND | Bijnor | 14 | Sherkot | Paving of road leading to the house at Sherkot | 1,420 0 0 | 365 5 2 | 151 11 1 | ... |
| | | | | Erection of a house for patwári | ... | ... | 37 11 2 | ... |
| | | | | Repairs to wells | ... | ... | 119 4 6 | ... |
| | Sháhjahanpur | 29 | Nahal | Construction and repairs to wells | 831 14 0 | 250 0 0 | 374 11 0 | 300 0 0 |
| AGRA | Agra | 33 | Awa | Construction of new kothi | 2,450 0 0 | ... | 1,701 10 6 | ... |
| | | | | Do, of road and drain in the fort | 1,030 0 0 | ... | 211 8 0 | 818 8 0 |
| | | | | Do, of bazar shops | 72 0 0 | ... | 12 14 0 | ... |
| | | | | Do, of deputy manager's bungalow | 2,500 0 0 | ... | 290 0 0 | 2,210 0 0 |
| | | | | Agricultural improvements | 5,000 0 0 | ... | 10 1 4 | ... |
| | | | | Drainage | 10,000 0 0 | ... | 361 6 3 | ... |
| | | | | Arboriculture | | ... | 49 6 7 | ... |
| | | | | Repairs to wells | | ... | 512 1 9 | ... |
| | Farukhabad | 34 | Khimsipur | Do, do. | 100 0 0 | 50 0 0 | 42 0 0 | ... |
| | Etáwah | 38 | Chakkarnagar | Construction of a masonry well | 283 0 0 | 175 0 0 | 103 15 4 | |
| | | 39 | Bhareh | Do, and repairs to houses | 12,293 0 0 | 10,437 6 9 | 441 10 6 | 1,413 14 9 |
| | Cawnpore | 65 | Muminpur Ratgaon | Do, of platforms to two masonry wells | 300 0 0 | ... | 300 0 0 | ... |
| ALLAHABAD | Allahabad | 77 | Sarai Bharat | Repairs to houses | 200 0 0 | ... | 200 0 0 | ... |
| | | | | Do, to wells | 240 0 0 | ... | 240 0 0 | ... |
| | | 79 | Manda | Do, to houses | 4,000 0 0 | ... | 2,461 0 0 | ... |
| | | | | Do., ditto | 1,000 0 0 | ... | 674 0 6 | ... |
| | | 80 | Rai Pratap Chand | Do, to embankments and drains | 800 0 0 | ... | 890 0 0 | ... |
| | | | | Do, and construction of wells | 4,750 0 0 | ... | 4,323 8 3 | ... |
| | | 82 | Shaikh Faiyaz Ali | Do, to houses and bungalow | 900 0 0 | ... | 955 10 0 | ... |
| BENARES | Gorakhpur | 87 | Majhauli | Survey of Barhaj road | 2,500 0 0 | ... | 92 8 6 | 2,407 7 6 |
| | Azamgarh | 92 | Dubari | Construction of a pucka well and planting fruit trees | ... | | 261 1 10 | ... |
| | | 93 | Agori Barhar | Construction and repairs to embankments | 2,615 14 0 | 468 1 6 | 1,806 0 0 | 148 0 0 |
| | | | | Ditto to wells and tanks | 4,152 0 0 | 1,162 9 0 | 2,005 5 2 | 684 0 0 |
| | | | | Repairs to palace and houses | 1,500 0 0 | ... | 446 11 3 | 523 0 0 |
| | | | | Do, to roads | 25 0 0 | ... | 11 13 9 | ... |
| | Mirzapur | 94 | Kantit | Construction and repairs to wells, tanks, and embankments, | 18,208 0 0 | ... | 8,417 9 5 | ... |
| | | | | Repairs to houses | 2,000 0 0 | ... | 1,509 9 9 | ... |
| | | | | Planting trees | 700 0 0 | ... | 205 6 7 | ... |
| | | | | Surveying jungle &c, | 3,886 0 0 | ... | 3,850 0 1 | ... |
| | | | | Making boundary pillars | 2,000 0 0 | ... | 1,738 0 0 | ... |
| | Gházipur | 98 | Tikori | Repairs to houses | 250 0 0 | ... | 250 0 0 | ... |
| | | 102 | Sátnárayan Pande | Planting fruit bearing trees | 350 0 0 | ... | 50 0 0 | 300 0 0 |
| | | | | Total | 89,855 14 3 | 12,908 6 5 | 38,929 7 10 | 8,898 14 3 |

STATEMENT No. VII.—*Debts paid off from date of superintendence to close of the revenue year* 1878-79.

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate. | Name of estate. | Superintendence when assumed. | Debts due when superintendence was first assumed. | Incurred after superintendence was assumed. | Since paid off exclusive of interest. | Balance due at the close of the year. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | |
| Meerut. | Muzaffarnagar, | 1 | Jauli ... ... | 16th January, 1871 ... | 45,817 10 11 | ... | 45,817 10 11 | ... | |
| | Ditto . | 2 | Sambalahra ... | 15th January, 1870 ... | 1,16,853 9 11 | 4,202 3 0 | 90,555 12 11 | 30,500 0 0 | |
| | Meerut ... | 3 | Shaikhpura ... | 14th March, 1871 ... | 200 0 0 | ... | 200 0 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 4 | Karam Ali Khan ... | 10th June, 1872 ... | 15,786 11 6 | ... | 15,786 11 6 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 5 | Lawar ... ... | 23rd December, 1872... | 4,676 4 5 | 1,000 0 0 | 5,676 4 5 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 6 | Muradnagar ... | 26th June, 1876 ... | 6,605 0 0 | ... | 1 051 0 0 | 5,554 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 7 | Pírnagar ... | 31st January, 1877 ... | 20,862 7 0 | ... | 20,862 7 0 | ... | |
| | Bulandshahr .. | 11 | Jahangírabad ... | 4th August, 1871 ... | 4,191 14 0 | ... | 4,191 14 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto .. | 13 | Munda Khera ... | 17th May, 1879 ... | 1,200 0 0 | ... | ... | 1,200 0 0 | |
| | | | | Total ... | 2,16,193 9 9 | 5,202 3 0 | 1,84,141 12 9 | 37,254 0 0 | |
| Rohilkhand. | Bijnor .. | 14 | Sherkot ... | 4th March, 1872 ... | 52,585 3 0 | 7 8 9 | 52,585 3 0 | 7 8 9 | |
| | Ditto ... | 15 | Kiratpur ... | 15th April, 1879 ... | 25 268 13 7 | ... | ... | 25,268 13 7 | |
| | Moradabad ... | 16 | Kishn Singh and Gokul Singh. | 18th December, 1861 | 2,717 15 3 | ... | 2,717 15 3 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 17 | Bakht Singh and Indarjit Singh. | 3rd December, 1864 ... | 316 8 0 | ... | 316 8 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 18 | Shankar Lal ... | 10th April, 1869 ... | 900 0 0 | 352 10 8 | 1,252 10 8 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 19 | Bishambhar Nath .. | 20th June, 1876 ... | 34,547 13 3 | 31,466 11 1 | 40,264 8 4 | 25,750 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 20 | Munawwar Ali Khan, | 5th November, 1862 ... | 16,340 5 0 | ... | 16,340 5 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 21 | Abdul wahid ... | 22nd January, 1869 ... | 1,685 8 3 | ... | 1,178 13 3 | 506 11 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 22 | Kunwar Bhim Singh, | 21st September, 1876... | 16,406 7 0 | ... | 1,042 15 0 | 15,363 8 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 23 | Kazi Muhammad Abbas. | 27th October, 1875 ... | 55,856 0 0 | 69 13 7 | 34,355 1 1 | 21,570 12 6 | |
| | Ditto .. | 24 | Budh Prakash ... | 11th November, 1878... | 8,570 5 1 | ... | 5,674 14 7 | 2,895 6 6 | |
| | Ditto ... | 25 | Shyam Sundar and Shyam Saran | 8th September, 1879 ... | 1,853 13 0 | ... | ... | 1,853 13 0 | |
| | Bareilly ... | 27 | Deoria... ... | 13th February, 1877 ... | 10,500 0 0 | ... | ... | 10,500 0 0 | |
| | Shâhjahânpur, | 28 | Narayanpur ... | 13th February, 1877 ... | 44,000 0 0 | 500 0 0 | 27,000 14 4 | 17,499 1 8 | |
| | Ditto ... | 29 | Nahal ... ... | 27th February, 1875 ... | 72,004 0 0 | 3,219 11 6 | 18,727 6 1 | 56,496 5 5 | |
| | Ditto ... | 30 | Fakr-ud-din Khan, | 25th September, 1878... | 55,455 14 3 | ... | 1,261 3 6 | 54,194 10 9 | |
| | | | | Total ... | 3,99,008 9 8 | 35,616 7 7 | 2,02,718 6 1 | 2,31,906 11 2 | |
| Agra. | Agra ... | 32 | Parna ... ... | 3rd October, 1874 ... | 27,664 2 6 | 30,812 12 0 | 34,746 12 7 | 23,730 1 11 | |
| | Ditto ... | 33 | Awa ... ... | 9th November 1876 ... | 1,46,752 0 6 | 224 0 0 | 1,25,544 15 8 | 21,431 0 10 | |
| | Farukhabad ... | 34 | Khimsipur ... | 3rd April, 1876 ... | 81 2 6 | ... | 81 2 6 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 35 | Kudsiya Begam ... | 9th May, 1866 ... | 9,123 13 9 | ... | 9,123 13 9 | ... | |
| | Mainpuri .. | 36 | Kunj Bihari Lal ... | 12th June, 1869 ... | 25,000 0 0 | 381 12 6 | 9,386 15 6 | 15,994 13 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 37 | Arjunpur ... | 27th August, 1877 ... | 57,663 5 3 | 48,000 0 0 | 56,017 13 6 | 49,645 7 9 | |
| | Etáwah ... | 38 | Chakkarnagar ... | 4th April, 1876 ... | 95,000 0 0 | ... | 12 585 3 7 | 82,414 12 5 | |
| | Ditto ... | 39 | Bhareh ... | 10th November, 1873... | ... | 9,739 12 0 | 9,739 12 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 40 | Malhajani ... | 4th November, 1867 ... | 23,552 0 0 | 515 6 0 | 24,067 6 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 41 | Bharthna ... | 4th May, 1875 ... | 13,500 0 0 | 13,226 8 0 | 13,726 8 0 | 13,000 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 42 | Sirsai Nawa ... | 13th June, 1876 ... | 27,412 13 9 | 28,448 2 8 | 29,027 14 7 | 26,833 1 10 | |
| | Ditto ... | 43 | Uncha ... | 2nd May, 1877 ... | 28,000 0 0 | 10,604 9 6 | 11 804 9 6 | 26,800 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 44 | Sunasi ... | 17th May, 1876 ... | 20,895 6 6 | 553 11 0 | 2,940 0 0 | 18,009 1 6 | |
| | Etah ... | 45 | Raui Damar ... | 20th August, 1872 ... | 81,406 13 11 | 199 1 4 | 1,558 10 7 | 80,047 4 8 | |
| | Ditto ... | 48 | Rampur ... | 13th September 1873 .. | 29,930 7 2 | 25,947 5 8 | 40,536 9 7 | 15,341 3 3 | |
| | Ditto ... | 49 | Nagla Kisi ... | 1st February, 1878 ... | 122 5 0 | ... | 122 5 0 | ... | |
| | | | | Total ... | 5,85,604 6 10 | 1,68,653 0 8 | 3,81,010 8 4 | 3,73,246 15 2 | |
| Jhánsi. | Jalaun ... | 50 | Kukargawan ... | 27th November, 1876... | 12,000 0 0 | 13,290 0 0 | 14,213 6 5 | 11,076 9 7 | |
| | Jhánsi ... | 51 | Takhtauli Mahalwara. | 1st September, 1876 .. | 4,530 6 6 | ... | 15,28 6 11 | 3,001 15 7 | |
| | Ditto ... | 53 | Chirgaon ... | 11th July, 1873 ... | 3,041 11 1 | 2,182 4 9 | 4,790 15 10 | 433 0 0 | |
| | Lalitpur ... | 55 | Rajwara ... | 20th June, 1872 ... | 8,957 6 8 | 210 0 10 | 9,167 7 6 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 58 | Dharpura-Gidhaura, | 14th October, 1876 ... | ... | 1,563 3 9 | ... | 1,563 3 9 | |
| | | | | Total ... | 28,529 8 3 | 17,245 9 4 | 29,700 4 8 | 16,074 12 11 | |

STATEMENT No. VII.—*Debts paid off from date of superintendence to close of the revenue year 1878-79*—(concluded).

| Division. | District. | Serial number of estate. | Name of estate. | Superintendence when assumed. | Debts due when superintendence was first assumed. | Incurred after superintendence was assumed. | Since paid off exclusive of interest. | Balance due at the close of the year. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | |
| Allahabad. | Cawnpore ... | 59 | Pankigangaganj No. I. | 20th July, 1875 ... | 9,500 0 0 | ... | 1,323 7 8 | 8,176 8 4 | |
| | Ditto ... | 60 | Jagjiwanpur ... | 20th September, 1870, | 321 13 0 | ... | 321 13 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 61 | Barwa khurd ... | 13th July, 1872 ... | 36 0 0 | ... | 36 0 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 62 | Pankigangaganj No. II. | 13th April, 1876 ... | 5,550 0 0 | ... | 5,550 0 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 63 | Rawatpur ... | 24th June, 1873, ... | 6,741 9 5 | 1,169 6 3 | 7,910 6 8 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 64 | Akbarpur Sen .. | 16th March, 1875 ... | 15,896 10 0 | 1,403 9 9 | 2,353 9 9 | 14,946 10 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 65 | Muminpur Ratgaon, | 30th November, 1864, | ... | 15 0 0 | 15 0 0 | ... | |
| | Fatehpur ... | 67 | Patti Shah ... | 18th April, 1876 ... | 6,273 1 0 | 5,500 0 0 | 6 595 14 8 | 5,177 2 4 | |
| | Ditto ... | 69 | Ata Husain Khan... | 29th July, 1875 ... | 12,000 0 0 | ... | 5,920 4 8 | 6,079 11 4 | |
| | Ditto ... | 70 | Khan Bibi ... | Ditto ... | 5,000 0 0 | ... | 3,806 4 10 | 1,193 11 2 | |
| | Ditto ... | 71 | Asothar ... | 22nd July, 1875 ... | 26 862 12 0 | ... | 26,862 12 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 72 | Bindaur ... | 1st June, 1877 ... | 16,261 13 3 | 18,000 0 0 | 17,024 15 1 | 17,236 14 2 | |
| | Banda ... | 73 | Makri ... | 14th July. 1877 ... | ... | 4 5 0 | ... | 4 5 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 74 | Imdad Ali Beg ... | 27th November, 1878... | 10,800 0 0 | ... | ... | 10,800 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 75 | Kirwi ... | 6th May, 1879 ... | 22,863 8 3 | ... | ... | 22,863 8 3 | |
| | Allahabad ... | 76 | Sarai Pitha ... | 13th February, 1875 ... | 32,969 0 1 | ... | ... | 32,969 0 1 | |
| | Ditto ... | 77 | Sarai Bharat ... | 25th March, 1875 ... | 1 51,233 12 0 | ... | 18 138 11 6 | 1,33,095 0 6 | |
| | Ditto ... | 78 | Gambhira ... | 16th March, 1877 ... | 68,723 6 4 | ... | 3,413 14 8 | 65,309 7 8 | |
| | Ditto ... | 79 | Manda ... | 8th December, 1864 ... | 16,63,859 14 5 | 275 12 0 | 16 64.135 10 5 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 80 | Rai Pratap Chand, | 27th June, 1872 ... | 34,567 3 3 | 1,834 3 6 | 34,960 4 0 | 1,441 2 9 | |
| | Ditto ... | 81 | Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh. | 22nd November, 1871... | 53,000 0 0 | ... | 30,270 8 10 | 22,729 7 2 | |
| | Ditto ... | 82 | Shaikh Faiyaz Ali, | 13th June, 1878 ... | 98,100 0 0 | ... | 983 12 9 | 97,116 3 3 | |
| | Ditto ... | 83 | Nangawan ... | 14th May, 1878 ... | 2,704 4 9 | ... | 637 4 9 | 2,067 0 0 | |
| | Jaunpur ... | 85 | Bhagesa ... | 12th June, 1873 ... | 700 0 0 | ... | 700 0 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 86 | Dube ... | 28th August, 1878 ... | 6,43,703 3 6 | 3,13,145 7 11 | 3,28,675 3 6 | 6,28,173 7 11 | |
| | | | | Total ... | 28,87,667 6 3 | 3,41,847 12 5 | 21,59,635 14 5 | 10,69,379 3 11 | |
| Benares. | Gorakhpur, | 87 | Majhauli ... | 22nd November, 1871... | 10,40,146 8 0 | 7,55,528 14 8 | 12,74,222 7 0 | 5,21,452 15 8 | |
| | Ditto ... | 88 | Chaumukha ... | 29th August, 1879 ... | 40,217 0 0 | ... | ... | 40,217 0 0 | |
| | Basti ... | 89 | Mithwal ... | 27th November, 1874... | 94,073 6 1 | ... | 32,050 14 9 | 62,022 7 4 | |
| | Azamgarh ... | 92 | Dubari ... | 24th December, 1876... | 144 13 0 | 5,000 0 0 | 5,144 13 0 | ... | |
| | Mirzapur ... | 94 | Kantit ... | 14th March, 1863 ... | 2,20,061 13 0 | ... | 2,20,061 13 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 96 | Gopalpur No. I ... | 24th September, 1875 | ... | 8,011 13 5 | 1,511 13 5 | 6,500 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 97 | Gopalpur No. II ... | Ditto ... | ... | 4,000 0 0 | 3,500 0 0 | 500 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 98 | Tikori ... | 18th January, 1877 ... | 700 0 0 | ... | 700 0 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | 99 | Ramgarh ... | 12th April, 1877 ... | 20,688 15 0 | 9,000 0 0 | 22,791 15 0 | 6,897 0 0 | |
| | Benares ... | 100 | Pisur Danielpur ... | 29th May, 1871 ... | 1,179 0 0 | ... | 1,179 0 0 | ... | |
| | Ghazipur ... | 101 | Sunwani ... | 18th May, 1869 ... | 4,89,081 1 0 | 4,61,337 8 0 | 489,081 1 0 | 4,61,337 8 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | 102 | Satnarayan Pande... | 20th June, 1868 ... | 4,365 9 0 | ... | 4,365 9 0 | ... | |
| | | | | Total ... | 19,10,658 1 1 | 12,42,878 4 1 | 20,54,609 6 2 | 10,98,926 15 0 | |
| | | | | GRAND TOTAL ... | 60,27,661 9 10 | 18,10,943 5 1 | 50,11,816 4 9 | 28,26,788 10 2 | |

STATEMENT No. VIII.—*Present circumstances of the loans made to encumbered estates by the Government and the Court of Wards.*

| Authority for granting loan. | | Amount of loan sanctioned. | Rate of interest payable per annum. | How repayable. | | To what estate advanced. | | Date of payment from treasury. | Amount actually advanced. | Amount due at the close of the year 1878-79, including interest. | Amount repaid up to the close of the year under report. | | | Arrears due at the close of the year. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Number (Govt. N.-W. P. and Oudh.) | Date. | | | Term. | Instalment fixed, if any. | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate. | | | | Principal. | Interest. | Total. | Principal. | Interest. | Total. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| | | | | | | | | | STATE LOANS. | | | | | | | |
| | | Rs | P.c. | Years. | Rs. | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. | Rs a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 283A. | 3rd Feb., 1875. | 70,000 | 5 | 17 | None. | Nahal ... | 29 | 20th March, 1875. | 70,000 0 0 | 59,000 8 10 | 12,470 1 0 | 14,179 15 4 | 26,650 0 4 | ... | 1,470 9 10 | 1,470 9 10 |
| 2756A. | 3rd Dec., 1874. | 26,000 | 5 | 16 | 2,615 | Parna ... | 32 | January-April, 1875. | 26,000 0 0 | 20,260 3 2 | 6,240 14 1 | 4,915 7 11 | 11,156 6 0 | ... | 501 1 3 | 501 1 3 |
| 288A. | 14th July, 1869. | 25,000 | 5 | 12 | 2,800 | Kunj Bihari Lal. | 36 | 8th February, 1871. | 25,000 0 0 | 16,413 1 2 | 9,005 3 0 | 8,431 5 3 | 17,436 8 3 | ... | 418 4 2 | 418 4 2 |
| 1459A | 13th Mar., 1876. | 48,000 | 6 | ... | None. | Arjunpur ... | 37 | December, 1876—April, 1878. | 48,000 0 0 | 42,267 10 5 | 7,037 1 8 | 5,476 1 3 | 12,513 2 11 | ... | 1,304 12 1 | 1,304 12 1 |
| 2114A. | 26th Sep., 1873. | 25,000 | 5 | 10 from 1875-76. | As approved in G. O. No. 1007A., dated 27th June, 1876. | Rampur ... | 48 | 27th January, 1874. | 25,000 0 0 | 15,201 1 0 | 10,209 12 9 | 6,163 11 6 | 16,373 8 3 | ... | 410 13 9 | 410 13 9 |
| 130A. | 13th Jan., 1874. | 9,500 | 5 | 20 | 762 | Pankiganganj No. 1. | 59 | 17th March, 1874. | 9,500 0 0 | 8,404 12 8 | 1,323 7 8 | 2,151 11 9 | 3,475 3 5 | ... | 228 4 4 | 228 4 4 |
| 1376A. | 8th Oct., 1872. | 45,000 | 5 | ... | None. | Rai Bahadur Asapal Singh. | 81 | 18th April, 1873. | 45,000 0 0 | 23,367 7 6 | 22,270 8 10 | 10,933 2 1 | 33,203 10 11 | ... | 638 0 4 | 638 0 4 |
| 1183A. | 5th May, 1874. | 8,00,000 | 6 | 20 | None. | Majhauli ... | 87 | April, 1870.—Dec., 1872. | 7,55,528 14 8 | 5,33,290 0 5 | 2,34,075 15 0 | 3,52,851 13 10 | 5,86,927 12 10 | ... | 16,837 0 9 | 16,837 0 9 |
| | | | | | | | | Total . | 10,04,028 14 8 | 7,23,204 13 2 | 3,02,633 0 0 | 4,05,103 4 11 | 7,07,736 4 11 | ... | 21,808 14 6 | 21,808 14 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | COURT OF WARDS LOANS. | | | | | | | |
| 1531A | 8th June, 1878. | 1,300 | 8 | ... | ... | Lawar ... | 5 | 16th September, 1878. | 1,000 0 0 | ... | 1,000 0 0 | 83 4 10 | 1,083 4 10 | ... | ... | ... |
| 536A. | 3rd April, 1877. | 25,000 | 7½ | 9½ | 5,400 | Bishambhar Nath | 19 | 25th January, 1878 | 24,476 9 0 | 20,000 0 0 | 4,476 9 0 | 1,417 0 7 | 5,893 9 7 | ... | ... | ... |
| 1793A. | 27th July, 1874. | 60,000 | 6¾ | 15 | 6,650 | Chakkar nagar | 38 | 1st August, 1874. | 60,000 0 0 | 55,465 8 5 | 4,534 7 7 | 19,346 1 10 | 23,880 9 5 | ... | ... | ... |
| 820A. | 15th April, 1879. | 13,000 | 8 | ... | ... | Bharthna ... | 41 | 22nd September, 1879. | 13,000 0 0 | 13,022 12 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 22 12 8 | 22 12 8 |
| 621A. | 8th March, 1878. | 10,000 | 7 | 8 | ... | Uncha ... | 43 | 8th May, 1878. | 10,000 0 0 | 9,800 0 0 | 200 0 0 | 978 0 0 | 1,178 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| 3165A. | 21st Oct., 1878. | 7,000 | 8 | ... | ... | Patti Shah, | 67 | 14th July, 1879. | 5,500 0 0 | 5,194 2 9 | 322 13 8 | 77 2 4 | 400 0 0 | ... | 17 0 5 | 17 0 5 |
| 1553A | 29th July, 1875. | 12,000 | 6 | None. | None. | Ata Husain Khan. | 69 | 24th August, 1874. | 12,000 0 0 | 6,176 10 5 | 5,920 4 8 | 2,929 11 4 | 8,850 0 0 | ... | 96 15 1 | 96 15 1 |
| 1553A. | 29th July, 1875. | 5,000 | 6 | Ditto. | Ditto. | Khan Bibi, | 70 | 23rd July, 1874. | 5,000 0 0 | 1,196 10 3 | 3,806 4 10 | 1,143 11 2 | 4,950 0 0 | ... | 2 15 1 | 2 15 1 |
| 2300A | 3rd Oct., 1877. | 18,000 | 9 | 11 | Ditto. | Bindaur ... | 72 | 31st July, 1878. | 18,000 0 0 | 15,115 11 5 | 2,939 15 10 | 2,460 0 2 | 5,400 0 0 | ... | 55 11 3 | 55 11 3 |
| 42A | 16th Mar., 1877. | 70,000 | 7½ | 11 | 4,800 | Gambhira ... | 78 | 23rd July, 1874. | 68,723 6 4 | 65,322 14 4 | 3,413 14 8 | 12,602 8 3 | 16,016 6 11 | ... | 13 6 8 | 13 6 3 |
| 1960A. | 24th Sep., 1875. | 10,000 | 5 | None. | None. | Gopalpar No. I. | 96 | 23rd October, 1875. | 8,011 13 5 | 6,500 0 0 | 1,511 13 5 | 1,236 14 0 | 2,748 11 5 | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | 5 | Ditto. | Ditto. | Ditto, No. II. | 97 | Ditto ... | 4,000 0 0 | 500 0 0 | 3,500 0 0 | 290 0 0 | 3,790 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | | | | | | | | Total ... | 2,29,711 12 9 | 1,98,294 6 3 | 31,626 3 8 | 42,564 6 6 | 74,190 10 2 | ... | 208 13 2 | 208 13 2 |

STATEMENT No. IX.—*Showing details of notices of ejectment under section 36, Act XVIII. of 1873.*

| District | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate. | Number of notices issued under section 36 | Area of land in standard acres to which the notices referred. | Notices contested under section 36. | | | | Result of notices of ejectment not contested. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | *Successfully.* | | *Unsuccessfully* | | *Tenants relinquished their holdings.* | | *Tenants permitted to remain in possession at enhanced rates.* | |
| | | | | | Number. | Area. | Number. | Area. | Number. | Area. | Number. | Area. |
| | | | | A. r. p | | A. r. p | | A. r. p. | | A. r. p | | A. r. p. |
| Agra ... | Parna ... | 32 | 1 | 2 2 36 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1 | 2 2 36 | ... | ... |
| | Awa ... | 33 | 46 | 534 0 0 | 4 | 68 0 0 | 13 | 233 0 0 | 12 | 79 0 0 | 17 | 154 0 0 |
| Farukhabad... | Khimsipur ... | 34 | 7 | 40 3 35 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3 | 7 1 39 | 4 | 33 1 36 |
| Etawah | Bhareh ... | 39 | 1 | 34 3 34 | ... | ... | .. | ... | 1 | 34 3 34 | ... | ... |
| | Uncha ... | 43 | 1 | 1 0 23 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1 | 1 0 23 | ... | ... |
| Etah ... | Nagla Kisi... | 49 | 5 | 7 2 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2 | 0 2 0 | 3 | 7 0 0 |
| Cawnpore... | Barwa Khurd, | 61 | 4 | 9 0 18 | ... | ... | 2 | 4 0 6 | ... | ... | 2 | 5 0 12 |
| | Rawatpur ... | 63 | 18 | 79 0 0 | ... | ... | 7 | 34 0 0 | 3 | 16 0 0 | 8 | 29 0 0 |
| Gorakhpur... | Majhauli ... | 87 | 15 | 371 0 0 | 3 | 177 0 0 | 12 | 194 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Mirzapur ... | Kantit ... | 94 | 20 | 7 0 13 | ... | ... | 1 | 0 2 23 | 19 | 6 1 30 | ... | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 118 | 1,087 1 39 | 7 | 245 0 0 | 35 | 465 2 29 | 42 | 148 1 2 | 34 | 228 2 8 |

STATEMENT No. X.—*Showing details of applications for enhancement of rent.*

| District. | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate | Cultivated area. | Number of applications for enhancement decreed during the year. | Number of tenants concerned. | Area in acres. | Rent previously paid. | Rate per acre. | Rent decreed. | Rate per acre. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a. p. | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | R. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| Agra ... | Parna ... | 32 | 2,233 3 23 | 14 | 14 | 170 3 10 | 800 13 3 | 4 10 11 | 969 14 4 | 5 10 9 |
| | Awa ... | 33 | 970 0 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 1 0 | ... | ... | 20 8 0 | 4 13 2 |
| Cawnpore ... | Muminpur Ratgaon. | 65 | 132 0 0 | 8 | 25 | 132 0 0 | 367 6 0 | 2 12 6 | 467 0 9 | 3 8 7 |
| Allahabad | Gambhira ... | 78 | 24 0 19 | 4 | 4 | 14 0 12 | 71 13 6 | 5 2 1 | 88 9 4 | 6 4 10 |
| | Manda ... | 79 | 18,402 0 0 | 40 | 614 | 10,380 0 0 | 11,344 11 7 | 1 1 9 | 13,783 8 7 | 1 5 8 |
| Gorakhpur ... | Majhauli ... | 87 | 4,192 0 0 | 13 | 13 | 130 3 6 | 266 11 0 | 2 0 7 | 481 3 7 | 3 10 8 |
| | Total ... | ... | 25,954 0 2 | 80 | 671 | 10,831 3 28 | 12,851 7 4 | ... | 15,810 12 7 | ... |

STATEMENT No. XI.—*Showing the estates from which arrears of the current Government demand were due at the close of the revenue year* 1878-79.

| Division. | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate. | Government demand. a. Revenue. | b. Local cesses. | c. Patwári rate. | d. Takávi. | Paid. a. Revenue. | b. Local cesses | c. Patwári rate. | d. Takávi. | Arrears. a. Revenue. | b. Local cesses. | c. Patwári rate. | d. Takávi. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| Rohilkhand ... | Sherkot ... .. | 14 | 64,373 10 3 | 7,238 0 8 | 4,022 0 6 | ... | 64,373 10 3 | 7,230 7 11 | 4,022 0 6 | ... | ... | 7 8 9 | ... | ... |
| | Munawwar Ali Khan ... | 20 | 6,831 2 0 | 786 2 9 | 9 8 0 | ... | 6 700 12 6 | 786 2 9 | 9 8 0 | ... | 130 5 6 | ... | ... | ... |
| Agra ... | Parna ... ... | 82 | 6,000 0 0 | 600 0 0 | 250 0 0 | ... | 3,750 0 0 | 300 0 0 | 125 0 0 | ... | 2,250 0 0 | 300 0 0 | 125 0 0 | ... |
| | Awa .. ... | 33 | 3,29,760 9 8 | 36,245 9 10 | 14,228 0 2 | ... | 3,29,062 3 9 | 36,244 14 3 | 14,228 0 2 | ... | 698 5 11 | 0 11 7 | ... | ... |
| Jhánsi ... | Chirgaon . ... | 53 | 5,285 10 1 | 583 11 8 | 251 9 6 | .. | 4,852 10 1 | 583 11 8 | 251 9 6 | ... | 433 0 0 | .. | ... | ... |
| | Dhatpura-Gidhaura ... | 58 | 1,286 0 0 | 154 12 0 | 65 0 0 | ... | 884 4 0 | 154 12 0 | 65 0 0 | ... | 401 12 0 | ... | ... | .. |
| Allahabad ... | Makri ... ... | 73 | 20 13 11 | 2 4 0 | 0 10 6 | ... | 18 5 2 | 0 15 0 | 0 3 3 | ... | 2 8 9 | 1 5 0 | 0 7 3 | ... |
| | Dube ... . ... | 86 | 1,58,067 11 7 | 18,418 1 3 | 8,935 11 5 | ... | 1,49,265 1 8 | 18,188 15 8 | 8,821 15 5 | ... | 8,802 10 4 | 229 1 7 | 113 12 0 | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 5,71,625 9 6 | 64,028 10 2 | 27,762 8 1 | ... | 5,58,906 15 0 | 63,489 15 3 | 27,523 4 10 | ... | 12,718 10 6 | 538 10 11 | 239 3 3 | ... |

STATEMENT No. XII.—*Showing the estates from which outstanding arrears of revenue were due at the close of the revenue year* 1878-79.

| Division. | Name of estate. | Serial number of estate | Government demand. a. Revenue. | b. Local cesses | c. Patwári rate. | d. Takávi. | Paid. a. Revenue. | b. Local cesses. | c. Patwári rate. | d. Takávi. | Arrears. a. Revenue. | b. Local cesses. | c. Patwári rate. | d. Takavi. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs, a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| Rohilkhand ... | Deoria ... ... | 27 | 860 14 5 | 53 0 0 | 31 15 8 | ... | 860 14 5 | 53 0 0 | 31 15 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Nahal ... .. | 29 | 2,600 0 0 | ... | 0 3 0 | ... | 2,600 0 0 | ... | 0 3 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Agra | Parna .. ... | 32 | 1,902 8 0 | 190 4 0 | 45 0 0 | ... | 606 8 0 | 190 4 0 | 45 0 0 | ... | 1,296 0 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | Awa .. ... | 33 | 304 6 0 | ... | ... | ... | 273 6 1 | ... | ... | ... | 30 15 11 | ... | .. | ... |
| | Kunj Bihari Lal ... | 36 | 169 12 6 | ... | ... | .. | 169 12 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Chakkarnagar ... | 38 | 1,769 9 11 | 131 6 4 | 57 10 11 | ... | 1,769 9 11 | 131 6 4 | 57 10 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Bharch ... ... | 39 | 8,614 12 0 | 832 8 0 | 292 8 0 | ... | 8,614 12 0 | 832 8 0 | 292 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Bharthna ... ... | 41 | 200 0 0 | 20 0 0 | 6 8 0 | ... | 200 0 0 | 20 0 0 | 6 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Sirsai Nawa ... ... | 42 | 476 8 9 | ... | ... | ... | 476 8 9 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Uncha . ... | 43 | 476 8 0 | 39 0 0 | 13 12 0 | ... | 476 8 0 | 39 0 0 | 13 12 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Sunasi . ... | 44 | 754 11 10 | 3 9 8 | 0 15 4 | ... | 754 11 10 | 3 9 8 | 0 15 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Rani Damar .. .. | 45 | 99 1 4 | ... | ... | ... | 99 1 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Rampur ... ... | 48 | 848 3 3 | 54 9 10 | 44 8 7 | ... | 848 3 3 | 54 9 10 | 44 8 7 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Jhansi .. | Kukargawan . ... | 50 | 290 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 290 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Takhtauli-Mahalwara ... | 51 | .. | ... | ... | 31 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 31 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Chirgaon . ... | 53 | 1,017 7 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1,017 7 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Rajwara ... ... | 55 | ... | ... | ... | 1,607 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1,607 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| | Dharpura-Gidhaura ... | 58 | 1,108 10 3 | 52 13 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,108 10 3 | 52 13 6 | ... | ... |
| Allahabad ... | Dube ... ... | 86 | 14,355 11 7 | 956 5 10 | 500 1 3 | ... | 14,355 11 7 | 956 5 10 | 500 1 3 | ... | ... | ... | .. | ... |
| | Total ... | ... | 35,848 12 10 | 2,333 9 2 | 993 2 9 | 1,638 8 0 | 33,413 2 8 | 2,280 11 8 | 993 2 9 | 1,638 8 0 | 2,435.10 2 | 52 13 6 | ... | ... |

STATEMENT XIII.—*List of Benevolent Trusts under the control of the Board of Revenue, North-Western Provinces.*

| Number. | Division. | District. | Name of trust. | Name of donor and date of endowment. | Purpose of trust. | Detail of property comprised in trust. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | | | | **Educational Trusts.** | | |
| 1 | MEERUT. | Bulandshahr ... | Zila School endowment. | Private subscriptions, A. D. 1863. | Promotion of Anglo-Vernacular education. | Government paper of 4½ per cent. for Rs. 5,800. |
| 2 | | Aligarh ... | Lawrence trust .. | Mr. G. H. Lawrence, 5th August, 1870, | Support of Aligarh boarding house. | Government paper No. 015339/015779, dated 1st May, 1843, for Rs. 5,000 at 4 per cent. Government paper No. 021779/010509 dated 1st May, 1865, for Rs. 2,500 at 4 per cent. |
| 3 | | Ditto Muttra, Agra | Pandit Ganga Dhar's trust. | Pandit Ganga Dhar, family priest to Madho Rao Scindia, A. D. 1816. | The villages comprised in this trust were given to Pandit Ganga Dhar by Madho Rao Scindia in 1204 fasli, (1796-97) for his own support and for the promotion of education. On Ganga Dhar's death in A. D. 1816, quarrels arose between the heirs and Government stepped in and assigned three-fourths of the profits of the villages to the Agra College, and one-fourth to the heirs. During the mutiny one of the heirs Keshore Deo joined the rebels and his one-sixteenth share in mauza Jhundavi was confiscated and added to the College endowment. | In the Aligarh district three-fourths of the income of the taluka Iglas, comprising mauzas Iglas khas, Tikapur, Gangapur, Asawai, Bhilpur, Gindauli, Kurthala, Balrámpur, Lalpur, and Sitapur In Muttra three-fourths of the income of mauza Chumuha and in Agra thirteen-sixteenths of the income of mauza Jhundavi. |
| 4 | AGRA. | Agra ... | Robertson-Mansell scholarship. | Messrs. Robertson and Mansell, and the teachers of the Agra College. | The following are the scholarships in the Agra College :— Robertson, Rs. 16 0 0 p. m. 1st Mansell „ 12 0 0 „ 2nd „ „ 10 0 0 „ Teachers, „ 10 0 0 „ | Government paper in the 4½ per cent. loan of 1872, amounting to Rs. 15,000. |
| 5 | | Ditto ... | Colvin district scholarship fund. | Friends of Mr. J. C. Colvin, April, 1864. | To give scholarships of Rs. 5 each per mensem to selected boys from district schools who join the Agra College. | Government paper for Rs. 5,000. |
| 6 | | Ditto ... | Thomason bequest, | Hon'ble J. Thomason, late Lieutenant-Governor, North Western Provinces. | For scholarships to pupils in the Agra Normal School. | Govt. paper No. 001024 for Rs. 500, at 4½ p. c. „ „ No. 001025 for Rs. 500, at 4½ „ „ „ No. 001026 for Rs 1,000 at 4½ „ „ „ No. 001027 for Rs. 1,000, at 4½ „ |
| 7 | | Etáwah .. | Hume scholarship fund. | Mr. A. O. Hume, C. B., 5th March, 1868. | Scholarships to the best boys of Hume's High School. | Govt. paper No. 034982 at 4½ per cent. for Rs. 3,200. „ „ No. 034983 at 4½ per cent. for Rs. 4,000. |
| 8 | | Ditto ... | Nawab of Tonk scholarship fund. | Nawab of Tonk, 5th February, 1867. | Scholarship in Hume's High School. | Govt. paper No. 043043 at 4 per cent. for Rs. 500. „ „ No. 043044 at 4 per cent. for Rs. 500. |
| 9 | | Ditto ... | Local educational committee's trust. | Local educational committee, 21st March, 1871. | Scholarships to boys from the Halkabandi Schools studying in Hume's High School. | Govt. paper No. 035765 at 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,000. „ „ No. 035766 at 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,000. „ „ No. 005767 at 4 per cent. for Rs. 500. |
| 10 | ROHILKHAND. | Moradabad ... | Zila school endowment. | Private subscriptions, accumulated deposit of Billari Halkabandi schools, bequest of an estate of the widow of Mufti Muhammad between 1861-1863. | Originally intended for the establishment of an English School, but on the establishment of the Government Zila School it was resolved to supplement its staff from the proceeds of the endowment. | Government paper aggregating Rs. 75,700 yielding Rs. 3,388, interest annually, and 11 shops renting at Rs. 22-10-0 a month or Rs. 271-8-0 per annum. |
| 11 | | Bareilly ... | Templeton scholarship. | Ex-students of Bareilly College, January, 1869. | A scholarship for the best boy in the Calcutta University Entrance Examination who goes up for the First Arts from the Bareilly College. | Government paper No. 0012530 at 4½ per cent. for Rs. 3,000. |
| 12 | | Ditto .. | Templeton medal ... | Mr. Templeton's friends and old pupils, February, 1875. | A gold medal for the boy who passes best in the Entrance Examination from the Bareilly College. | Government paper No. 041592 of February, 1843, for Rs. 1,000 at 4 per cent. |

STATEMENT XIII.—*List of Benevolent Trusts under the control of the Board of Revenue, North-Western Provinces*—(continued.)

| Number. | Division. | District. | Name of trust. | Name of donor and date of endowment. | Purpose of trust. | Detail of property comprised in trust. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 13 | ALLAHABAD. | Cawnpore ... | Duke of Edinburgh scholarship fund. | Social Improvement Society, Cawnpore, 28th February, 1870. | That the yearly income, Rs. 120, be awarded to the students of the Cawnpore Zila School as follows:—1 scholarship Prince Alfred's of Rs. 4 per mensem, 2 medals Prince Alfred's of Rs. 26 each to the best Sanskrit and Persian students, and Rs. 20 for book prizes or a scholarship. | Government paper Rs. 3,000 at 4 per cent. |
| 14 | | Allahabad ... | Turnbull memorial fund. | Mr. Turnbull, 23rd September, 1870. | Scholarship to be held by Játs scholars. | Govt. paper for Rs. 5,000. |
| 15 | | Ditto ... | Muir Central College endowment. | Mahárája of Vizianagram, 16th June, 1870, and 19th May, 1870. | Vizianagram scholarships ... | Govt. paper for Rs. 35,000 0 0 |
| | | | | Nawab of Rámpur, 18th November, 1869; Rája of Pannah, 17th February, 1870; Mahárája of Rewah, 17th March, 1873. | Oriental scholarships with preference to students from each place. | „ 4,800 0 0<br>„ 3,000 0 0<br>„ 3,600 0 0 |
| | | | | Haidar Husain and Chaudhri Dhayan Singh, 16th June, 1870. | Prizes for oriental languages .. | „ 600 0 0 |
| | | | | Mahárája Parshottamji of Muttra, 12th November, 1873. | Scholarships for Sanskrit ... | „ 3,500 0 0 |
| | | | | Rája of Chirkhari, 13th April, 1874. | Sholarships with preference to students from Bundelkhand | „ 6,000 0 0 |
| | | | | | | Rs. 59,000 0 0 — Consolidated with accumulations of interest into one note for Rs. 63,000, No. 088561 in the 4 per cent. of 1865. |
| | | | | Hammond gold medal fund, Agra, transferred. | Law scholarship ... | Government paper for Rs. 2,500. |
| | | | | Nawab Ali Asbgar Khan C. S. I., 25th July, 1877. | Arabic scholarship ... | A rent charge of Rs. 600 per annum on his estates. |
| 16 | | Gorakhpur ... | Ali Baksh Khan's endowment. | Ali Bakhsh Khan, late Subordinate Judge, Gorakhpur. | For the support of female schools. | Government paper of 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,000. |
| 17 | | Mirzapur ... | Zila school endowment. | Merchants of Mirzapur, A. D, 1866. | Maintenance of teachers in the oriental department of the zila school. | Forty shares in the Bank of Bengal aggregating Rs 20,000 |
| 18 | | Benares .. | Gházipur scholarship. | A. D., 1845 ... | Transferred from the Government School at Gházipur for the support of students from Gházipur in the Queen's College, Benares. | Government paper No. 018118 of 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,800. |
| 19 | | Ditto . ... | Ghosal scholarship fund. | Raja Sattiya Charn Ghosal, 27th March, 1845. | Scholarship in the Queen's College. | Government paper No. 020081 of 4 per cent. for Rs. 5,000. |
| 20 | BENARES. | Ditto .. | Tucker scholarship fund. | Mr. Tucker, 24th October, 1858. | Scholarship for general proficiency and good conduct in the Queen's College. | Government paper No. 012027 of 4½ per cent for Rs. 5,000. |
| 21 | | Ditto ... | Rewah scholarship fund. | Mahárája of Rewah, 18th October, 1865. | Scholarship to the boy who passes best in the entrance examination from the Queen's College. | Government paper No. 029932 of 4½ per cent. for Rs. 5,300. |
| 22 | | Ditto ... | Vizianagram scholarship fund. | Mahárája of Vizianagram, 18th October, 1865. | Scholarship for proficiency in English. | Calcutta municipality debentures of 6 per cent for Rs. 7,000. |
| 23 | | Ditto ... | Radha Bibi scholarship fund. | Radha Bibi, 6th August, 1860. | Scholarship for proficiency in Vedanta philosophy. | Government paper No. 013799 of 4½ per cent. for Rs. 500. |
| 24 | | Ditto ... | Sayid Ahmad scholarship fund. | Sayid Ahmad, A. D., 1877. | Scholarship to the best student in 3rd year's B. A. class. | Government paper No. 071119 of 1842-43, at 4 per cent. for Rs. 2,000.<br>Ditto ditto No. 066719 of 1842-43 at 4 per cent. for Rs. 100. |
| 25 | | Ditto ... | Thomason testimonial. | Hon'ble J. Thomason. | For promotion of education: Jai Narayan's College receives the income. | Government paper for Rs. 1,300 at 4 per cent. |
| | | | | **Other trusts.** | | |
| 26 | MEERUT. | Meerut ... | Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram. | Lala Har Sahai Mal and Pandit Ganga Ram, A. D. 1873. | Maintenance of the Meerut Dispensary. | Government paper for Rs. 2,500 at 4½ per cent. |

STATEMENT XIII.—*List of Benevolent Trusts under the control of the Board of Revenue, North-Western Provinces*—(continued.)

| Number. | Division. | District. | Name of trust. | Name of donor and date of endowment. | Purpose of trust. | Detail of property comprised in trust. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 27 | KUMAUN. | Kumaun ... | Sodabart ... | Ram Bahadur Rája of Nipal, A. D. 1797, in his own name and that of his wife Kantavati. | The assignments were made for the purpose of distributing food gratis to indigent pilgrims visiting the shrines of Badrinath and Kidarnath.—Mr. (now Sir John) Strachey, when in charge of the Garhwál district brought to the notice of Government how these funds were abused; and after full enquiry they were with the sanction of Government placed under local agents in 1852, and applied to the maintenance of pilgrim roads and dispensaries. The Rawals of Badrinath and Kidarnath are members of the local agency. | Lands in parganas Kutoli and Mahrori. |
| | | Garhwál ... | | Rája of Nipal, A. D. 1813, except in the case of the Khola estate which originated with the Srinagar Rájas. | | The Khola estate in pargana Barasyan and lands in pargana Dasoli and in pattis Parkhandi, Bamsu and Maikhandi of pargana Nagpur. |
| 28 | ROHILKHAND. | Bijnor ... | Sherkot ... | Chaudhris Umrao Singh and Basant Singh, A. D 1865. | Maintenance of school and dispensary at Sherkot. | Rs. 16,000 invested in Government paper of 4 per cent. |
| 29 | | Bareilly ... | Aonla ... | Hakim Saadat Ali Khan, A. D. 1855. | Maintenance of the Aonla dispensary. | Mauzas Banraul-Rasulpur, (10 biswas) and Uday-Bhanpur. (10 biswas) and Government paper for Rs. 6,500 at 4 per cent. |
| 30 | | Ditto ... | Ramna Gulzar Bagh | Munshi Waji-ud-din Haidar, 16th November, 1875. | Endowment of the female ward of the Bareilly dispensary. | Shares in mahâls Ramna Gulzar Bagh in the Farukhabad district and Tulia in pargana Karor in the Bareilly district, leased to the widow of the donor for Rs. 400 per annum, exclusive of the Government demand. |
| 31 | ALLAHABAD. | Cawnpore ... | Amarnath ... | Pandit Amarnath, late Deputy Collector, A D. 1865. | Maintenance of English school and construction of works of public utility. | Three whole villages in pargana Shiurajpur. (1) Ravanlalpur, (2) Radhan, and (3) Katri Radhan, and Rs. 4,000 accumulated savings in the 4 per cents. |
| 32 | | Allahabad ... | Gopalganj bazar ... | Gopal Lal, late tahsildar of Handia, A. D. 1856. | Support of a bazar for the comfort and convenience of travellers and for general public utility. | A bazar and houses standing on 20 bighas of land, a mango bagh and a dispensary erected from the funds of this trust in 1875. |
| 33 | | Ditto ... | Munshi Durga Parshad's trust. | Munshi Durga Parshad, retired Serishtadar of the Judge's Court, Allahabad, A. D. 1862. | For the maintenance of a tank, a sarai and two wells at Durgaganj on the Grand Trunk Road, and of a garden, two wells, and Dharamsala at Allahabad and for the distribution of charity at the Tribeni and the garden at Allahabad | One quarter of the net profits of mauza Shekupur-Rasulpur, in pargana Chail, settled in perpetuity with a jama of Rs. 1,000 per annum. |
| 34 | | Gorakhpur ... | Domangarh ... | E. A. Read, Esq., A.D. 1844. | Maintenance of a sanitarium for the European residents of Gorakhpur. | A masonry bungalow with furniture and Government paper for Rs. 7,000 of the 4 per cent. loan of 1842-43 |
| | BENARES. | Ditto ... | Dharamsala ... | Private subscriptions raised while E A Read, Esq., was Collector of Gorakhpur, A.D. 1844. | Maintenance of a Dharamsala for the accommodation of zamindars on a visit to Gorakhpur. | A masonry sarai or dharamsala and a Government promissory note for Rs 1,500 of the 4 per cent. loan of 1842-43. |
| 35 | | Benares ... | Colla Singana Chetty's Chaultri. | Colla Ravanapatti Chetti, called Colla Singana Chetty, a native of Madras, who erected chaultris at Madras, Conjeveram, Chitur and Benares, in A.D. 1816, and endowed them in A. D. 1817. | Maintenance of a Chaultri or Sarai for the accommodation of travellers, merchants, &c | The Chaultri, a cash deposit of Rs. 10,000 (sicca) which at 6 per cent. yields annually Rs. 627 of the present currency; and Rs. 11,000 accumulated savings, invested in Government paper Rs. 10,000 in the 4 per cents. and Rs. 1,000 in the 4½ per cents. |

STATEMENT No. XIII.—*List of Benevolent Trusts under the control of the Board of Revenue, North-Western Provinces.*—(concluded).

| Number. | Division. | District. | Name of trust. | Name of donor and date of endowment. | Purpose of trust. | Detail of property comprised in trust. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 36 | BENARES—(concluded.) | Benares ... | Venkat Achárya's bequest. | Venkat Acharya, A. D. 1849. | Accommodation of travellers and education. | Rs. 13,000 in Government paper and a garden at Rohunnia. Also a house at Kedarghat, which though not part of the trust property has to be kept in repairs by the committee and accounts rendered by them to the legatee or his representatives. |
| 37 | | Ditto ... | Raja Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum. | Raja Kali Shankar Ghosal, A. D. 1826. | Maintenance of the destitute and especially of the blind of all creeds. | The Asylum and Government paper for Rs. 63,100. |
| 38 | | Ditto ... | Munshi Sital Singh's bequest. | Munshi Sital Singh, A. D. 1847. | Scholarships in Jai Narayan's College, and for the relief of the lame and blind and of respectable persons reduced to poverty. | Government paper for Rs. 29,700. |
| 39 | | Ditto ... | Raighat Dharamsala. | Rai Narayan Das of Benares, A. D. 1866. | Accommodation of travellers. | Shops attached to the Dharmsala, the rents of which constitute the income of the trust and Government paper for Rs. 4,500 purchased with accumulated savings. |

STATEMENT No. XIV.—*Receipts and disbursements of Benevolent Trusts for the revenue-year* 1878-79.

| Division. | District. | Name of Trust. | Receipts. Cash rents. | Mill rents. | House rent. | Miscellanous income. | Interest on Government securities. | Debts recovered. | Government contributions. | Other contributions. | Profits from inmate labour. | Advances. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| MEERUT ... | Meerut ... | Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| KUMAUN | Kumaun ... | Sadabart ... ... | 8,633 15 8 | 472 4 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 9,106 3 8 |
| | Garhwál ... | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tarái ... | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ROHILKHAND. | Bijnor .. | Sherkot ... .. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 641 12 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 641 12 8 |
| | Bareilly ... | Aonla ... ... ... | 2,261 6 6 | ... | 75 6 0 | 61 12 10 | 207 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2,606 1 4 |
| | Ditto ... | Rumna Gulzar Bagh ... | 400 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 400 0 0 |
| ALLAHABAD. | Cawnpore ... | Amarnath ... ... | 6,042 9 6 | ... | ... | 197 7 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 6,240 1 4 |
| | Allahabad ... | Gopalganj Bazar ... | 68 1 10 | ... | 1,466 4 0 | 12 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,546 5 10 |
| | Gorakhpur ... | Domangarh ... ... | 9 8 0 | ... | 6 8 0 | 28 4 4 | 60 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 104 4 4 |
| | Ditto ... | Dharamsala ... ... | ... | ... | 5 0 0 | ... | 90 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 95 0 0 |
| | Benares ... | Colla Singana Chetty's Chaultri | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,687 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,687 0 0 |
| BENARES... | Ditto ... | Venkat Acharya's bequest, | ... | ... | ... | 10 8 0 | 520 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 530 8 0 |
| | Ditto ... | Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum. | ... | ... | ... | 291 1 1 | 3,326 8 0 | ... | 2,328 9 0 | 1,300 0 0 | 75 9 3 | ... | 7,321 11 4 |
| | Ditto ... | Munshi Sital Singh's bequest, | ... | ... | ... | .. | 1,558 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,558 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | Rajghát Dharamsala ... | ... | ... | 767 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 767 0 0 |
| | Ditto ... | Thomason Testimonial ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 52 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 52 0 0 |
| | | Total ... .. | 17,415 9 6 | 472 4 0 | 2,320 2 0 | 661 2 1 | 8,142 12 8 | ... | 2,328 9 0 | 1,300 0 0 | 75 9 3 | ... | 32,656 0 6 |

STATEMENT No. XIV.—*Receipts and disbursements of Benevolent Trusts for the revenue year* 1878-79—(concluded.)

| Division. | District. | Name of Trust. | DISBURSEMENTS. (a.) For trust purposes. Dispensary establishment. | Educational establishment. | Dharamsala establishment. | Allowances to relatives of donors and out pensioners. | Dieting and clothing | Scholarships, books and prizes. | Repairs of roads, bridges and buildings. | Medicines and contingencies. | (b.) Other expenses. Government revenue. | Cesses. | Patwári rate. | Miscellaneous charges. | Total. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | Rs a. p. | Rs a p. | Rs. a. p. | |
| MEERUT ... | Meerut ... | Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | The interest on the promissory notes was drawn during 1877-78 in advance up to April, 1879, and consequently there are no accounts for this year. The next instalment of interest is payable in November, 1879. |
| KUMAUN .. | Kumaun, Garhwál, Tarái ... | Sadabart | 5,072 5 4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3,943 14 2 | 1,381 3 7 | ... | ... | ... | 120 0 0 | 10,517 7 1 | |
| ROHILKHAND. | Bijnor .. | Sherkot - | 351 6 0 | 248 6 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 599 12 6 | |
| | Bareilly ... | Aonla ... .. | 239 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 72 6 0 | 208 11 5 | 969 1 6 | 105 11 5 | 58 2 0 | *1,040 6 5 | 2,693 6 9 | * Rs. 1,000 were spent in the purchase of a promissory note. |
| | Ditto ... | Rumna Gulzar Bagh | 400 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 400 0 0 | |
| ALLAHABAD. | Cawnpore, | Amarnath .. | ... | ... | ... | 15 0 0 | ... | ... | 1,323 8 0 | ... | 2,157 8 0 | 242 12 4 | 112 2 6 | 350 10 4 | 4,206 9 2 | |
| | Allahabad, | Gopalganj Bazar .... | 300 0 0 | ... | ... | 60 0 0 | ... | ... | 104 7 0 | ... | 17 8 0 | 1 12 0 | 0 14 0 | 403 12 10 | 888 5 10 | |
| BENARES... | Gorakhpur, | Domangarh ... | ... | ... | 60 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 22 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | .. | 69 0 0 | 151 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | Dharamsala ... | ... | ... | 48 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 11 14 0 | .. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 59 14 0 | |
| | Benares .. | Colla Singana Chetty's chaultri. | ... | ... | 210 12 0 | ... | 754 13 10 | ... | 38 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | †524 6 2 | 1,528 0 0 | † Purchase of a promissory note. |
| | Ditto .. | Venkat Acharya's bequest. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 520 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 520 0 0 | |
| | Ditto ... | Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum. | 1,218 5 4 | ... | ... | ... | 4,916 3 7 | ... | 88 1 9 | 1,056 10 10 | ... | ... | ... | 82 0 0 | 7,361 5 6 | |
| | Ditto ... | Munshi Sital Singh's bequest | ... | ... | 60 0 0 | 1,064 12 0 | ... | 200 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,324 12 0 | |
| | Ditto . | Rajghat Dharamsala, | ... | ... | 52 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 61 8 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ‡4,200 0 0 | 4,313 8 0 | ‡ Purchase of a promissory note. |
| | Ditto ... | Thomason Testimonial. | .. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 52 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 52 0 0 | |
| | | Total ... | 7,581 0 8 | 248 6 6 | 430 12 0 | 1,139 12 0 | 5,671 1 5 | 772 0 0 | 5,670 10 11 | 2,646 9 10 | 3,144 1 6 | 350 3 9 | 171 2 6 | 6,787 3 9 | 34,615 0 10 | |

STATEMENT No. XV.—*Recoveries of interest on Government securities belonging to Benevolent Trusts during the revenue year* 1878-79.

| District. | Name of Trust. | Nature of Security. | Amount. | Rate of interest. | Demand. | | | Collection of interest. | Balance of interest. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Arrears. | Current. | Total. | | | |
| | | | Rs. | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p | |
| Meerut ... | Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram. | Government Notes, | 2,500 | 4½ per cent. ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | No interest was payable this year it having been drawn in advance in 1877-78. |
| Kumaun and Garhwál. | Sadabart ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | |
| Bijnor ... ... | Sherkot ... ... | Ditto ... | 16,000 | 4 ditto ... | ... | *641 12 8 | 641 12 8 | 641 12 8 | ... | Rs 1-12-8 allowed extra on 40 sicca rupees of interest for a note of Rs. 1,000. |
| Bareilly ... | Aonla ... ... ... | Ditto ... | 6,500 | 4 ditto ... | ... | 247 8 0 | 247 8 0 | 207 8 0 | 40 0 0 | |
| Ditto ... | Ramna Gulzar Bagh ... | Ditto ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | |
| Cawnpore ... | Amarnath ... ... | Ditto ... | 4,000 | 4 ditto ... | 80 0 0 | 160 0 0 | 240 0 0 | ... | 240 0 0 | No interest drawn during the year. |
| Allahabad ... | Gopalganj bazar ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | |
| Gorakhpur ... | Domangarh ... ... | Ditto ... | 1,000 | 4 ditto ... | 20 0 0 | 40 0 0 | 60 0 0 | 60 0 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Dharmsala ... ... | Ditto ... | 1,500 | 4 ditto ... | 30 0 0 | 60 0 0 | 90 0 0 | 90 0 0 | ... | |
| Benares ... | Colla Singana Chetty's Chaultri, | Ditto ... | 10,000 | 4 ditto ... | 570 0 0 | 380 0 0 | 950 0 0 | 950 0 0 | ... | One note for Rs. 500 was purchased during the year. |
| Ditto ... | Ditto ditto ... | Ditto ... | 1,000 | 4½ reduced to 4 per cent. | 67 8 0 | 42 8 0 | 110 0 0 | 110 0 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Ditto ditto ... | Cash deposit ... | 10,000 | 6 ditto ... | ... | 627 0 0 | 627 0 0 | 627 0 0 | ... | Rs. 27 allowed extra on account sicca rupees. |
| Ditto ... | Venkat Acharya's bequest ... | Government notes, | 13,000 | 4 ditto ... | ... | 520 0 0 | 520 0 0 | 520 0 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum. | Ditto ... | 48,000 | 5 ditto ... | 400 0 0 | 2,400 0 0 | 2,800 0 0 | 2,800 0 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Ditto ditto ... | Ditto ... | 500 | 4½ ditto ... | ... | 22 8 0 | 22 8 0 | 22 8 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Ditto ditto ... | Ditto ... | 14,600 | 4 ditto ... | ... | 584 0 0 | 584 0 0 | 504 0 0 | 80 0 0 | |
| Ditto ... | Munshi Sital Singh's bequest ... | Ditto ... | 4,000 | 4½ reduced to 4 per cent. | 90 0 0 | 170 0 0 | 260 0 0 | 180 0 0 | 80 0 0 | |
| Ditto ... | Ditto ditto ... | Ditto ... | 25,700 | 4 ditto ... | 350 0 0 | 1,028 0 0 | 1,378 0 0 | 1,378 0 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Rájghat Dharamsala ... | Ditto ... | 4,500 | 4 ditto ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | Purchased during the year. |
| Ditto ... | Thomason Testimonial ... | Ditto ... | 1,300 | 4 ditto ... | ... | 52 0 0 | 52 0 0 | 52 0 0 | ... | |
| | | Total ... | 1,64,100 | | 1,607 8 0 | 6,975 4 8 | 8,582 12 8 | 8,142 12 8 | 440 0 0 | |

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*Statement of assets and liabilities of Benevolent Trusts, exclusive of the value of landed property and houses, at the close of the revenue year 1878-79.*

| Division. | District. | Name of Trust. | Assets. Government securities. | Assets. Cash in hand. | Assets. Recoverable arrears of rent. | Assets. Recoverable arrears of interest. | Assets. Total. | Liabilities. Surplus collections. | Liabilities. Advances. | Liabilities. Total. | Surplus. | Deficit. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | | | | Rs. a. p. | | |
| MEERUT ... | Meerut ... | Dispensary endowment of Harsahai Mal and Ganga Ram. | 2,500 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 2,500 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 2,500 0 0 | ... | |
| KUMAUN ... | Kumaun ... Garhwál ... Tarái ... | Sadabart ... | ... | 549 7 7 | 935 8 3 | ... | 1,484 15 10 | ... | ... | ... | 1,484 15 10 | ... | |
| ROHILKHAND. | Bijnor ... | Sherkot ... | 16,000 0 0 | 1,492 5 2 | ... | ... | 17,492 5 2 | ... | ... | ... | 17,492 5 2 | ... | |
| | Bareilly ... | Aonla ... | 6,500 0 0 | 1,194 4 8 | 57 0 6 | 40 0 0 | 7,791 5 2 | ... | ... | ... | 7,791 5 2 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | Ramna Gulzar Bagh ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | |
| ALLAHABAD. | Cawnpore ... | Amarnath ... | 4,000 0 0 | 2,065 14 8 | ... | 240 0 0 | 6,305 14 8 | ... | ... | ... | 6,305 14 8 | ... | |
| | Allahabad ... | Gopalganj bazar ... | ... | 1,759 2 5 | ... | ... | 1,759 2 5 | ... | ... | ... | 1,759 2 5 | ... | |
| BENARES ... | Gorakhpur ... | Domangarh ... | 1,000 0 0 | 28 9 7 | ... | ... | 1,028 9 7 | ... | ... | ... | 1,028 9 7 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | Dharamsala ... | 1,500 0 0 | 152 10 6 | ... | ... | 1,652 10 6 | ... | ... | ... | 1,652 10 6 | ... | |
| | Benares ... | Colla Singhana Chetty's Chaultri. | 21,000 0 0 | 276 1 0 | ... | ... | 21,276 1 0 | ... | ... | ... | 21,276 1 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | Venkat Acharya's bequest. | 13,000 0 0 | 148 11 10 | ... | ... | 13,148 11 10 | ... | ... | ... | 13,148 11 10 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum. | 63,100 0 0 | 8 1 0 | ... | 80 0 0 | 63,188 1 0 | ... | ... | ... | 63,188 1 0 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | Munshi Sital Singh's bequest. | 29,700 0 0 | 371 12 3 | ... | 80 0 0 | 30,151 12 3 | ... | ... | ... | 30,151 12 3 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | Rajghat Dharamsala ... | 4,500 0 0 | 358 14 2 | ... | ... | 4,858 14 2 | ... | ... | ... | 4,858 14 2 | ... | |
| | Ditto ... | Thomason Testimonial ... | 1,300 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1,300 0 0 | ... | ... | ... | 1,300 0 0 | ... | |
| | | Total ... | 1,64,100 0 0 | 8,405 14 10 | 992 8 9 | 440 0 0 | 1,73,938 7 7 | ... | ... | ... | 1,73,938 7 7 | ... | |

STATEMENT No. XVII.—*Showing particulars of the Educational Endowments in the North-Western Provinces, for the Revenue year* 1878-79.

| District. | Name of donor and date of endowment. | Donor's wishes if any. | Detail of property comprised in the endowment. | In whose name invested. | Under whose authority receipts are expended. | Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out. | Income of year under report. Surplus of former years. | Ordinary income of year. | Total. | Expenditure of year under report as set forth in column 7. | Surplus if any. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Agra ... | Mr. Robertson, Mr. Mansell. The teachers of the Agra College. | For scholarship, | Government promissory notes for Rs. 15,000. | Collector of Agra. | The Principal of the Agra College under Director's sanction. | Allotted as scholarships. | 2,979 5 3 | 1,029 8 0 | 4,008 13 3 | 1,384 0 0 | 2,704 13 3 | The Principal, Agra College, says that the reason why the scholarship money was allowed to accumulate was that in some years no students fulfilled the condition of award laid down by Government. The surplus will be invested. |
| Ditto ... | Friends of Mr. Colvin. | Ditto ... | Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,000. | Ditto ... | Ditto ... | Ditto ditto. | | | | | | |
| Ditto ... | Mahárájas Gwalior and Bharatpur. | Ditto ... | Annual donation of Rs. 392. | Ditto ... | Ditto ... | Ditto ditto. | | | | | | |
| Ditto ... | Late Pandit Ganga Dhar Shastri. | For the support of the Agra College. | Village Chaumuha, zila Muttra. Village Jhundavi, zila Muttra. Taluka Iglás, zila Aligarh. | Collectors of Muttra and Aligarh. | Receipts credited to Government treasuries by the Collectors. | ... | ... | 13,572 2 4 | 13,572 2 4 | ... | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Honourable J. Thomason, late Lieutenant-Governor of North-Western Provinces, April, 1857. | Assignment of scholarships to the pupil teachers of the Normal School, Agra. | Four Government promissory notes worth Rs. 3,000. | Collector of Agra and the Inspector of schools, Agra Division. | Under Inspector's authority. | Assignment of scholarships to the Normal School, Agra. | ... | 135 0 0 | 135 0 0 | 135 0 0 | ... | |
| Bareilly ... | Ex-students Bareily College, January, 1869. | A scholarship for the best boy in the entrance examination who goes up for the first arts. | Government paper of 4 per cent. Rs. 3,000. | *Mr. H. Templeton. | Director, Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh. | Allotted as scholarships. | 148 8 0 | 120 0 0 | 268 8 0 | 268 8 0 | ... | *By an oversight of the donors, invested in the name of the late Mr. Templeton. Steps are being taken to rectify this mistake. |
| Ditto ... | Mr. Templeton's friends and old pupils. February, 1875. | A gold medal for the best boy in the entrance examination. | Government paper for Rs. 1,000. | Mr. R. A. Lloyd and Pandit Ram Narayan. | Ditto ... | Awarded as a reward. | ... | 40 0 0 | 40 0 0 | 40 0 0 | ... | |
| Aligarh ... | Mr. G. H. Lawrence, dated 5th August, 1870. | To be spent on the Aligarh Government Boarding-house establishment. | Money invested in Government security Rs. 7,500. | Collector of Aligarh. | Collector's authority. | Establishment entertained for the Boarders. | 11 5 10 | 300 0 | 311 5 10 | 300 0 0 | 11 5 10 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Moradabad ... | Private subscriptions. Halkabandi schools, Muftan, value of arms, Musumat Mawa, old school fund. On various dates during 1861—1863. | Maintenance of the zila school and the library. | Rs. 75,700 invested in Government paper, and 11 shops yielding a monthly rent of Rs. 22-10. | Collector of Moradabad and Inspector of Schools of the division. | Collector of Moradabad and the Inspector of the division. | Monthly allowance has hitherto been given to the teachers of the zila school. | ... | ... | ... | ... | | The Collector says the information required cannot well be furnished at present as the accounts of this endowment are kept up according to financial year, under Board's Book Circular No. 8, dated 13th June, 1874. |
| Etáwah ... | Mr. A. O. Hume, C. B., dated 5th March, 1868. | Interest to be devoted to scholarships. | Government promissory notes for Rs 7,200, 4½ per cent. | Collector ... | Collector ... | 4 scholarships, the value of Rs. 27 a month are given to the best boys of Hume's High School | 542 10 3 | 306 0 0 | 848 10 3 | 299 0 0 | 549 10 3 | |
| Ditto ... | Nawab of Tonk, | Ditto ... | Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,600, 4 per cent. | Ditto ... | Ditto ... | 2 scholarships to the value of Rs. 40 a year are given to the 2 boys who pass best in mathematics. | 0 14 0 | 40 0 0 | 40 14 0 | 20 0 0 | 20 14 0 | |
| Ditto ... | Local Educational Committee, dated 21st March, 1871. | Interest to be devoted to scholarships. | Government promissory notes for Rs 2,500, 4 per cent. | Collector and Head-master. | Collector and Head-master. | Four monthly scholarships of Rs 2 each are given to halkabandi boys who prosecute their studies in Hume's High School. | 14 15 8 | 271 2 0 | 286 1 8 | 36 0 0 | 250 1 8 | |
| Cawnpore ... | Social Improvement Society, Cawnpore, dated 28th February, 1870. | For scholarshsips, prizes and medals. | Government notes for Rs. 3,000, 4 per cent. | Collector of Cawnpore. | Mir Imdad Ali, C. S. I. | Awarded as scholarships, &c., in the zila school. | 159 0 0 | * *Nil* | 159 0 0 | 120 0 0 | 39 0 0 | * The interest was drawn and credited to the school fund of the district in November, 1879. |
| Benares ... | Gházipur scholarship, year 1845. | Transferred from Gházipur for the support of students from Gházipur. | Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,800 @ 4 per cent. | Collector of Benares. | Principal, Benares College. | Has been regularly given to a student studying in the College from Gházipur. | ... | 72 0 0 | 72 0 0 | 72 0 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Raja Sattya Charn Ghoshal, dated 27th March, 1845. | For the foundation of a scholarship in the Benares College. | Government paper for Rs. 5,000. | Ditto ... | Ditto ... | Has been equally divided between two students of the 1st and 2nd year classes. | 12 0 0 | 200 0 0 | 212 0 0 | 192 0 0 | 20 0 0 | |
| Ditto ... | Mr. Tucker, dated 24th December, 1858. | For scholarships for general proficiency and good conduct. | Government paper for Rs. 5,000 @ 4 per cent. | Ditto ... | Ditto ... | Has been given to the two students of the 3rd and 4th year classes for general merit. | 91 8 0 | 212 8 0 | 304 0 0 | †245 15 2 | 58 0 10 | † This includes Rs. 16-11-2 cost of 2 silver Tucker medals. The M. A., student for whom this scholarship was sanctioned left the College; hence the savings. |

STATEMENT No. XVII.—*Showing particulars of the Educational Endowments in the North-Western Provinces, for the Revenue year* 1878-79—(concluded).

| District. | Name of donor and date of endowment. | Donor's wishes if any. | Detail of property comprised in the endowment. | In whose name invested. | Under whose authority receipts are expended. | Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out. | *Income of year under report.* Surplus of former year. | Ordinary income of year. | Total. | Expenditure of year under report as set forth in column 7. | Surplus if any. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | |
| Benares ... | Maháraja Rewah, dated 18th October, 1865. | Scholarship to a student who passes best in entrance examination. | Government paper for Rs. 5,300 @ 4 per cent. | Commissioner of Benares Division. | Principal, Benares College. | Has been given in scholarships. | 337 8 0 | 230 8 0 | 568 0 0 | 478 13 4 | 89 2 8 | * This includes the sum of Rs. 294-5-4 spent on the purchase of three promissory notes each for Rs. 100 from the savings of former years interest. |
| Ditto ... | Maháraja Vizianagram, dated 29th, May 1865. | Scholarship for proficiency in English. | Calcutta municipal debentures Rs. 7,000 @ 6 per cent. | Ditto ... | Ditto ... | Has been given to the two students of the 3rd and 4th year classes for excellence in English. | 58 0 0 | 416 0 0 | 474 0 0 | 416 0 0 | 58 0 0 | The surplus is spent on the purchase of the Vizianagram gold medal every year. |
| Ditto ... | Radha Bibi, dated 6th August, 1860. | Scholarship for proficiency in Vedanta. | Government promissory notes for Rs. 500 @ 4 per cent. | Collector of Benares. | Ditto ... | Has been given for proficiency in Vedanta Philosophy in the Sanskrit College. | ... | 21 4 0 | 21 4 0 | 21 4 0 | ... | |
| Ditto ... | Sayyad Ahmad Khan, 1877. | Scholarship for excellence in Physical Science. | Government promissory note for Rs. 2,000. Ditto for Rs. 100. | Collector of Benares and the Principal, Benares College. | Ditto ... | Has been given to two students of the 3rd year class for excellence in Physical Science. | 30 11 1 | 82 0 0 | 112 11 1 | 82 0 0 | 30 11 1 | |
| Gorakhpur ... | Ali Bakhsh Khan late Subordinate Judge Gorakhpur. | To support female schools. | Cash Rs. 1,000. | District School Committee. | District School Committee. | By grant of scholarships. | 20 0 0 | 40 0 0 | 60 0 0 | 15 0 0 | 45 0 0 | |
| Mirzapur ... | Subscriptions from the merchants of Mirzapur. | To maintain the teachers of the Oriental department connected with the zila school at Mirzapur. | Forty shares in the capital stock of the Bank of Bengal for Rs. 20,000. | Collector Ex-officio Trustee Endowment Fund. | President L. E. Committee and Ex-officio Trustee Endowment Fund. | Teachers of Sanskrit, Persian, Mahajni and Arabic are paid, and prizes and rewards given. | 3,188 0 6 | 1,981 15 6 | 5,170 0 0 | 1,420 0 0 | †3,750 0 0 | † This comprises Rs. 295-2-11 on account of savings, and interest of Government promissory notes for Rs. 3,000, purchased for construction of a Boarding-house here. |
| Bulandshahr. | Collected by private subscriptions, 1863. | Contribution to the salaries of the teachers of the zila school. | Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,800 at 4½ per cent. | Magistrate of Bulandshahr. | Educational Committee, Bulandshahr. | Spent as noted in column 3. | ... | 261 0 0 | 261 0 0 | 261 0 0 | ... | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Allahabad ... | Friends of the late Colonel Turnbull, R.E., dated 23rd September 1870. | Scholarship to be held by Jat scholars in Meerut Division. | Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,000 at 4 and 4½ per cent. | Director of Public Instruction, N.-W. P. and Oudh. | Director of Public Instruction, N.-W. P. and Oudh. | Has been given in scholarships to Ját boys in Meerut Division. | 660 | 3 | 1 | 312 | 4 | 4 | 972 | 7 | 5 | 144 | 1 | 0 | 828 | 6 | 5 | A new Government promissory note has since been purchased out of the surplus. |
| Ditto ... | Mahárája Vizianagram, 16th June, 1870 and 19th May, 1870. | ... | ... | ... | ... | Awarded for general proficiency. | 250 | 0 | 5 | 1,539 | 6 | 3 | 1,789 | 6 | 8 | 1,508 | 14 | 3 | 280 | 8 | 5 | |
| | Nawab of Rámpur, 8th November, 1869. | ... | ... | ... | ... | Ditto in English. | 45 | 8 | 7 | 208 | 10 | 1 | 254 | 2 | 8 | 193 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 13 | 8 | |
| | Munshi Haidar Hussain and Chaudhri Dhyan Singh, 16th June, 1870. | ... | ... | ... | ... | A prize given for proficiency in Sanskrit and Persian alternately. | 5 | 12 | 4 | 26 | 8 | 8 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | |
| | Raja of Pannah, 17th December, 1870 | Scholarships, | Government promissory notes of 4 and 4½ per cent. loans of 1865 and 1879. | Collector of Allahabad, and Principal, Muir Central College, Allahabad. | By order of Government, N.-W. P. and Oudh. | Proficiency in Sanskrit. | 18 | 15 | 9 | 121 | 15 | 0 | 140 | 14 | 9 | 115 | 6 | 9 | 25 | 8 | 0 | |
| | Raja of Rewah, 17th March, 1873. | ... | | | | Ditto ditto ... | 18 | 13 | 1 | 143 | 8 | 0 | 162 | 5 | 1 | 140 | 3 | 6 | 22 | 1 | 7 | |
| | Mahárája Parshotamji, 12th November, 1873 | ... | | | ... | Ditto ditto ... | ... | | | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 12 | 3 | ‡ | | | ‡ The balance goes towards the part payment of the debt (Rs. 30-2-0) incurred in previous years on account of this scholarship. |
| | Rája Charkhari, 13th April, 1874. | ... | ... | ... | ... | Ditto in English and Sanskrit. | 150 | 10 | 9 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 390 | 10 | 9 | 237 | 6 | 6 | 153 | 4 | 3 | |
| | Mr. Hammond, 17th January, 1875. | ... | ... | ... | ... | Proficiency in law, | 321 | 3 | 10 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 3 | 10 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 344 | 3 | 10 | |
| | Nawab Ali Asgar Khan, C. S. I., of Rámpur, 25th July, 1877 | Arabic scholarships. | Endowment by a waqfanama to be a charge on his landed property made over in trust for this and other purposes. | ... | ... | Ditto in Arabic, | 600 | 0 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 0 | 1,200 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 3 | 965 | 15 | 9 | |
| | | | | Ditto | Ditto | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

R. GRIFFITH,

*Director, Public Instruction,*
*N.-W. P. and Oudh.*

# ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT.

No. 1643 of 1880.

From

The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT,
N.-W. Provinces and Oudh,

To

The SECRETARY to the BOARD of REVENUE,
North-Western Provinces.

Dated Naini Tal, the 29th September, 1880.

Sir,

Revenue Department.

I am directed to acknowledge your letter No. $\frac{449}{X.-22}$, dated the 20th May last, with which the Junior Member (Mr. Hume) submitted the report of the Court of Wards for the year ending 30th September, 1879; and in reply to communicate the following remarks.

2. The number of estates under the Court on the 1st October, 1878, was 91. During the year the management of 12 more was assumed, while only two were released, so that accounts are furnished for 103 estates, and the number under the Court on the 30th September, 1879, was 101. The actual rental of the six estates relinquished in 1877-78 was Rs. 41,621, and the estimated rental of the 12, of which superintendence was assumed, Rs. 3,06,024, of which 2½ lacs are for the Dube estate alone. This property, belonging to the dissolute and spendthrift Rája of Jaunpur, was only taken over, after much hesitation, on political grounds, and not out of any consideration for the personal character of its owner. The task of placing its affairs in good order has proved even more troublesome than was anticipated. The debts, represented by the Rája to be 2½ lacs, have, on close investigation, risen to nearly 7 lacs; and the Rája himself has, by his connivance with his creditors, placed difficulties in the way of clearing up the accounts, which are in a most confused state. Still it is hoped that the property may ultimately be extricated from its liabilities without having to sacrifice any considerable portion; and it will be satisfactory if this prove to be the case, although it is not so that time and labor should be bestowed on saving the property, when the efforts made with that object are thwarted by the misconduct of the Rája himself. It is to be hoped that the future representatives of the family will prove more grateful for the very great consideration that has been shown it.

3. The 103 estates under the Court are classified as follows:—

| | |
|---|---|
| Of disqualified females ... ... ... ... | 11 |
| Of minors ... ... ... ... ... | 66 |
| Of lunatics ... ... ... ... ... | 8 |
| Otherwise incapable ... ... ... ... | 2 |
| Disqualified by Government ... ... ... | 22 |
| Encumbered estates managed like Court of Wards' estates ... | 2 |
| Total ... | 111 |

eight being shown twice over. As remarked by the Board, there does not appear to have been undue readiness to assume charge of estates brought to the verge of ruin by the extravagance or mismanagement of adult male proprietors.

4. The number of villages and shares in villages, revenue-paying and revenue-free, under the Court's management was 3,982, compared with 3,297 in the previous year, as detailed in para. 10 of the report. Area and population statements are promised in future, but in any case it will be better to postpone their compilation till after the approaching census. The statements will doubtless afford interesting information, but the Lieutenant-Governor would dispense with it, if its collection will unduly increase the labor—already onerous enough—connected with the management of these numerous properties.

5. The revenue paid by the Court increased from Rs. 10,48,814 in 1877-78 to Rs. 12,58,998, and the rental demand from Rs. 21,60,974 to Rs. 25,01,083, or between 15 and 20 per cent. The proportion to the revenue demand of the whole provinces is $\frac{1}{34}$th, while the income from all sources was Rs. 32,68,000, against Rs. 26,37,000 in 1877-78.

6. Statement II. shows the assets and liabilities of the estates on the 30th September, 1879, exclusive of the value of landed property, stock, and houses. The assets amounted to Rs. 25,70,234 as follows:—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Government securities ... ... ... | 8,83,292 |
| Debts secured ... ... .. | 5,96,672 |
| Unsecured debts ... ... ... | 76,381 |
| Takâvi advances ... ... ... | 17,768 |
| Recoverable arrears of cash rents and sayer ... | 3,65,307 |
| Cash balance ... ... ... | 6,30,814 |
| Total ... | 25,70,234 |

The liabilities were Rs. 29,87,353 as follows:—

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Government loans ... ... ... | 7,23,205 |
| Court of Wards loans ... ... ... | 1,98,294 |
| Other debts ... ... ... | 20,65,854 |
| Total ... | 29,87,353 |

7. Fifty-one estates have a surplus and 46 a deficit. During the year savings were effected to the extent of nearly 3 lacs, while nearly 1¾ lacs of debts were paid off. The largest surplus, Rs. 5,27,421, belongs to the Kantit estate ; and the largest deficit, Rs. 5,76,315, to the Dube estate lately taken under the Court. Other estates with a large surplus are,—Sherkot, Rs. 1,28,140 ; Awa, Rs. 4,92,973 ; Manda, Rs. 3,88,920 ; Agori Barhar, Rs. 2,84,714. The Sanwani estate, which is hopelessly involved, has a deficit of Rs. 5,46,622 ; the Sarai Bharat of Rs. 1,29,474 ; and the estate of Shaikh Faiyaz Ali of Rs. 1,09,948. As remarked by the Junior Member, however, the important point to bear in mind, in con-

nection with a deficit, is not the amount of the deficit itself so much as the annual surplus which can be devoted towards paying it off.

8. For convenience of reference, the abstract table of receipts and expenditure, given in para. 20, for this and the preceding year, may be reproduced here :—

| RECEIPTS. | 1878-79. | 1877-78. |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | Rs. |
| Rental ... ... ... | 24,59,258 | 19,67,057 |
| Interest on cash investments or mortgages. | 38,166 | 32,800 |
| Miscellaneous income ... ... | 3,91,984 | 4,94,169 |
| Loans and recoveries of debts ... | 3,66,832 | 1,20,644 |
| Takávi recovered ... ... | 11,723 | 22,813 |
| Cash balance at beginning of the year... | 4,31,176 | 4,80,321 |
| Total ... | 36,99,139 | 31,17,804 |

| EXPENDITURE. | Rs. | Rs. |
|---|---|---|
| Government revenue and cesses ... | 14,71,429 | 12,17,019 |
| Occupier's rate ... ... | 83,753 | 62,602 |
| Cost of management ... ... | 1,32,750 | 1,21,321 |
| Miscellaneous charges ... ... | 3,14,615 | 2,92,374 |
| Personal expenses, &c. ... ... | 3,56,091 | 3,69,666 |
| Improvements... ... ... | 38,929 | 36,938 |
| Takávi ... ... ... | 7,169 | 38,032 |
| Repayment of loans ... ... | 4,87,082 | 2,61,131 |
| Purchase of Government securities ... | 1,32,478 | 1,00,901 |
| Purchase of landed property ... | 14,801 | 67,841 |
| Loans on mortgage ... ... | 29,228 | 1,18,803 |
| Cash balance ... ... ... | 6,30,814 | 4,31,176 |
| Total ... | 36,99,139 | 31,17,804 |

9. The rental demand, collections, and balances are shown in statement III. The total demand was Rs. 29,97,705, of which Rs. 4,96,622 were on account of arrears, and Rs. 25,01,083 on account of current demand. The collections were for arrears Rs. 1,33,143, and for current demand Rs. 23,26,115, or Rs. 24,59,258 in all. The balances were Rs. 5,38,446, classed as follows :—Recoverable, Rs. 3,41,027 ; doubtful, Rs. 1,37,270 ; irrecoverable, Rs. 60,149. The percentage of collections to demand was,—on account of arrears, 27 per cent. ; current demand, 93 per cent. ; or 82 per cent. on the whole : while the percentage of irrecoverable balance to total demand was 2·01. In 1877-78 only 88 per cent. of the current and 23 per cent. of the arrear demand were collected, so that there was a marked improvement on the previous year. By far the largest demand was for the Awa estate, and the backwardness of the collections for it have affected the provincial average. The arrears were no less than Rs. 2,26,630, of which Rs. 29,670 or 13 per cent. were collected, although Rs. 1,44,934 of the remainder is classed as recoverable. Mr. Benson was only in charge for eight months of the year, and had unusual difficulties to contend with, the accounts being in the utmost disorder, while the terrible fever epidemic prostrated almost the entire popu-

lation. The Junior Member's expectations of better results in future will doubtless be realised under the management of an officer so well known for zeal and ability as Mr. Crooke. As a rule, the arrears are almost nominal on estates which have been sometime under management. Thus, the Manda estate, with a rent-roll of Rs. 1,93,476, had arrears of Rs. 219 only, and the total balance at the close of the year was only Rs. 740, the whole of which is recoverable. In this case, however, the bulk of the property is leased, and collections from lessees of a proportion of the rental is a far easier task than collecting from tenants directly. Generally, in estimating the value of the results shown in regard to the realisation of the demand, allowance must, as the Junior Member remarks, be made for the large proportion of villages that are held on farm.

10. The other items of receipt call for little comment; but it is noticed, as showing the increasing importance of the Board's duties, that whereas in 1874 the sums invested in notes and mortgages were Rs. 3,87,700 and Rs. 1,91,144, respectively; in 1878-79 the Court held Rs. 8,83,292 in notes, railway shares, and savings banks deposits, besides secured and unsecured debts to the amount of Rs. 6,73,053. The payments on account of Government revenue, rates, and cesses, have similarly increased from Rs. 8,76,530 to Rs. 15,55,182.

11. The Junior Member has noticed the question of management at some length. The cost is shown in statement IV., as averaging 4·59 per cent. on receipts for all estates. But the Junior Member has rightly pointed out that when leases are resorted to, as they are very extensively in some districts, the cost shown by no means represents the real cost, and the figures are so far deceptive. The last column in statement IV. professes to show the percentage of the Government revenue proper on the rental of each estate for 1878-79; but for the reasons given in para. 35 of the report, this calculation also is based on defective data, and cannot be accepted as representing the actual facts. If it is practicable, the total rental demand of each estate, and not merely the demand on those who have for a fixed sum been entrusted with the management of certain portions of it, should be given in the report.

12. The net profits for the year were Rs. 8,89,914. Against these have to be set off Rs. 2,62,268 on account of ordinary, and Rs. 84,561 on account of extraordinary personal expenses, the percentage being 39 per cent. Adding miscellaneous disbursements on account of educational charges, Rs. 9,262; works of improvement, Rs. 38,929; takávi advances, Rs. 7,169; repayment of principal of loans made by the Court of Wards or Government, Rs. 63,997; repayment of principal of other debts, Rs. 4,23,085; purchase of Government securities, Rs. 1,32,478; purchase of landed property and houses, Rs. 14,801; and loans granted on mortgage or otherwise, Rs. 29,228,—the total personal expenditure and miscellaneous disbursements come to Rs. 10,65,778, the deficit being caused by the paying off of over 3 lacs of debt on the Dube estate.

13. The Junior Member's policy of discountenancing excessive expenditure on marriages, funerals, &c., is highly commendable, and

justified by every consideration of public interest. The more intelligent heads of families would doubtless often be glad to avoid this if they could, but are reluctant to incur the social odium which the unfortunate notions prevalent in native society on the subject might draw upon them. It is therefore all the more incumbent on the Court to set a good example in this respect, and to use all its influence to put down an evil which has done so much to bring many families into pecuniary difficulties.

14. The sum spent on improvements, Rs. 38,929, is again very small, but it is expected a much larger sum will be spent during the current year. Statement VI. gives a list of the estates on which improvements have been undertaken, and their nature. As special orders have lately been passed concerning these, with a view to their being systematically carried out under the Department of Agriculture and Commerce, it is not necessary to allude to them further, beyond saying that it is one of the chief duties of the Court to do all in its power towards the permanent improvement of the estates under it. Now that the Court's duty in this respect is clearly recognised, His Honor is convinced that the subject will in future receive the attention it merits, which hitherto has not been the case. In passing the budgets and receiving the reports of each estate, the Board have an opportunity of insisting on the carrying out of the policy that is from every point of view incumbent on the managers. They should gradually obtain from the officers in charge a brief notice of every village in each solvent and wealthy estate, showing its capabilities of improvement and the means of effecting it, and thus at last be able to draw up an intelligent programme, which can be carried out in the best and most expeditious way that circumstances permit. Too much should not be expected at once; but by adopting this course, and dealing with few villages at a time on each estate, a satisfactory idea of what is possible and what it is desirable to aim at could be obtained. At present improvements seem to be proposed in a haphazard and desultory way. The aim should be to concentrate attention and efforts on a limited portion of an estate which could be taken thoroughly in hand.

15. Some stress was laid on the granting of takávi in the orders on last year's report, but the total amount thus advanced was only Rs. 7,169, against Rs. 38,032 in 1877-78. This is ascribed to the better circumstances of the cultivating classes; but if takávi is freely given on moderate terms, it is not clear why it should not be preferred to advances from money-lenders, whose terms are too generally exorbitant, though the remark in para. 40 would imply that recourse is more readily made to the latter. The experiments with the agricultural banks on the Agori Barhar and Kantit estates are still in progress.

16. Statement VII. shows the debts paid off from date of superintendence to the close of the revenue year 1878-79. The debts due on the 102 estates there shown, when superintendence was first assumed, were Rs 60,27,662, and those incurred afterwards come to Rs. 18,10,943. Rs. 50,11,816 have since been paid off, exclusive of interest, leaving a balance of Rs. 28,26,789 still due.

17. During the year Rs. 4,87,082 were repaid on account of loans, as compared with Rs. 2,61,131 in 1877-78, and the sums invested were—

| | 1878-79. | 1877-78. |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | Rs. |
| Government securities ... ... | 1,32,478 | 1,00,901 |
| Landed property ... ... ... | 14,801 | 67,841 |
| Loans granted on mortgage ... ... | 29,228 | 1,18,803 |
| Total ... ... | 1,76,507 | 2,87,545 |

There were 3¾ lacs saved during the year for investment, but it was understood by many officers that the orders of Government prevented the treasury balances being decreased. This was not, as the Junior Member supposes, a misconception on their part. It was the intended effect of the orders of Government, but the restriction was very temporary, and was soon withdrawn. The cash balances, which were as high as Rs. 6,30,814, have since been mostly invested.

18. The most wealthy estates are the Kantit with Rs. 3,67,000 in Government securities, a cash balance of Rs. 1,01,304, secured debts Rs. 38,777, and a net income of Rs. 1,09,816; the Awa with Rs. 2,00,000 in Government securities, a cash balance of Rs. 37,926, secured debts Rs. 68,866, and a net income of Rs. 1,79,209; Pratap Chand's with Rs. 21,000 in Government securities, cash balance Rs. 81,237, secured debts Rs. 2,49,378, and a net income of Rs. 34,220; and the Agori Barhar with Rs. 1,38,500 in Government securities, cash balance Rs. 78,627, secured debts Rs. 58,042, and a net income of Rs. 49,329. Once an estate becomes free from debt, the accumulation of funds under the Court's management is rapid; and the impolicy of leaving large balances of ready money for the minor to squander on coming of age, which has been repeatedly dwelt on, should not be lost sight of. Only two estates are hopelessly insolvent,—the Sanwani, the affairs of which have given so much trouble, and the Rani Damar in the Etah district. The estate of the Rája of Jaunpur is deeply involved, so also the Majhauli, Mithwal, Sarai Bharat and others of less importance.

19. Statement VIII. gives details of the loans made to estates by Government and the Court of Wards. There are only eight State loans unliquidated, the oldest of which for Rs. 25,000 was given in July, 1869, to the estate of Kunj Bihari Lal, and the most recent in March, 1876, for Rs. 48,000, to the Arjunpur estate. The total amount actually advanced was Rs. 10,04,029, and the amount due, including interest, at the close of the year, was Rs. 7,23,205. The payments made were Rs. 3,02,633 on account of principal, and Rs. 4,05,103 on account of interest; Rs. 7,07,736 in all. There were no arrears due on account of principal, and Rs. 21,809 only on account of interest. By far the most considerable loan was that for Rs. 7,55,529 to the Majhauli estate, of which more than 2 lacs has been paid off.

Twelve loans have been made by the Court to estates under it, aggregating Rs. 2,29,712. The amount due at the close of the year, including interest, was Rs. 1,98,294. Rs. 31,626 had been repaid on

account of principal, and Rs. 42,564 on account of interest, up to the close of the year, or Rs. 74,191 in all; and the only arrears were Rs. 209 on account of interest. In both cases these are nominal, and due to the system of account.

20. Statement IX. shows only 118 notices of ejectment were issued by estates under the Court for an area of 1,087 acres, and 46 of these were on the Awa estate. It is presumed the Board satisfied themselves that the issue of notice of ejectment was in these cases judicious. Seven cases for an area of 245 acres were contested successfully, 35 for an area of 465 acres unsuccessfully, 42 tenants relinquished their holdings with an area of 148 acres, and 34 holding 228 acres were allowed to remain on at enhanced rates.

21. In Statement X. the headings "cultivated area" in column 4 and "area in acres" (column 7), with "Rs. a. p." underneath, is evidently a mistake. Eighty applications for enhancement of rent were decreed during the year, 40 of which were for the Manda estate. Probably this resulted from the recent revision of the Government demand on this estate. The number of tenants concerned was 671, holding an area of 10,831 acres, for which the rent previously paid was Rs. 12,851, and the rent decreed Rs. 15,811. These figures are a proof that comparatively good feeling exists between the Court and its tenantry. It would be interesting to know also the number of suits for arrears of rent, and the number of distraints.

22. Seven estates were in arrear for the current Government demand at the close of the year to the amount of Rs. 12,719, besides the local cesses (Rs. 539) and the patwári rate (Rs. 239); and three for the demand of the previous year to the amount of Rs. 2,436, and local cesses, Rs. 53. In two cases—Dharpura-Gidhaina and Parna—these are due to inability to pay on account of loss by drought and deterioration. They will, however, be liquidated next year.

23. The notices of estates are, as usual, full and interesting. As they are intended, however, mainly as a manual of reference for district officers, they do not call for detailed comment. In many districts Assistant Collectors have been put in charge of estates, but in some Collectors entirely retain the management in their own hands. Where this is the case, it is presumed there were good reasons for their doing so.

24. In paras. 55 to 61 of the report the Junior Member raises the question of the expediency of granting farming leases of villages belonging to estates under the Court, and the Lieutenant-Governor concurs generally in his remarks. Farming, when resorted to simply as a means of lessening the trouble of management, is for many reasons to be deprecated; and the letting of villages to strangers and mere speculators may be definitely forbidden in future, as suggested in para. 59. But exceptional cases are more numerous than the Junior Member is inclined to allow; and when the farmer is a resident cultivator, and has probably been allowed to hold the village on this tenure for sometime, his management and his treatment of the tenants are as considerate and conducive to the prosperity of the village as that of a proprietor. Where the con-

ditions mentioned in para. 57 exist, there is no objection to leases being granted, and indeed it may often be the form of management best suited to the peculiar circumstances of a village. The cost of management is merely a secondary consideration. The primary object of a proprietor is to secure the largest possible net income out of his estate without permanently impairing its resources, and the actual cost of management is no test whatever of whether this is being done. So long as the net income is increased, the cost of management is immaterial, and the Court should utilise the larger estates as a school for training up a staff of managers of a superior class for whom there will always be ample employment. Awa offers a peculiarly favorable field in this respect, and there ought to be no complaint of a lack of trained officials; and when these are available, direct management can present no very great difficulties. It is for the Court to see that the policy laid down is duly carried out, and direct management made the rule unless there are special reasons to the contrary.

25. The investment of the surplus funds at the Court's disposal assumes yearly increasing importance. Suitable investments in land cannot always be made, and Government paper, railway shares, &c., besides giving a low rate of interest, are open to the objection that they can be converted into money, and spent as soon as the proprietor comes into his estates. Something, far more than has yet been the case, may be laid out in improvements; but the great bulk of the savings must be invested in loans, and it is with regard to these that the Junior Member asks for definite orders. He proposes (para. 67) to lay down a distinct rule that no loans are to be henceforth made by the Court of Wards out of their trust funds, except to properties under their own management, and likely so to continue until the whole, or the major portion at any rate, of the loan will have been recovered. He does this on the general ground that it is inexpedient for the Court to enter into competition with the general banking interests of the country. In regard to this it may be said that the Court has never made a practice of doing this, and the few loans of this kind granted have been made under very exceptional circumstances, as in the recent case of the estate of the Rája of Barah in the Allahabad district. There seems to be no advantage in laying down any rigid rule. Even now the Board have the power of refusing to entertain any application for a loan; and a rule, if made, would probably either prove inconvenient at some time or have to be broken. Besides, it cannot be said that in granting loans on such security the Court are competing unfairly with other lenders, or doing what any proprietor with surplus capital is not likely to do. It might even be argued that a refusal to allow such investments is contracting the available fund from which proprietors needing loans can obtain them, and tends to enhance the terms on which loans are to be had. Native bankers sometimes are unwilling to advance on landed security the capital that may at the time be required for trade, except on terms that are almost ruinous to borrowers.

Next, Mr. Hume would fix a uniform rate of interest (6¼ per cent.) for all loans, irrespective of the circumstances of the borrowing estate;

and in support of this quotes the remarks made by Government in G. O. No. 1530, dated the 11th July, 1879, with reference to a loan from the Rawatpur estate. These orders are still in force; though in reply to subsequent representations made by the Junior Member, that the terms were scarcely fair to the lending estate, it was stated in G. O. No. 2178, dated 4th October, 1879, that they were permissive and not compulsory, and merely fixed the rate at which the funds of one estate might be lent to another solvent estate under the Court, when otherwise they would be invested at lower interest in Government securities. On the whole, there seems no necessity to modify these orders. The interests, not only of the borrower but of the lender also, must be consulted, and it would not be right to use the funds of an estate to promote objects in which the proprietor is not interested, except on terms that are fair to him. The real point at issue is the character of the security afforded by an estate under the Court's management. If this is practically the best that can be found, and removes all appreciable risk, there can be no injustice to the lending estate in placing out its savings at 6¼ per cent., when they otherwise would be invested in Government paper at 4 per cent. On the other hand, if they can be laid out on equally good security at a higher rate than 6¼ per cent., this should be done. A more definite rule does not seem required. The Board can judge of the character of the security offered by any estate under it; and when they are satisfied this is good and undeniable, it rests with them to propose the rate of interest should be 6¼ per cent. Mr. Hume, however, goes further, and would reduce the interest on all loans originally made at a higher rate to 6¼ per cent., and would further utilise the sums now held in Government securities at 4 and 4½ per cent., by replacing with them the loans bearing a higher interest than 6¼ per cent. He thinks that 5 lacs might probably at once and advantageously to all parties be so employed. But whatever may be the practice in future, it is scarcely advisable to modify the terms already settled, or to interfere with existing arrangements; and indeed this could not be done without, in a measure, breaking faith with the lending estates.

26. The management of estates is generally well spoken of, with the exception of the estates in the Etah district, where in other matters Mr. Leupolt's administration has been unfavorably noticed before; and in Moradabad also there appears to have been, as His Honor regrets to see, a want of vigor which was not expected on the part of Mr. Tracy, and which might have been remedied had an Assistant Collector been put in charge. To the officers specially mentioned in para. 73, the acknowledgments of Government are due for the good work done by them. It is, His Honor presumes, due to an oversight that the Board have made the present efficient Collector of Bareilly responsible for the neglect of his predecessors.

27. Appendix B. contains a brief notice of the Wards' Institution, Benares. There were twelve wards at the commencement of the year, and six admissions and three withdrawals, leaving fifteen at the close. Only three however come from the North-Western Provinces. The general charges for the year were Rs. 9,789, and the individual Rs. 9,675. The

Junior Member suggests a thorough inquiry into the working of the institution, as illustrated by the subsequent career of the wards educated there, apparently with a view to its abolition. But the Board have only recently issued a Circular to other Governments, calling attention to the advantages offered by it, and it is expected the members will considerably increase in consequence. To discuss its abolition at the present moment would therefore seem contradictory; but it is for the Board to introduce any reforms they may think required, and the subject may be noticed again in the next report.

28. It remains only to notice the benevolent trusts and educational endowments, of which details are given in statements XIII. to XVII. Statement XIII. shows 39 of the former, the total assets of which, exclusive of the value of landed property and houses, were Rs. 1,73,938 at the end of the year Two new trusts were added during the year,—that of Ramna Gulzar Bagh in Bareilly and Munshi Durga Prasad in Allahabad, and the list is now believed to be complete. Statement XVII. gives particulars of the educational endowments, and the mode in which the donors' wishes have been carried out. The assurance that all these trusts are administered by the local authorities in a regular and correct manner is gratifying.

29. In conclusion, I am to say that the report is clear and succinct, and gives a full summary of the principal features of the year's administration, the results of which are, as usual, most satisfactory. His Honor is glad to see that the Court is steadily pursuing the good work of which it has already done so much.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

C. ROBERTSON,

*Secretary to Government,*
*N.-W. Provinces and Oudh.*